

Freedom of Information Act P526597  
Employee Complaint History from CRMS  
Incident date:

01-Jan-2000 to 26-Sep-2019

GLOVER, KEVIN

Emp# [REDACTED] Unit: 006

Date of Appointment: 09-Sep-1985

Number	Initial Complaint Category	Final Complaint Category	Incident Date	Complaint Date	Closed Date	Final Finding	Final Penalty
270306	12A PROPER ACTION, INITIATE	12A PROPER ACTION, INITIATE	02-Apr-2001	02-Apr-2001	31-Aug-2001	EX	600
280466	12A PROPER ACTION, INITIATE	12A PROPER ACTION, INITIATE	28-Mar-2002	09-May-2002	05-Sep-2002	UN	600
286191	10J NEGLECT OF DUTY/CONDUCT UNBECOMING - ON D	09J MISCELLANEOUS	23-Nov-2002	06-Dec-2002	18-Apr-2003	NS	600
291372	05A ARRESTEE - DURING ARREST	05A ARRESTEE - DURING ARREST	05-Aug-2003	06-Aug-2003	28-Aug-2009	UN	600
295315	10Z MISCELLANEOUS	14A STATE CIVIL SUIT	26-Jul-2003	22-Jan-2004	27-Jun-2005	UN	600
311124	10S SEXUAL HARRASSMENT	10S SEXUAL HARRASSMENT	09-Feb-2006	09-Feb-2006	17-Feb-2009	SU	100
312519	05Q CIVIL SUIT - THIRD PARTY	05Q CIVIL SUIT - THIRD PARTY	06-Mar-2004	24-Apr-2006	22-Sep-2006	UN	600
1015926	05A ARRESTEE - DURING ARREST	05A ARRESTEE - DURING ARREST	21-Apr-2008	21-Apr-2008	22-Nov-2010	NA	600
1024464	12E IMPROPER/INADEQUATE INVESTIGATION	10U INADEQUATE/FAILURE TO PROVIDE SER	06-Mar-2009	06-Mar-2009	10-Oct-2009	NS	600
1039777	10Z MISCELLANEOUS	10Z MISCELLANEOUS	11-Sep-2010	13-Sep-2010	13-Dec-2010	NS	600
1058508	10Z MISCELLANEOUS	10Z MISCELLANEOUS	19-Nov-2012	19-Nov-2012	19-Apr-2013	NS	600
1067213	10Z MISCELLANEOUS	10Z MISCELLANEOUS	27-Jan-2014	27-Jan-2014	07-Mar-2014	NA	600
1073942	10U INADEQUATE/FAILURE TO PROVIDE SERVICE	10U INADEQUATE/FAILURE TO PROVIDE SER	26-Feb-2015	26-Feb-2015	24-Aug-2015	EX	600
<b>Total</b>		<b>13</b>					

# **Pre - 2000 Mainframe Complaint Register History** **01-Jan-1967 to 31-Dec-1999**

I GLOVER, KEVIN

Emp#: [REDACTED]

Unit: 006

Appt. Date: 09-Sep-1985

CR#	Initial Complaint Category	Incident	Complaint	Closed	Final Finding
179530	05G WEAPON, USE OF	05-Oct-1990	06-Oct-1990	15-Aug-1991	NS
179679	05D NO ARREST	05-Oct-1990	13-Oct-1990	24-Jan-1992	NS
186321	09J MISCELLANEOUS	06-Aug-1991	06-Aug-1991	19-May-1992	NS
187006	01A USE OF PROFANITY	01-Sep-1991	01-Sep-1991	23-Dec-1991	NS
195179	10D COMMUNICATION OPERATION PROCEDURES	15-Sep-1992	15-Sep-1992	02-Dec-1992	NS
196215	10T REPORTS - FAIL TO SUBMIT/IMPROPER	29-Oct-1992	29-Oct-1992	18-Oct-1993	SU
197996	01A USE OF PROFANITY	15-Jan-1993	15-Jan-1993	23-Mar-1993	NS
209565	03C SEARCH OF PREMISE/VEHICLE W/O WARRANT	11-Jun-1994	11-Jun-1994	24-Aug-1994	NS
217854	01C MISCELLANEOUS	07-May-1995	20-May-1995	10-Aug-1995	NS
220461	08J DRUGS/CONTR.SUB. POSS. OR SALE	25-Aug-1995	25-Aug-1995	11-Mar-1996	NS
225995	09A ALTERCATION/DISTURBANCE - DOMESTIC	30-Mar-1996	30-Mar-1996	25-Oct-1996	NS
235525	09J MISCELLANEOUS	21-Mar-1997	22-Mar-1997	12-Aug-1997	NS
241408	07B IMPROPER PROCESS/REPORT/PROCEDURE	21-Oct-1997	10-Nov-1997	04-Feb-1998	UN
254420	14A STATE CIVIL SUIT	25-Nov-1994	18-Jun-1999	10-Feb-2000	NS

Total CR 14

**NOTE:** Because of the limitations of the coding classifications used in the mainframe, the complaint classifications stated do not necessarily represent the correct category of the charges against the listed member, if any, or that the listed member was even charged for conduct constituting the stated classification on the mainframe and the classification may actually be referencing the activities of individuals other than the listed member.

**THIS REPORT IS FOR OFFICIAL LAW ENFORCEMENT / AUTHORIZED USE ONLY.**  
**THE INFORMATION IS CURRENT AS OF THE DATE AND TIME OF THE REPORT.**  
**THIS REPORT IS NOT FOR PUBLIC DISSEMINATION.**

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-  
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

1 7 9 5 3 0

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

11 December 1990  
15 January 1991 T

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION ☒ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☐ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.
William T. Rotroff		Inv.	37			113
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT			DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME		BEAT OF INCIDENT	LOCATION CODE*
5035 S. Kolmar			05 Oct. 1990 2335		0815	17
ACCUSED	NAME	RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.
	1. Watson, William	P/O	14177			008
	2. Glover, Kevin	P/O	17615			008
	SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)	
1. M/B		1943	17 Nov. 1986		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	
2. M/B		1959	09 Sept. 1985		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE	
1.						
2.						
COMPLAINANTS	NAME	*ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE
VICTIMS	NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE
WITNESSES	NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS

The complaint was registered with the Office of Professional Standards on 06 October 1990, at 0055 hours, by Sgt. Thomas Conroy, Star # 2131, assigned to Unit 008. The complainant alleged that on 05 October 1990, at 2335 hours, in the vicinity of during the course of her arrest, the accused officers committed the following acts: 1) verbally abused her and ; 2) pushed her and ; 3) tripped her, dragged her by the hair, slapped her, and kneed her in the back; and 4) fired three shots. No Injury. No Medical Attention.

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES\*

01 Food Sales/Restaurant	11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
02 Tavern/Liquor Store	12 Park District Property
03 Other Business Establishment	13 Airport
04 Police Building	14 Public Property - Other
05 Lockup Facility	15 Other Private Premise
06 Police Maintenance Facility	16 Expressway/Interstate System
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility	17 Public Way - Other
08 Other Police Property	18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
09 Police Communications System	19 Private Residence
10 Court Room	

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

\* \* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

CPD-44.112A (1/84)

C.R. NO.

179530

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).  
 In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments. The complainant [REDACTED] related in a statement taken by Captain Harry Hohm, that she heard one shot fired by one of the arresting officers and then changed her story and stated that she heard five shots fired. ADS Andrew Greenlee and Capt Hohm indicated in their reports that they examined both of Officers Watson's and Glover's firearms and that the guns were fully loaded and had no odor of being recently fired. The accused officers firearms were inventoried and sent to the Crime Laboratory for examination. The complainant further alleged that she and [REDACTED] were verbally and physically abused but did not sustain any injury nor seek for any medical attention.

The arrest and police reports indicate that [REDACTED] was arrested on charges of Disorderly Conduct and Resisting Arrest. The reports state that the arresting officers, W. Watson and Kevin Glover were investigating a battery when they observed [REDACTED] and four other subjects walking along the railroad tracks. The officers attempted to question the subjects as the three male subjects fled and the two females remained. [REDACTED] became combative, refused to cooperate with the officers and was subsequently arrested. Then unknown subjects in the weeds began to throw rocks at the officers while [REDACTED] was in custody. The officers could not see where the rocks came from and walked away with the offender to transport her to the station for processing.

Photographs were taken of [REDACTED] and the scene of the arrest by an Evidence Technician but reveal no visible signs of injury to the complainant. The Crime Laboratory Report, Gun Shot Residue Analysis for Officer Watson and Officer Glover's firearms were negative. The Ballistics Firearms Report indicates that Officer Watson's firearm has residue present but cannot substantiate that the gun was fired specifically on 05 Oct. 1990. The To/From Report of Lt. R. Contino states that Officers K. Glover and W. Watson had their In-Service Firearm Qualification on 27 Sept. 1990, at the Area 1 range during the 3rd Watch and

SUMMARY

ATTACH- MENTS	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ALLEGATION	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S)	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
	LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	
	1,17-21	5-9, 30-42	2-4, 10-29,43	45

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.  
 Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for ..... days (not to exceed 5 days).

Accused #1                      Officer William Watson  
 Allegations #1,2,3          Not Sustained.  
 Allegation # 4.              Unfounded.

Accused # 2                      Officer Kevin Glover  
 Allegations #1,2,3          Not Sustained  
 Allegation # 4                  Unfounded.

FINDINGS—RECOMMENDATIONS

APPROVED: \_\_\_\_\_

Supervisor James Lopez, Star 49

DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)	10 Oct. 1990	DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)	11 Dec. 1990	ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME, EXPRESSED IN DAYS)	62 Days
Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.			[REDACTED] #37		



INVESTIGATION  
CONTINUED:

both officers passed their firearm qualifications.

The complainant [REDACTED] has not cooperated with this investigation to substantiate the allegations made against the accused officers. All attempts to have the complainant cooperate in the furtherance of this investigation have met with negative results. Friends of the complainant, [REDACTED] related in their statements as witnesses that they observed the arresting officers physically abuse [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] and heard the officers verbally abuse them. [REDACTED] stated that she heard the first shot from Officer Watson's gun and saw the flashes of the last two shots fired. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] stated that they were hiding in the bushes and saw three flashes from Officer Watson's gun.

Officer William Watson and Kevin Glover denied the allegations made against them. Officers Watson and Glover corroborated in their statements that they did not fire any shots from their weapons during the course of [REDACTED] arrest. Officer Watson stated that he last fired his firearm on 27 September 1990, at the Area 1 Gun Range for In-Service Firearm Qualification and did not clean his gun afterwards.

Other than the scant information furnished by the complainant, very few facts are available on which to commence a proper investigation. If the complainant cooperates in the future, the case will be reopened. In view of the foregoing facts it is recommended that this matter be closed.

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-  
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

1 7 9 6 7 9

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)  
18 December 1990  
23 January 1991 T

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or  
in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION ☒ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☐ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.			
William T. Rotroff		Inv.	37			113			
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT			DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME		BEAT OF INCIDENT	LOCATION CODE*			
50th & Kilbourn			05 Oct. 1990 2200		815	14			
ACCUSED	NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.		
	1. Watson, William		P.O.	14177			008		
	2. Glover, Kevin		P.O.	17615			008		
	SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)		PHYS. COND. CODE†		
	1. M/B		17 Nov. 1986		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY				
	2. M/B		09 Sept. 1985		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		01		
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE				
1.									
2.									
COMPLAINANTS	NAME		*ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†
						N/P	M/W		65 01
VICTIMS	NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†
WITNESSES	NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS

The complaint was registered with the Office of Professional Standards on 13 October 1990, at 1400 hours by Office of Professional Standards Investigator Cheryl Smith, Star #15, assigned to Unit 113. The complainant [REDACTED] alleged that on 05 October 1990, at 2200 hours, in the vicinity of 50th & Kilbourn, Archer Park, during the course of a street stop, the accused officers committed the following acts: 1. verbally abused and verbally threatened him; and 2. pushed him.  
No Arrest.  
No Injury.

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES\*

01 Food Sales/Restaurant	11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
02 Tavern/Liquor Store	12 Park District Property
03 Other Business Establishment	13 Airport
04 Police Building	14 Public Property - Other
05 Lockup Facility	15 Other Private Premise
06 Police Maintenance Facility	16 Expressway/Interstate System
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility	17 Public Way - Other
08 Other Police Property	18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
09 Police Communications System	19 Private Residence
10 Court Room	

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

\*\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

SUMMARY

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).  
In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

The complainant [REDACTED] related in his statement that he was physically and verbally abused by Officers William Watson and Kevin Glover during the course of a street stop. The complainant was not arrested and did not sustain any injury.

The Attendance and Assignment records indicate that Officer Watson and Glover were assigned to Beat 814, on 05 October 1990, working the 3rd Watch from 1600 to 0030 hours. There are no police reports nor records registered with the Chicago Police Department to substantiate any police contact between the complainant and Officers Watson & Glover.

The accused, Officers William Watson and Kevin Glover denied the allegations made against them. Officers Watson and Glover corroborated in their statements that they had no contact with [REDACTED] and had no knowledge to his identity.

The available evidence is inconclusive to substantiate the allegations made against the accused officers. Other than the scant information furnished by the complainant, very few facts are available on which to commence a proper investigation. In view of the foregoing facts, it is recommended that this matter be closed.

MEANS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
1,2	4-15	3	16

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for ..... days (not to exceed 5 days).

## FINDINGS

ALLEGATIONS # 1 & 2. NOT SUSTAINED against Officers William Watson & Kevin Glover.

FINDINGS—RECOMMENDATIONS

APPROVED: [REDACTED]

Supervisor James Lopez, Station 49

em/

DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)	16 October 1990	DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)	18 Dec. 1990	ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME, EXPRESSED IN DAYS)	59 Days
--	-----------------	--	--------------	--	---------

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.

#37

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8½ x 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER TO CONTINUE ANY ITEM.

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-  
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

186321

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

18 March 1992

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION

☐ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☒ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.	
Ellen EGAN		SGT	2139			121-G	
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT		DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME		BEAT OF INCIDENT	LOCATION CODE*		
644 S. ALBANY		6 August 1992-2215		831	14		
ACCUSED	NAME	RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.	
	1. Ralph DIFIORE	SGT	921			176	
	2. Michael WILLIAMSON	P.O.	14289			008	
	SEX/RACE D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)		PHYS. COND. CODE†	
	1. M/W 1945	22 FEB 1971		<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		01	
	2. M/W 1961	13 Apr 1987		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		01	
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE		
1.							
2.							
VICTIMS	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†
					M/W	72	01
WITNESSES	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†

SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

This complaint was registered with the Office of Professional Standards  
Inv. CHICO #43 on 6 August 1991.

(See Additional page for Allegations)

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES\*

01 Food Sales/Restaurant  
02 Tavern/Liquor Store  
03 Other Business Establishment  
04 Police Building  
05 Lockup Facility  
06 Police Maintenance Facility  
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility  
08 Other Police Property  
09 Police Communications System  
10 Court Room

11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility  
12 Park District Property  
13 Airport  
14 Public Property - Other  
15 Other Private Premise  
16 Expressway/Interstate System  
17 Public Way - Other  
18 Waterway, Incl. Park District  
19 Private Residence

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal  
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence  
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized  
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence  
05 Injured, Hospitalized  
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence  
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid  
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence  
09 Deceased  
10 Deceased - Under Influence

\*\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s). In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

) See Page 1 A)

SUMMARY

ATTACH- MENTS	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
	6,	4,10,11,12,13,17,19	0	20

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.  
Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for ..... days (not to exceed 5 days).

(See Page 1 A)

REVIEWED BY: #15157  
DATE: APR 6 1992

FINDINGS—RECOMMENDATIONS

DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)	8 August	DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)	18 March 1992	ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME, EXPRESSED IN DAYS)	220
Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.					

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 x 11" SHEET OF PAPER FOR THIS ITEM.

ADDITIONAL ACCUSED:

3. P.O. William SOTAK #11704 SS# [REDACTED] Emp# [REDACTED] 008th District  
M/W dob: [REDACTED] 1966 DOA 26 March 1990 on Duty Sworn 01
4. P.O. William WATSON # 14177 SS# [REDACTED] Emp# [REDACTED] 008th District  
M/B DOB [REDACTED] 1943 DOA: 17 Nov. 1986 on Duty Sworn 01
5. P.O. Kevin GLOVER #17615 SS# [REDACTED] Emp# [REDACTED] 008th District  
M/B DOB [REDACTED] 1959 DOA 9 Sept. 1985 On Duty Sworn 01

ALLEGATIONS:

ALLEGATION #1: That Sergeant DIFIORE, while off duty, punched and battered [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] while he was handcuffed and being escorted to a squad car.

ALLEGATION #2: Officer's William SOTAK, William WATSON, Kevin GLOVER, and Michael  
WILLIAMSON, had arrested [REDACTED] and while in their custody was  
battered by Sergeant DIFIORE.

EVIDENCE SHEET

1. Complaint Against Department Member
2. Initiation Report submitted by Sergeant Victoria STANEK #759: dated  
6 August 1991
3. Report of Investigator DE La PAVA #66 OPS: dated 7 August 1991
4. Reports of Lt. Raymond MILLER #579 dated 7 August 1991  
Pertaining to the arrest of [REDACTED] for Resisting Arrest.
5. Report of Sergeant Ellen EGAN# 2139 ,GIS,IAD dated 9 August 1991  
Copy of Cease and Desist Order
6. Report of Sergeant Ellen EGAN, #2139, GIS,, IAD dated 3 September 1991  
Interview of Complainant, [REDACTED]
7. Report of Sergeant Ellen EGAN,, #2139, GIS, IAD dated 3 September 1991  
Interview of Witness: [REDACTED]
8. Report of Sergeant Ellen EGAN, #2139, GIS, IAD dated 4 October 1991
9. Report submitted by Lieutenant Frank TRIGG dated 4 October 1991  
Reference Judicial Non-Traffic Summons Against a Department Member
10. Report of Sergeant Ellen EGAN, Dated 10 October 1991  
Interview of [REDACTED], father of complainant
11. Notification of Charges/Allegations/Administrative Rights/Waiver of  
Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel/Statement of Accused  
P.O. Michael WILLIAMSON #14289 dated 24 October 1991
12. Notification of Charges/Allegations/Administrative Rights/Waiver of  
Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel/Statement of Accused  
P.O. William SOTAK, #11704 dated 18 November 1991

EVIDENCE SHEET (continued)

13. Notification of Charges/Allegations/Administrative Rights/Waiver of Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel/Statement of Accused  
P.O. William WATSON # 14177, dated 4 December 1991
14. Report of Sergeant Ellen EGAN#2139, GIS,IAD, dated 11 December 1991  
Interview of Complainant's mother: [REDACTED]
15. Report of Sergeant Ellen EGAN,#2139,GIS,IAD dated 11 December 1991  
Interview of [REDACTED]
16. Report of Sergeant Ellen EGANN,#2139,GIS,IAD dated 12 December 1991  
Regarding Monitoring of Court Appearance of Sergeant DIFIORE,Branch 49
17. Notification of Charges/Allegations/Administrative Rights/Waiver of Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel/Statement of Accused  
P.O. Keving GLOVER #17615 dated 30 December 1991
18. Report of Sergeant Ellen EGAN,#2139,GIS,IAD dated 4 March 1992  
Status Check of accused Sergeant DIFIORE,
19. Notification of Charges/Allegations/Administrative Rights/Waiver of Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel/Statement of Accused  
Sergeant Ralph DIFIORE #921 dated 11 March 1992
20. Photos of Complainant: [REDACTED]

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST  
(Page 1A)

The investigation relative to this complaint regarded allegations that the accused sergeant struck the complainant while he was handcuffed and being escorted to a squad car. At the time of this incident the accused sergeant was off duty. [REDACTED] was arrested on 6 August 1991 for battery, he was alleged to have battered the step-daughter of the accused sergeant. [REDACTED] claimed that he and [REDACTED] (stepdaughter of accused) had an argument during the course of the argument [REDACTED] became violent slapped him and struck him in the face with a portable type radio. He further related that when the police arrived at his home to arrest him he became angry and made racial slurs to the officers. [REDACTED] admitted that he was uncooperative and resisted his arrest. During the process the arresting officers attempted to handcuff him they fell to the floor knocking over a table. [REDACTED] was explicit that none of the arresting officers ever struck or abused him during his arrest.

[REDACTED] expressed that when being escorted from his house he observed the accused in front of his house. [REDACTED] claimed that the accused approached him while being escorted to the squad car and struck him in the face.

At the time of [REDACTED] arrest in the house his grandmother was present and witnessed [REDACTED] resisting. When she was interviewed she confirmed that there was a struggle because [REDACTED] was upset, but she also confirmed the fact that the arresting officers never struck or abused her grandson. [REDACTED] (the grandmother) further related that she remained in the house after the arrest and did not see the accused strike [REDACTED]

Statements were obtained from the accused (Arresting Officers) addressing the allegation that while [REDACTED] was in their custody he was battered by the accused sergeant. These officers responded to these allegations which indicated that there had been a struggle during the course of [REDACTED] arrest. They acknowledged that the accused was on the scene, but they did not observe the accused strike [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] The accused (Arresting Officers) indicated that it was possible that [REDACTED] could have sustained injuries as a result of his resisting arrest. [REDACTED] was charged with battery and resisting arrest.

On 4 October 1991 Lieutenant Frank TRIGGS notified the undersigned that [REDACTED] was seeking a warrant against Sergeant DIFIORE. Judge BOWIE did issued the warrant against Sergeant DIFIORE for battery and set a date of 12 December 1991. The court proceedings were monitored and on 12 December 1991 the case was SOL because [REDACTED] did not appear in court.

Sergeant DIFIORE responded in his statement and he denied striking [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Sergeant DIFIORE related that his stepdaughter phoned him and claimed she had been battered by her boyfriend, [REDACTED]. Sergeant DIFIORE claimed he went to where his stepdaughter was at and then went to the house of the complainant. He did not witness the physical arrest of [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] account of the events which resulted in his arrest included being slapped in the face and struck with a radio in the face by his girlfriend. He also admitted to resisting his arrest which resulted in a struggle while the officers were attempting to handcuff him and place him under arrest.

FINDINGS:

ALLEGATION #1: NOT SUSTAINED: Lack of evidence to prove or disprove.

ALLEGATION #2: NOT SUSTAINED: Lack of evidence to prove or disprove.



SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-  
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

187006

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

7 2 91

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION: ☐ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☐ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME DET. J. J. WARD		RANK DET	STAR NO. 145	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 008
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT 9622 S. CISOERO		DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME 11:30 PM 9/1/91		BEAT OF INCIDENT 314	LOCATION CODE 17	
NAME 1. CLOVER, KEVIN		RANK PTM	STAR NO. 17615	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 008
ACCUSED	SEX/RACE 1. M/B	D.O.B. [REDACTED] 59	DATE OF APPOINTMENT 9 Sept 85		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT) <input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	
					<input type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	
					<input type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE	
1. [REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
2. [REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
COMPLAINANTS	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
VICTIMS	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
WITNESSES	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS

NO ARREST:

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES\*

- |                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| 01 Food Sales/Restaurant         | 11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility |
| 02 Tavern/Liquor Store           | 12 Park District Property              |
| 03 Other Business Establishment  | 13 Airport                             |
| 04 Police Building               | 14 Public Property - Other             |
| 05 Lockup Facility               | 15 Other Private Premise               |
| 06 Police Maintenance Facility   | 16 Expressway/Interstate System        |
| 07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility | 17 Public Way - Other                  |
| 08 Other Police Property         | 18 Waterway, Incl. Park District       |
| 09 Police Communications System  | 19 Private Residence                   |
| 10 Court Room                    |  |

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

- |   |
|---|
| 01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal          |
| 02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence            |
| 03 Injured, Not Hospitalized                      |
| 04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence    |
| 05 Injured, Hospitalized                          |
| 06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence        |
| 07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid                   |
| 08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence |
| 09 Deceased                                       |
| 10 Deceased - Under Influence                     |

\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

SUMMARY

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).

In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments. The complainant one [REDACTED]

who was not present at the time of the alleged incident alleges that a police officer in a marked car with the outside number #8714, called her daughter, "[REDACTED]" and said she acts like she has bumpers on her ass.

2. The R/Sgt's investigation revealed that veh. #8714 was assigned to beat 814 on the date and time in question, with off. K. Glover #17615 and off. W. Watson #14177 assigned.

3. The R/Sgt interviewed the victim one [REDACTED] F/B/14 (see att #6) who related that off. Glover used profane language toward her when asking her to move along. The R/Sgt interviewed one witness for [REDACTED] M/B/18 (see att #7) who also stated that off. Glover used profane language towards [REDACTED].

4. The R/Sgt got reports from officers Glover and Watson (see att #9 and 10) stating that off. Glover did not use any profane language, also from one witness, one [REDACTED] M/B/59 (see att #8) in which he also states that the off. did not use any profane language.

ATTACH- MENTS	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
	6 and 7	8	0	10

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the Investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for .... days (not to exceed 5 days).

The R/Sgt can only conclude from all of the conflicting statements that this allegation be **NON SUSTAINED**.

FINDINGS—RECOMMENDATIONS

FINDINGS, ALLEGATION # 1

NON SUSTAINED

DISCIPLINARY ACTION:

NONE

"NOT SUSTAINED"  
DEC 04 1991

*[Signature]*  
"REVIEWED BY"

DATE INITIATED  
(DATE COMPLAINT WAS  
RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)

4 SEP 91

DATE COMPLETED  
(DATE OF THIS  
REPORT)

14 SEPT 91

ELAPSED TIME  
(TOTAL TIME,  
EXPRESSED IN DAYS)

10

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.

INV [REDACTED]

2143

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-  
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

195179

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

20 Sept 1992

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION ☐ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☐ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESIGATOR'S NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.
Sgt. Charles M. Holz		Sgt	907			008
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT		DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME		BEAT OF INCIDENT		LOCATION CODE*
3344 West 63rd Street		15 Sept 1992 1830hr		822		03
NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.
1. [REDACTED]		PO	9407			008
2. [REDACTED]		PO	3438			008
ACCUSED	SEX/RACE D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)		PHYS. COND. CODE†
	1. F/W [REDACTED] 52	17 July 77		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN 01
	2. M/W [REDACTED] 47	22 Oct 73		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN 01
	IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE
1. DNA						
2.						
COMPLAINANTS	NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	M/W [REDACTED] 42
VICTIMS	NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE
	SAME AS COMPLAINANT					
WITNESSES	NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE
	DNA					

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS  
Complainant alleges his security company notified the C.P.D. of a burglar alarm going off at his place of business, [REDACTED] Complaint alleges the police failed to respond even after he called "911" three (3) times.

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES\*

01 Food Sales/Restaurant	11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
02 Tavern/Liquor Store	12 Park District Property
03 Other Business Establishment	13 Airport
04 Police Building	14 Public Property - Other
05 Lockup Facility	15 Other Private Premise
06 Police Maintenance Facility	16 Expressway/Interstate System
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility	17 Public Way - Other
08 Other Police Property	18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
09 Police Communications System	19 Private Residence
10 Court Room	

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).  
In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

Complainant alleges that he notified 911 three times and the police failed to respond. Reporting Sgt. attempted to contact the complainant several times by phone with negative results. Further a registered letter was mailed and returned, and the complainant never contacted this reporting sgt. Investigation revealed that on 15 Sep 92 that beat 823, officers LEAHY and DARRAGH were dispatched at 1821 hrs to a Burglar Alarm at [REDACTED]. At 1840 hrs Beat 821 came back in service with a 14-P code. At 1912 hrs Beat 834, officers GARRITY and GLOVER, were assigned to meet the complainant at [REDACTED]. At approximately 1947 hrs beat 834 came back in service with a 19-P code. Reporting sgt. conducted interviews with the accused officers. Beat 823 officers stated that they had responded to the location, checked the premise and found it to be secured. Beat 834 officers stated that they had responded and they also checked the premises and waited a reasonable amount of time for the complainant to show. When the complainant never showed up on the scene they then came back in service.

At this time there is insufficient evidence to prove or disprove this allegation. Reporting Sergeant request that this CR Investigation be classified as Not Sustained.

SUMMARY

ATTACHMENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
0	2,3,4,5,12,16,20,24	0	24

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.  
Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for ..... days (not to exceed 5 days).

FINDINGS—RECOMMENDATIONS

ALLEGATION: Failed to respond to a Burglar Alarm.

Officer LEAHY NOT SUSTAINED

Officer DARRAGH NOT SUSTAINED

Officer GARRITY NOT SUSTAINED

Officer GLOVER NOT SUSTAINED

REVIEWED BY: P.O. [REDACTED]  
NOT SUSTAINED  
DATE: NOV 13 1992

DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)	5 Oct 1992	DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)	20 Oct 1992	ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME, EXPRESSED IN DAYS)	15 Days
Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.			[REDACTED] 907		

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8½ x 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER TO CONTINUE ANY ITEM.

CR# 195179  
ACCUSED CONTINUED

#3.	GARRITY, Michael	PO	Star # 13454	SSN#:	[REDACTED]
		EMP#:	[REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN:	008
		M/W	DOB: [REDACTED]	32	DATE of APPOINT: 18Dec67
		On Duty	Sworn	PHYS CODE:	01
#4.	GLOVER, Kevin D.	PO	Star # 17615	SSN#:	[REDACTED]
		EMP#:	[REDACTED]	Unit Assign:	008
		M/B	DOB: [REDACTED]	59	Date of Appoint: 09 Sep 85
		On Duty	Sworn	PHYS CODE:	01

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST  
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

196215

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO-YEAR)

18 February 1993

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION ☐ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☒ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.
William F. Sacco		P.O.	5019			121
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT		DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME		BEAT OF INCIDENT		LOCATION CODE*
5000 S. Pulaski Road		29 October 1992 1800		815		15
NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.
1. Kevin Glover		P.O.	17615			008
2. Nancy Mulligan		P.O.	17797			008
SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)		PHYS. COND. CODE†
1. M/B		09 Sep 85		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		01
2. F/W		19 Jan 81		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		01
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH		DISPOSITION & DATE
1.						
2.						
NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE PHYS. COND. CODE†
						F/W 47 YOA 01
NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE PHYS. COND. CODE†
NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE PHYS. COND. CODE†
						M/W 47 YOA 01
						M/W 23 YOA 01

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS

This complaint was registered with the Office of Professional Standards on 29 October 1992, at 2110 hours by Civilian Erica Sanders Emp# [REDACTED] via the Pax Telephone System. The Complainant, [REDACTED] alleges after she was involved in a verbal altercation with an unknown female/black and threatened with bodily harm by a group of "young black kids", the police were called. The complainant alleges that the accused officer failed to make out a police report, and that the male officer refused to give his name and star when asked.

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES\*

- |                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| 01 Food Sales/Restaurant         | 11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility |
| 02 Tavern/Liquor Store           | 12 Park District Property              |
| 03 Other Business Establishment  | 13 Airport                             |
| 04 Police Building               | 14 Public Property - Other             |
| 05 Lockup Facility               | 15 Other Private Premise               |
| 06 Police Maintenance Facility   | 16 Expressway/Interstate System        |
| 07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility | 17 Public Way - Other                  |
| 08 Other Police Property         | 18 Waterway, Incl. Park District       |
| 09 Police Communications System  | 19 Private Residence                   |
| 10 Court Room                    |  |

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

- |   |
|---|
| 01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal          |
| 02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence            |
| 03 Injured, Not Hospitalized                      |
| 04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence    |
| 05 Injured, Hospitalized                          |
| 06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence        |
| 07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid                   |
| 08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence |
| 09 Deceased                                       |
| 10 Deceased - Under Influence                     |

\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

CPD-44.112A (1/84)

C.R. NO.

196215

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).  
In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

See Attached Pages #2,3,4. for Summary

SUMMARY

ATTACH- MENTS	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-- SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-- SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
	2 through 10	11,12,13		17

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.  
Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for ..... days (not to exceed 5 days).

See Attached Page 5 for Findings and Recommendations

FINDINGS--RECOMMENDATIONS

OCT 14 1993  
"REVIEWED BY"  
(SUST PER SPT)

WJ Cooper

DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)	03 Nov 92	DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)	18 Feb 93	ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME, EXPRESSED IN DAYS)	109 Days
Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.					

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 x 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER TO CONTINUE ANY ITEM.

Upon receipt of the complaint, 03 November 1992 at 1000 hours, the undersigned interviewed the complainant, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] stated that she was became involved in a verbal altercation with a female/black driver at Archer and Pulaski Roads, at 1800 hours on 29 Oct 1992. After that altercation she was set upon by a group of 15 "young black kids", at that location. This group then surrounded her vehicle and threatened her with bodily harm. During this confrontation her husband arrived on the scene, after which most of the group dispersed. Her husband then summoned the police. The police officers arrived and [REDACTED] then advised the officers what had happened. After advising the officers as to what transpired one of the officers, a male/black, went to speak with the youths still on the scene. The officer then came to [REDACTED] and told her that they should all apologize to each other. [REDACTED] took exception to this telling the officer that she had done nothing, that she was called a "fuckin white bitch" by the female black and that she felt that she was being discriminated against because she was a lone, white female and that the officer was black. At this time [REDACTED] requested that a report be made as she was the victim. The officer responded that "I'm not going to do my job because I'm black", and refused to complete a report. Again she asked for a report, and the officer refused. [REDACTED] states that she repeatedly asked for the officers name and star number, but he refused to give it to her. [REDACTED] then asked the officer if he would do an accident report, as during the course of her altercation with the female/black an auto struck hers causing minimal damage. [REDACTED] stated that the officer told her, "I'm not going to do it, go into the station." [REDACTED] again asked the officer for his name and star number, this time the officer stated, "Glover, that is all you need to know." [REDACTED] then noticed that the other officer, a female/white, did not do anything, but let her partner take charge.

The undersigned interviewed [REDACTED], who is the husband of the complainant, who was on the scene of the incident. [REDACTED] stated exactly the same as his wife, however, he states that when his wife had told the officer that she felt that she had nothing to apologize for as she was the victim, the officer stated "you are a trouble maker." [REDACTED] stated that the female officer did "absolutely nothing", and let her partner take charge of the situation.

The undersigned interviewed [REDACTED], who is the son of the complainant, who was alighting the CTA bus at Archer and Pulaski Roads at the time of the incident. He stated exactly what his parents had stated, noting, that the female officer, in his words "half-heartedly" asked if they wanted a report made, but took no steps to complete one.

The undersigned interviewed [REDACTED] who is the Manager of the Mr. Submarine Restaurant at [REDACTED]. He stated that he saw a group of kids surrounding and pounding on a vehicle in his lot, however he did not observe the police arrive and did not hear any conversations between the police and anyone else.



The undersigned interviewed [REDACTED], CTA Supervisor who is assigned to the corner of Archer Ave. and Pulaski Road. [REDACTED] stated that he was on the corner on 29 October 1992 at 1800 hours, and observed a group of kids surrounding and pounding on a vehicle. He further stated that the female/white that was in the surrounded vehicle came up to him and asked him for help thinking that he was a police officer by the way he was dressed. When she noticed that he wasn't a police officer she walked away from him and called the police. [REDACTED] stated that he saw the police arrive, however, he did not hear any conversations between the police and anyone else as he was busy loading passengers.

The undersigned received permission to monitor Communication Operation Section tapes on Zone 6, for 29 October 1992. The undersigned did not hear the original call to 911, however the call for "Gang Intimidation" was given to Beat 814 at 1731 hours. At 1733 hours another call to 911 was received by Zone 6 by a male voice who stated that the police hadn't arrived yet, and that he would be waiting outside the Submarine Restaurant at Archer and Pulaski. At 1740 hours another call was received by Zone 6. This time a woman's voice asking how long will it take the police to arrive on the scene. At 1757 hours Beat 814 cleared the assignment with a miscellaneous code of 5-Paul.

The undersigned received and read Chicago Police Case Report [REDACTED] with all Supplementary Reports. It was learned by reading those reports that a follow-up investigation by Beat 2663 of the Civil Rights Unit of the Neighborhood Relations Division, made 8 total arrests relative to the preliminary report completed by Beat 834, listing the complainant [REDACTED] as a victim of Simple Assault.

The undersigned interviewed [REDACTED] who was one of the arrestees in this case. He stated that when the police arrived on 29 October 1992 at Archer and Pulaski, he told them what had transpired between the older woman and one of his friends. [REDACTED] stated that the officer told the his friend to apologize, and also told the older woman to do the same. The older woman refused stating that the officer was just doing this "because your black". The officer replied that he wasn't doing this because he was black, and told everyone to go home.

Police Officers Kevin Glover # 17615, and Nancy Mulligan # 17797, were both given the opportunity to answer the allegations. They stated that they were called to the scene of a gang fight at 5000 S. Pulaski Road. Upon arrival they were met by the complainant, who stated that she was sitting in her vehicle in the parking lot at that location, when a female/black driver pulled next to her, beeped her horn at her, and called her a bitch. Both officers stated that the female/white, the complainant, initiated the whole argument, as the female/white called the other driver a bitch also. Both officer state that at no time did the complainant ever stated that she was threatened, however, the complainant was indignant to both the officers. Both officers stated that the complainant accused the officer of playing

favorites in this matter. Both felt that a report was not necessary at this time as a crime had not been committed. Both officers stated that they were told of an unrelated accident and asked to do an accident report. The officers then related to the complainant, departmental policy as to drivable accidents and were requested to go into the 008th District Station to complete a report. Both officers stated that the facts that appear on Chicago Police Report Number [REDACTED], is totally different as to what was told to them on the scene at Archer and Pulaski. Furthermore, Police Officer Glover stated that when he was asked by both the complainant and her husband for his name and star he gave it to them.

FINDINGS:

After interviewing the complainant, witnesses, the monitoring of Communication Operation Section tapes, and interviewing the accused officers; There is sufficient evidence to Sustain Allegation #1, and insufficient evidence to prove or disprove Allegation #2.

ALLEGATION #1:

Police Officer Kevin Glover # 17615

SUSTAINED: Violation of Rule 6, in that he did not follow General Order 89-3, Section III, Paragraph A, Subsection 3, and Incident Reporting Guide Paragraph V, Section B, in that he failed to prepare a required report.

Violation of Rule 10, in that he failed to conduct a proper preliminary investigation, by not looking for, nor interviewing any witnesses.

ALLEGATION #2:

NOT SUSTAINED

ALLEGATION #1:

Police Officer Nancy Mulligan # 17797

SUSTAINED: Violation of Rule 6, in that she did not follow General Order 89-3, Section III, Paragraph A, Subsection 3, and Incident Reporting Guide Paragraph V, Section B, in that she failed to prepare a required report.

Violation of Rule 10, in that she failed to conduct a proper preliminary investigation, by not looking for, nor interviewing witnesses.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

It is recommended that Police Officer Kevin Glover # 17615, after reviewing both complimentary and Disciplinary histories, be suspended for a period of TWO (2) Days.

It is recommended that Police Officer Nancy Mulligan # 17797, after reviewing both complimentary and Disciplinary histories, be suspended for a period of TWO (2) Days.

EVIDENCE:

ATTACHMENT:

- #1. Complaint Against Department Member
  - 1a. Re-Assignment of CR# 196215 From 008th District to General Investigation Section Internal Affairs Division.
  2. Initiation Report of Sergeant Victoria L. Stanek # 759, 008th District.
  3. Interview of [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] Chicago, Illinois, [REDACTED]
  4. Interview of [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED], Chicago, Illinois, [REDACTED]
  5. Interview of [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] Chicago, Illinois, [REDACTED]
  6. Interview of [REDACTED] Manager,  
Mr. Submarine, [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]
  7. Interview of [REDACTED], CTA  
Supervisor, [REDACTED]  
Illinois, [REDACTED]
  8. Monitoring of Communications Operation  
Section Tapes, Zone 6, 29 October 1992.
  9. Chicago Police Simple Assault Case Report  
Number [REDACTED] and Related Supplementary  
and Arrest Reports.
  10. Interview of [REDACTED] [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]
11. Report of Police Officer Kevin Glover #17615,  
008th District, and Related Documents.
12. Report of Police Officer Kevin Glover  
# 17615, 008th District, and Related  
Documents.
13. Report of Police Officer Nancy Mulligan  
# 17797, 008th District and Related Reports.
14. Disciplinary History: Police Officer  
Kevin Glover # 17615.

EVIDENCE(cont):

ATTACHMENT:

15. Complimentary History; Police Officer Kevin Glover # 17615.
16. Disciplinary History; Police Officer Nancy Mulligan # 17797.
17. Complimentary History; Police Officer Nancy Mulligan # 17797.

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-  
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

197996

DATE OF REPORT (DA - MO - YEAR)

12 February 1993

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION ☐ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☒ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME HINKSON, Dennis D.		RANK Sgt.	STAR NO. 1846	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 008
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT 48 [REDACTED] W. 44th street		DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME 15 January 1993 2130		BEAT OF INCIDENT 814	LOCATION CODE* 19	
NAME 1. GLOVER, Kevin D.		RANK P.O.	STAR NO. 17615	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 008
2. DNA						
SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)		PHYS. COND. CODE†
1. M/B	[REDACTED] 1959	9 September 1985		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN 01
2. DNA				<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE	
1. DNA						
2. DNA						
NAME		*ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE
[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	F/B [REDACTED] 74 01
DNA						
NAME		*ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE
[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	F/B [REDACTED] 354 01
DNA						
NAME		*ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE
[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	M/W [REDACTED] 57/35 01
DNA						
[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	M/W [REDACTED] 47/45 01
DNA						
[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	M/W [REDACTED] 50/42 01
DNA						

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS The complainant alleges that she and her mother, [REDACTED] were the victims of a battery. She further alleges that she told the accused and he responded, "I wish you motherfuckers would shut up." She also alleges that she asked the accused to arrest the offenders who were still on the scene and he said, "We don't want to take it to the station because of the paper work. Go back to your house and mind your own business."

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES\*

01 Food Sales/Restaurant	11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
02 Tavern/Liquor Store	12 Park District Property
03 Other Business Establishment	13 Airport
04 Police Building	14 Public Property - Other
05 Lockup Facility	15 Other Private Premise
06 Police Maintenance Facility	16 Expressway/Interstate System
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility	17 Public Way - Other
08 Other Police Property	18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
09 Police Communications System	19 Private Residence
10 Court Room	

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

\* \* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).  
In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Compliment ary History will be included as attachments.

The undersigned investigator was assigned this complaint by the Watch Commander Lieutenant CANDELLA 345. On 26 January 1993, 2200 hours the investigator went to the home of the complainant and victim. The complainant presented an Illinois state I.D. with number [REDACTED], [REDACTED], issued 20 May 1992. The victim presented Illinois driver's license [REDACTED] SS# [REDACTED] date of birth [REDACTED] 1954, issued [REDACTED] 1989.

In summary but not verbatim the complainant and victim told the undersigned that on 15 January 1993 at [REDACTED] after an argument with [REDACTED], father of the complainant and estranged husband of the victim, [REDACTED], his girlfriend [REDACTED] also known as [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] daughter, [REDACTED] attacked the complainant and victim striking them in the face and body. Someone called the police and Officer GLOVER and several male, white, uniformed officers arrived. The complainant told the undersigned that when the officers arrived the scene was "hysterical with everyone talking at once." She quoted GLOVER as saying, "I wish you motherfuckers would shut up." The complainant told the undersigned she asked GLOVER to arrest the offenders who were still on the scene and he said, "We don't want to take it to the station because of the paper work. Go back to your house and mind your own business."

The undersigned presented notification of charges/allegations, administrative proceedings rights and waiver of counsel/request to secure counsel forms (attachments 2,3, and 4). He gave the investigator a TO - FROM report (attachment #5) denying the allegations, indicating he made out case [REDACTED] (attachment #6) with the victim signing it to show she did not want the offender arrested, and identifying Officers [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] as witnesses. They submitted reports (attachments 7,8, and 9 supporting GLOVER's denial of the allegations. They are white males.

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS—  
SUPPORTING ALLEGATION  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

1

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS—  
SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S)  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

5, 6,7,8,9

PHYSICAL EVIDENCE

LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

none

TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS  
SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:

11

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for ..... days (not to exceed 5 days).

#### FINDINGS:

1. The undersigned investigator finds that the complainant and victim allege the accused was verbally abusive toward them. The accused and the three witnesses denied there was any verbal abuse.
2. The complainant alleged that the accused refused to arrest the offender. The undersigned investigator found that the complainant was unaware that the victim did not want the offender arrested. The victim told the undersigned she did not want the offender arrested because they have been married for nineteen years and are always fighting.

#### RECOMMENDATION:

The undersigned investigator recommends that the allegation of verbal abuse be not sustained and the allegation of refusing to make an arrest be exonerated. No disciplinary action warranted.

"REVIEWED BY"

MAR 17 1993 yb

DATE INITIATED  
(DATE COMPLAINT WAS  
RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION) 25 Jan93

DATE COMPLETED  
(DATE OF THIS  
REPORT) 12 February 1993

ELAPSED TIME  
(TOTAL TIME,  
EXPRESSED IN DAYS) 16

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review  
form by completing the Investigator's Section.

INVESTIGATOR'S SIGNATURE

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 x 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER TO CONTINUE ANY ITEM.

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-  
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

209565

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

28 JUNE 94

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION ☐ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☐ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME SGT. HENRY D. WARE		RANK SGT.	STAR NO. 2143	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 008
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT 4959 W. 43th St		DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME 11 June 94 1600		BEAT OF INCIDENT 814	LOCATION CODE* 19	
NAME 1. MCNAMARA, MARK		RANK PTLMN.	STAR NO. 9612	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 008
2. <del>NONAN</del> DONOVAN, PATRICK		RANK PTLMN.	STAR NO. 16246	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 008
ACCUSED	SEX/RACE 1. M/W	D.O.B. [REDACTED] 67	DATE OF APPOINTMENT 24 Apr 91	DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		PHYS. COND. CODE* 01
	2. M/W	[REDACTED] 67	2 JAN 91	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		01
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE	
1. D		[REDACTED]		-----A		
2.						
COMPLAINANTS	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	no phone	F/B	[REDACTED] 37
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	no phone	M/H	[REDACTED] 31
VICTIMS	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	F/B	[REDACTED] 25
WITNESSES	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] 68

☒ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS  
Number #1 complainant who did not witness the alleged incident alleged that a number of uniformed police officers kicked in the victim's (her daughter, the victim one [REDACTED] front door, searched and ransacked the home without permission or a warrant. No arrest. Complainant number #2 who also did not witness the alleged incident alleges that the unknown police officer while ransacking his girlfriend's (the victim [REDACTED] apartment damaged her personal belongings and that \$300.00 USC was missing from the apartment. No arrest.

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES\*

01 Food Sales/Restaurant	11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
02 Tavern/Liquor Store	12 Park District Property
03 Other Business Establishment	13 Airport
04 Police Building	14 Public Property - Other
05 Lockup Facility	15 Other Private Premise
06 Police Maintenance Facility	16 Expressway/Interstate System
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility	17 Public Way - Other
08 Other Police Property	18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
09 Police Communications System	19 Private Residence
10 Court Room	

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

\* \* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.



Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).

In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments. 1. The complainants alleged that a number of unknown uniformed officers kicked in the victim's rear door, searched and ransacked the apartment without a warrant or permission, while doing so damaged the victim's personal belongings, also they alleged that \$300.00 USC was missing from the apartment.

2. The R/Sgt conducted interviews with the complainants, the alleged victim and the alleged witnesses, (see att#16) they all stated that they did not witness the alleged incident and were not there on the date and time of the alleged incident, but that someone had told them that the police had been at the apartment upon their return.

3. During the interview with the complainant (see att#16) he related to the R/Sgt that his brother who was the first person at the apartment after the police (one [REDACTED]) is a thief and that the brother who lives on the street may have ransacked the apartment and taken the alleged USC. [REDACTED] is also one of the alleged witnesses. [REDACTED] the complainant after being informed by the R/Sgt that in an interview the next door neighbor one [REDACTED] M/B/18yrs of [REDACTED] St he stated to the R/Sgt that he told the officers that were chasing the offenders in the shots fired call that the offenders had ran into his girl friend's (the victim's) apartment with this [REDACTED] stated to the R/Sgt that he no longer wished to pursue his complaint against any member of the Chicago Police Dept. and would sign a form stating this. (see att#17)

4. The accused officers stated in their reports that they responded to a call of shots fired in the area of [REDACTED] upon arrival off. McNamara and off. Donovan observed three male black subjects with guns in the CHA courtyard, the off. gave chase until the offenders ran into a residence at [REDACTED], a neighbor next door one [REDACTED] M/B/18yrs of [REDACTED] also told officer [REDACTED] that the offenders had

SUMMARY

ATTACHMENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
8	1 ATT#18	0	18

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for ..... days (not to exceed 5 days)

The R/Sgt concludes that with witnesses or physical evidence to support the allegation of the complainant that this complaint be NON SUSTAINED.

RECOMMENDATION FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION: NONE

**"REVIEWED BY"**

AUG 12 1994

FINDINGS—RECOMMENDATIONS

DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)	20 JUNE 94	DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)	7 JULY 94	ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME, EXPRESSED IN DAYS)	17 days
--	------------	--	-----------	--	---------

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 x 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER TO CONTINUE ANY ITEM.

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-  
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

217854

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)  
30 May 1995

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION ☐ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☐ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME Charles M. Holz		RANK SGT	STAR NO. 907	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 008
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT 3515 W. 63rd Street		DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME on going unk		BEAT OF INCIDENT 823		LOCATION CODE* 04
NAME 1. GLOVER, Kevin		RANK PO	STAR NO. 17615	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 008
2. DNA						
SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)		PHYS. COND. CODE†
1. M/B	[REDACTED] 1959	9 Sep 1985		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		01
2.				<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE	
1. DNA						
2. DNA						
NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE
[REDACTED]		008th Dist (Det 703)		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	F/B
DNA						
NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE
Same as Complainant						
DNA						
NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE
DNA						
DNA						

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

The complainant alleged that the accused officer engaged in unprofessional conduct at the work place in that he verbally abused her.

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES\*

01 Food Sales/Restaurant	11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
02 Tavern/Liquor Store	12 Park District Property
03 Other Business Establishment	13 Airport
04 Police Building	14 Public Property - Other
05 Lockup Facility	15 Other Private Premise
06 Police Maintenance Facility	16 Expressway/Interstate System
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility	17 Public Way - Other
08 Other Police Property	18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
09 Police Communications System	19 Private Residence
10 Court Room	

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

\* \* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).  
In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

Investigation revealed that on 23 Apr 95, [REDACTED] # 12115 (Complainant) purchased a Rottweiler dog from Officer GLOVER # 17615 (Accused) for the amount of \$300 USC, verbal agreement. [REDACTED] gave Officer Glover a Check for \$150 with a promise for another \$150 on 1 May 95. On May 1st, [REDACTED] did not pay Officer GLOVER the \$150 owed. Informing him that she would only pay him when she received the AKC papers. [REDACTED] stated that several times Officer GLOVER has approached her, at work and calling her at home demanding the money owed him. Once in the 008th District parking lot he had asked her when she would be home cause he would be there to pick up the dog. She then asked GLOVER why he was acting so crazy. He replied I'll show you how crazy I can get. At this time [REDACTED] feared that this was a threat and reported the incident as a CR investigation. At no time was she physically assaulted by Officer Glover during any incident. Further stating that she has no intention in paying Officer GLOVER the additional \$150, AKC papers or not. Since Officer GLOVER told her to FUCK IT, she feels that this is the second agreement with him and she does not owe him the money nor does she intend to pay him and wants to be left alone.

AND

AND

SUMMARY

10

ATTACHMENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
3	2,7	0	8

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for ..... days (not to exceed 5 days).

This alleged incident transpired after the Officers, verbal business arrangement over a dog had failed.

At this time there is insufficient evidence to prove the allegation against Officer GLOVER.

ALLEGATION: That Officer GLOVER acted in a unprofessional manner and Threaten [REDACTED] while at the work place.

RECOMMENDATION: NOT SUSTAINED

FINDINGS—RECOMMENDATIONS

REVIEWED BY: [Signature]  
DATE: AUG 2 1995

DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)	27 May 1995	DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)	30 May 1995	ELAPSED TIME (DAYS)	3
--	-------------	--	-------------	------------------------	---

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 x 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER TO CONTINUE ANY ITEM.

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-  
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

220461/140708

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

6 February 1996

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION ☐ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☒ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.			
HOWARD, Victor T.		P.A.	15854			121/CIS			
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT		DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME		BEAT OF INCIDENT		LOCATION CODE*			
GAGE PARK 24 Wst 55th Street		UNK		824		12			
ACCUSED	NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.		
	1. GLOVER, Kevin		P.O.	17615			008		
	2.								
	SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)		PHYS. COND. CODE†		
	1. M/B		1959 9 Sept 1985		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY				
2.				<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY					
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE				
1. DNA									
2.									
COMPLAINANTS	NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†
	UNK		UNK			UNK	M/UNK	UNK	01
VICTIMS	NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†
	DNA								
WITNESSES	NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†
	UNK		UNK			UNK	UNK	UNK	UNK

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS

It is alleged that P.O. Kevin Glover, Star 17615, assigned to the 008 District, is using and selling marijuana while on duty at Gage Park.

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES\*

01 Food Sales/Restaurant	11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
02 Tavern/Liquor Store	12 Park District Property
03 Other Business Establishment	13 Airport
04 Police Building	14 Public Property - Other
05 Lockup Facility	15 Other Private Premise
06 Police Maintenance Facility	16 Expressway/Interstate System
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility	17 Public Way - Other
08 Other Police Property	18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
09 Police Communications System	19 Private Residence
10 Court Room	

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

CPD-44.112A (1/84)

C.R. NO.

220461/140708

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).  
In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

This investigation was initiated on 25 August 1995, after Captain Harry Hohn, Star 156, assigned to the 008 District, received an anonymous telephone call. The caller identified himself as a police officer. The caller related that he had received information from an unidentified worker at Gage Park, that the accused was using and selling marijuana at the park. Officer Glover was assigned to Gage Park in the summer of 1994. (ATT. # 1 & 2 ).

During the course of this investigation Captain Hohn was contacted and related that he had spoken to the park's supervisor. The supervisor related that Officer Glover did a fine job and had no complaints while assigned to the park during the summer of 1994. (ATT. #3).

The accused's personnel file was reviewed and photo obtained. (ATT. #4).

There were a total of five (5) surveillances conducted during the course of this investigation. None of these surveillances showed the accused subject, Police Officer Kevin Glover, involved in any narcotics activity. A surveillance at Gage Park also proved negative for any illegal activity. (ATT. # 5,6,7,8 & 9 ).

None of the surveillances conducted during the course of this investigation provided any information regarding the allegation that was made by the anonymous police officer. The information and evidence contained in this Summary Report Digest supports a finding of NOT SUSTAINED.

SUMMARY

ATTACH- MENTS	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-- SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-- SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
	1 & 2	3,4,5,6,7, 8 & 9	0	9

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for ..... days (not to exceed 5 days).

FINDING: NOT SUSTAINED. Insufficient evidence to either prove or disapprove the allegation.

RECOMMENDATION: No violation noted. No disciplinary action warranted.

FINDINGS--RECOMMENDATIONS

REVIEWED BY [REDACTED]  
MAR 06 1996

DATE INITIATED  
(DATE COMPLAINT WAS  
RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION) 25Aug95

DATE COMPLETED  
(DATE OF THIS  
REPORT) 6 Feb 1996

ELAPSED TIME  
(TOTAL TIME,  
EXPRESSED IN DAYS)

135

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.

[REDACTED] #15854

Summary Report Digest (continued)  
C.R. #220461  
Conf. #140708

EVIDENCE:

1. Complaint against Department member.
2. Initiation Report, dated 25 August 1996, from Captain Harry Hohn, #156.
3. Progress Report, dated 14 September 1995, from P.O. Ronald D. Forgue, #3255.
4. Progress Report and Related Documents, dated 24 September 1995, from P.O. Ronald D. Forgue, #3255.
5. Surveillance Report, dated 31 October 1995, from P.O. Ronald D. Forgues, #3255.
6. Surveillance Report and Related Documents, dated 9 January 1996, from P.A. Victor T. Howard, Star 15854.
7. Surveillance Report, dated 10 January 1996, from P.A. Victor T. Howard, #15854.
8. Surveillance Report, dated 17 January 1996, from P.A. Victor T. Howard, #15854.
9. Surveillance Report, dated 22 January 1996, from P.A. Victor T. Howard, #15854.
10. Progress Report and Related Documents, dated 13 February 1996, from Victor T. Howard, Star 15854.

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-  
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

225995

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)  
29 April 1996  
10 May 1996T

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION ☒ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☐ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME Monika L. Norwood		RANK Inv	STAR NO. 140	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 113
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT [REDACTED]		DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME 30 Mar 96 2030		BEAT OF INCIDENT 2234		LOCATION CODE* 17
NAME 1. Glover, Kevin D.		RANK PO	STAR NO. 17615	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 008
ACCUSED	SEX/RACE 1. M/B	D.O.B. [REDACTED] 59	DATE OF APPOINTMENT 09 Sep 85		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT) <input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	
					<input type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH		
1.						
2.						
COMPLAINANT	NAME [REDACTED]	ADDRESS** [REDACTED]	CITY STATE [REDACTED]	TELEPHONE [REDACTED]	SEX/RACE F/B	D.O.B./AGE 41 yoa
						PHYS. COND. CODE† 01
VICTIMS	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
						PHYS. COND. CODE†
WITNESSES	NAME [REDACTED]	ADDRESS** [REDACTED]	CITY STATE [REDACTED]	TELEPHONE [REDACTED]	SEX/RACE F/B	D.O.B./AGE 22 yoa
						PHYS. COND. CODE† 01

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS The complaint was registered via Pax from Sgt. Gerald Koch, Star #1999, district 022, on 30 March 1996, at 2200 hours, on behalf of the complainant, [REDACTED] e. [REDACTED], alleged that on 30 March 1996, at approximately 2030 hours, at [REDACTED], outside, Officer Kevin Glover, Star #17615, 1) kicked the front door and displayed his weapon without justification and then 2) threatened her by stating, "I'm going to kill you bitch, I'm going to shoot you bitch."

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES\*

01 Food Sales/Restaurant	11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
02 Tavern/Liquor Store	12 Park District Property
03 Other Business Establishment	13 Airport
04 Police Building	14 Public Property - Other
05 Lockup Facility	15 Other Private Premise
06 Police Maintenance Facility	16 Expressway/Interstate System
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility	17 Public Way - Other
08 Other Police Property	18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
09 Police Communications System	19 Private Residence
10 Court Room	

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

\*\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

SUMMARY

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s). In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

The Initiation Report by Sgt. Gerald Koch, Star #1999, indicated that on 30 March 1996, he responded to a request for a supervisor at the scene of a domestic incident involving a police officer. Upon arrival the victim, [REDACTED] stated that Officer Kevin Glover kicked her door and then drove by her house on two occasions and threatened to shoot her while displaying a silver revolver.

Complainant, [REDACTED] stated that she wanted to drop the complaint due to the fact that the complaint would only cause problems for her sister, [REDACTED] who is Officer Glover's [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] further stated that on 30 March 1996, Officer Glover followed [REDACTED] over to her house and when [REDACTED] refused to allow him to come in, Officer Glover became upset. [REDACTED] said that Officer Glover was drunk at the time. [REDACTED] could not explain how she knew Officer Glover was intoxicated. [REDACTED] did not add anything further but agreed to sign a drop complaint form.

During the course of the investigation, [REDACTED] failed to send the signed Drop Complaint form to the undersigned.

Witness, [REDACTED] said that on 30 March 1996, she heard yelling coming from downstairs. [REDACTED] heard name calling such as "Bitch. You bald headed bitch." Officer Glover was standing on the front porch and asked to be let in the house. When her mother, [REDACTED] refused to let him in, Officer Glover became upset and kicked the storm door and then left. At this time, her aunt, [REDACTED] left out of the house also. Officer Glover then drove around the block and when he drove

ATTACH-  
MENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS—  
SUPPORTING ALLEGATION  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

1,4,7

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS—  
SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S)  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

9,17

PHYSICAL EVIDENCE  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS  
SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:

18

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for ..... days (not to exceed 5 days).

FINDINGS:

Allegations #1 - #2 NOT SUSTAINED against Officer Kevin Glover

APPROVED:

[REDACTED]

O.P.S. Supervisor A. Peterson, Star #100

/lt

FINDINGS—RECOMMENDATIONS

DATE INITIATED  
(DATE COMPLAINT WAS  
RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)

01 Apr 96

DATE COMPLETED  
(DATE OF THIS  
REPORT)

29 Apr 96

ELAPSED TIME  
(TOTAL TIME,  
EXPRESSED IN DAYS)

28 days

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review  
form by completing the Investigator's Section.

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 x 11" SHEET OF



in front of their house, he yelled out "bitch." The second time, Officer Glover drove around the block and in front of their house, he yelled out the drivers side window, "You bitch, I'm gonna shoot you and shoot up the house." The third time Officer Glover drove around the block, he yelled out "bitch" again. Officer Glover did not return. When the police arrived, a report was written.

During the course of the investigation, the undersigned scheduled [REDACTED] to come in and give a statement on two occasions. [REDACTED] failed to keep her scheduled appointments.

[REDACTED], the accused [REDACTED], said that on 30 March 1996, she was not involved in any type of dispute with [REDACTED] Officer Kevin Glover. Ms. [REDACTED] related that her [REDACTED] overreacted and everything was blown out of proportion. [REDACTED] stated that the allegations were not true. Ms. [REDACTED] further stated that she left [REDACTED] house and did not witness anything involving Officer Glover and [REDACTED]

Case Report, [REDACTED] Aggravated Assault with a handgun, indicated that the officers responded to a call of a domestic involving a Chicago Police Officer. The victim, [REDACTED] said that Officer Glover had been involved in a domestic altercation with her sister, [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] the victim related that Officer Glover/ the officer kicked her front door and after [REDACTED] left, Officer Glover then drove by her house twice with a bottle of Crown Royal in one hand and waving a revolver out the window with the other hand, yelling, "I'm gonna to shoot you bitch." Witness #2, [REDACTED] stated that she heard the threat but was unable to see offender due to poor eyesight.

The accused, Officer Kevin Glover, Star #17615, said that on 30 March 1996, he went to visit [REDACTED] because his wife, [REDACTED] and his children were there. Officer Glover related that [REDACTED] had become upset with him earlier for stopping to get a steak sandwich. So when Officer Glover stopped at [REDACTED] [REDACTED] his wife refused to let him inside. Officer Glover said, "Fine." When Officer Glover finished eating his sandwich, he walked to the back to the alley and threw his paper in the garbage can. Officer Glover walked to the front of the house and [REDACTED] told him, 'Don't try to break no window trying to get in.' Officer Glover then asked [REDACTED] if he could come in to wash his hands and when [REDACTED] told [REDACTED] to let him in, [REDACTED] stated, "I'm not letting that motherfucker in." Officer Glover said, "Fine be that way" and then left. Officer Glover said that he passed [REDACTED] house only to see if his family left. Officer Glover denied the allegations.

#### CONCLUSION:

The complainant failed to cooperate with the investigation and the witness failed to give a statement, therefore some questions were not answered. The only other witness, [REDACTED] stated that the allegations were not true. There is insufficient evidence to either prove or disprove the allegations, therefore this case should be Not Sustained against Officer Glover.

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-  
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

235525

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

22 May 1997  
23 June 1997 T

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION ☒ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☐ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME Monika L. Norwood		RANK Inv	STAR NO. 140	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 113
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT 7740 S. Western/St. Rita High School outside		DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME 21 Mar 97 1900/Sept 96		BEAT OF INCIDENT 1500 1530		LOCATION CODE* 17
NAME 1. Glover, Kevin		RANK PO	STAR NO. 17615	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 008
ACCUSED	SEX/RACE 1. M/B	D.O.B. [REDACTED] 59	DATE OF APPOINTMENT 09 Sept 85		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	
					<input type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH		DISPOSITION & DATE
1.						
2.						
COMPLAINANTS	NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE F/B
	[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	D.O.B./AGE [REDACTED] 62
VICTIMS	NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE M/B
	[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	D.O.B./AGE [REDACTED] 82
WITNESSES	NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE
						D.O.B./AGE

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS The complaint was received via Bell from the complainant [REDACTED] on 22 March 1997, at 1625 hours, in the Office of Professional Standards, on behalf of the victim, [REDACTED] alleged that in September 1996, on an unspecified date, at approximately 1500-1530 hours, and again on 21 March 1997, at approximately 1900 hours, outside of St. Rita High School, the accused 1) harassed and threatened the victim in that he told him he would kick his ass and give him a criminal record if he fucked with his son.

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES\*

01 Food Sales/Restaurant	11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
02 Tavern/Liquor Store	12 Park District Property
03 Other Business Establishment	13 Airport
04 Police Building	14 Public Property - Other
05 Lockup Facility	15 Other Private Premise
06 Police Maintenance Facility	16 Expressway/Interstate System
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility	17 Public Way - Other
08 Other Police Property	18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
09 Police Communications System	19 Private Residence
10 Court Room	

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s). In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

The complainant, [REDACTED] mother of the victim, [REDACTED], stated during a telephone interview that she did not witness the incident in question. [REDACTED] related that Officer Glover, now known as Officer Kevin Glover, went to St. Rita with his partner and humiliated [REDACTED] and threatened to arrest him. Officer Glover told [REDACTED] "If you fuck with my son, I can make sure you have a record." [REDACTED] further related that Officer Glover then followed [REDACTED] to McDonald's restaurant where he humiliated him again. Another parent at the school heard Officer Glover screaming at [REDACTED] so the parent drove him to the McDonalds. [REDACTED] only knew this parent as [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated that she would obtain [REDACTED] information and would have [REDACTED] contact the undersigned to be interviewed.

During the course of the investigation, the undersigned made attempts to interview the victim, [REDACTED] but had negative results. First of all, [REDACTED] failed to contact the undersigned and failed to contact the undersigned with the information on the witness, [REDACTED]. The undersigned telephoned [REDACTED] several times regarding an interview with [REDACTED] and the witness's information, but was unable to contact [REDACTED]. The undersigned was unable to leave messages because there was no answering machine or voice mail. The undersigned left one message with [REDACTED] daughter a letter was also sent to [REDACTED] residence. Since the undersigned was unable to contact [REDACTED] during daytime hours, a third watch investigator contacted Ms. [REDACTED] for the undersigned. [REDACTED] was scheduled to come to the Office of Professional Standards with [REDACTED], but failed to keep the appointment and failed to contact the undersigned. The victim, [REDACTED] was therefore unable to be interviewed.

SUMMARY

ATTACH-  
MENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
1,2	12,15	0	16

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for ..... days (not to exceed 5 days).

Findings:

Allegation #1 against Officer Glover: Not Sustained

[REDACTED] #60  
APPROVED: Acting Supervisor Dennis Jones #60

FINDINGS—RECOMMENDATIONS

DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)	25 Mar 97	DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)	22 May 97	ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME, EXPRESSED IN DAYS)	59 days
--	-----------	--	-----------	--	---------

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2" x 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER TO CONTINUE ANY ITEM.

Summary Continued:

During the course of the investigation, the undersigned reviewed the Alpha Listing in an attempt to find the Accused Officer Glover of the 008th district. The undersigned discovered that Officer Kevin Glover, star #17615 was the only officer listed in the 008th district with the last name of Glover. The undersigned therefore made Officer Kevin Glover the accused.

The Accused, Officer Kevin Glover, star #17615, stated that in September 1996, he was at St. Rita High School because he picks his son up everyday. Officer Glover further stated that he picks his son up at 1415 hours. Officer Glover related that the only time he came into contact with [REDACTED] was in September 1996 when his son, [REDACTED] was jumped on by [REDACTED]. After this, [REDACTED] continued with the harassment to [REDACTED]. Officer Glover said that he and his partner, Officer Holland went to St. Rita to talk to the football coach to see what was going on being that practice was over at 1700 hours. With, [REDACTED] being present, Officer Glover told [REDACTED] what he did was a criminal offense and that his parents aren't paying their money for him to go to school and fight and he wasn't either. Officer Glover stated that his son and [REDACTED] continued to have problems. After a incident between Ryan and [REDACTED] in March 1997, Officer Glover's wife sent a letter to St. Rita informing them of the harassment that Ryan had towards [REDACTED]. Officer Glover stated that he believes this is the reason for the complaint.

During the course of the investigation, the Accused named Coach Quinn as a witness to a conversation involving the accused and the victim. The undersigned attempted to contact Coach Quinn but had negative results, therefore he was unable to be interviewed.

Officer Sherice Holland, star #17385, was made a witness officer after being named by Officer Glover. Officer Holland related that in September 1996, she was working in the 008th district, but did not recall visiting St. Rita High School with Officer Glover. Officer Holland further stated that she has never witnessed Officer Glover at anytime threaten or harass anyone while working with him.

CONCLUSION:

Due to the fact that the undersigned was unable to interview the victim, [REDACTED] and there were no supporting witnesses to the incident and no physical evidence, there is insufficient evidence to either prove or disprove the allegation. Therefore the undersigned recommends a finding of Not Sustained against Officer Glover.

KT

**SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-  
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT**

241408

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)  
10 Dec 97

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION ☐ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☐ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME Samanas, Veronica		RANK Sgt	STAR NO. 2168	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 008
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT 53 & New England		DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME Tues. 21 Oct 97, 1800		BEAT OF INCIDENT 811	LOCATION CODE* 17	
NAME 1. GLOVER, Kevin		RANK PO	STAR NO. 17615	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 008
ACCUSED	SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)	
	1. M/B [REDACTED]	59	09 Sep 85		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	
	2.				<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	
	IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE
COMPLAINANTS	NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE
	[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	SEX/RACE		D.O.B./AGE		PHYS. COND. CODE†	
	F/WH		[REDACTED] 69, 28			
VICTIMS	NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE
	See Complainant					
	SEX/RACE		D.O.B./AGE		PHYS. COND. CODE†	
WITNESSES	NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE
	[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	SEX/RACE		D.O.B./AGE		PHYS. COND. CODE†	
	F/WH		unk/unk			

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

**ALLEGATIONS**

The complainant alleges that she was involved in a traffic accident and the accused did not address or question her regarding the accident. The complainant alleges that the accused "blew off" her sisters, (witnesses) and the accused indicated on the police report that the complainant was the striking vehicle, when in fact the complainant had the right of way. The complainant alleges that she was informed that the accused would interview her at the emergency room but instead the accused issued her citations wrongfully.  
RD# B-676287  
Citations: T7-055-895 & 896

**I.A.D. LOCATION CODES\***

01 Food Sales/Restaurant	11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
02 Tavern/Liquor Store	12 Park District Property
03 Other Business Establishment	13 Airport
04 Police Building	14 Public Property - Other
05 Lockup Facility	15 Other Private Premise
06 Police Maintenance Facility	16 Expressway/Interstate System
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility	17 Public Way - Other
08 Other Police Property	18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
09 Police Communications System	19 Private Residence
10 Court Room	

**I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†**

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).  
In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

SUMMARY

The reporting sergeant was assigned to this investigation on 12 Nov 97 by Capt. Hohm of the third watch. The R/S had made several negative attempts to reach complainant and witnesses by telephone. A certified letter was sent via mailroom, with delivery dated 21 Nov 97 (article #6526040.) The complainant arranged for an in person interview with R/S scheduled for 28 Nov 97 at her residence. The complainant indicated that the witnesses would also be present. On 07 Dec 97 PO Glover was informed of the allegations against him, his administrative rights and waived his rights to counsel. The accused submitted a written report outlining his contact with the complainant and witnesses. PO Glover denies the allegations in total. During the interview at the complainant's home, the witnesses failed to appear. The interview with the complainant revealed that she had been involved in a traffic accident where she believed that the other vehicle was at fault and because Officer Glover refused to accept her explanation of her vehicle being positioned on the right of the other vehicle involved, she had the right of way. R/S informed the complainant that the concept of the vehicle on the right having the right of way applies where there is a traffic control device and that the vehicle having first entered the intersection has the right of way in other situations such as this. The complainant was reluctant to accept this explanation and by her own admissions indicated to the R/S that the citations issued were lawful and correct (Failure to wear a seatbelt and failure to yield right of way). The complainant also indicated that she was alone in the vehicle and the presence of the witnesses came after she had called them to the scene. Based on the statements of the complainant, the refuting report of Officer Glover, the R/S finds that the allegations in the complaint are without merit. Therefore the R/S finds that this complaint is UNFOUNDED, in that the allegations that the officer failed to conduct a proper investigation by interviewing the complainant and her sister's (who were not present and could not

ATTACHMENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS—  
SUPPORTING ALLEGATION  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

0

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS—  
SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S)  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

8 8,9

PHYSICAL EVIDENCE

LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

9

TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS  
SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:

9

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for ..... days (not to exceed 5 days).

FINDINGS—RECOMMENDATIONS

FINDINGS:

- Allegation 1. That the accused did not question the accused or her witnesses regarding the accident. R/S recommends a finding of UNFOUNDED
- Allegation 2. That the accused indicated on the accident report that the complainant was the striking vehicle when the complainant had the right of way. R/S recommends a finding of UNFOUNDED.
- Allegation 3. That the accused issued her citations wrongfully. R/S recommends a finding of UNFOUNDED.

RECOMMENDATION: No Disciplinary Action Recommended

REVIEWED BY: *bm*

DATE: JAN 30 1998

DATE INITIATED  
(DATE COMPLAINT WAS  
RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)

13 Nov 97

DATE COMPLETED  
(DATE OF THIS  
REPORT)

10 Dec 97

ELAPSED TIME  
(TOTAL TIME,  
EXPRESSED IN DAYS)

28

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.

Summary Report  
Complaint Register #241408

10 Dec 97

continuation of narrative:

testify to the events) is not sustained by evidence or statements(See Attachment# 9  
Traffic Accident report). Officer Glover's investigation, documented on the  
Traffic Accident report submitted is correct and truthful. The citations issued  
are also correct and lawful, despite the complainant's reluctance to accept the  
acknowledgement of a traffic code violation.

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-  
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

254420

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO-YEAR)

14 July 1999

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION ☐ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☒ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME WILLIAMS, Reginald C.			RANK P.A.	STAR NO. 7892	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 121 G
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT 36 [REDACTED] West Polk, Inside Residence			DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME 25 Nov 1994 Unknown		BEAT OF INCIDENT 1133		LOCATION CODE* 19
NAME 1. JASKI, William J.			RANK Sgt.	STAR NO. 1815	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 024
2. PALLOHUSKY, John			Det.	20100	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	650
ACCUSED	SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)		PHYS. COND. CODE†
	1. M/W	[REDACTED] 60	25 Jan 88		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN 01
	2. M/W	[REDACTED] 56	7 Nov 88		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN 01
	IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE	
1.							
2.							
COMPLAINANTS	NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	PHYS. COND. CODE†
	[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	F/W UNKNOWN 01
VICTIMS	NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	PHYS. COND. CODE†
	[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	UNKNOWN M/B UNKNOWN 01
WITNESSES	NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	PHYS. COND. CODE†
	UNKNOWN						

☒ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS  
This complaint was filed with the Office Of Professional Standards, with Investigator John WILMOUTH #256, by the complainant [REDACTED] on 18 June 1999, at about 0935 hours. This complaint, that was filed by [REDACTED] is in conjunction with Civil Suit [REDACTED]

SEE PAGE TWO, THREE, AND FOUR FOR SPECIFIC ALLEGATIONS

I.A.D. LOCATION CODES\*

01 Food Sales/Restaurant	11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
02 Tavern/Liquor Store	12 Park District Property
03 Other Business Establishment	13 Airport
04 Police Building	14 Public Property - Other
05 Lockup Facility	15 Other Private Premise
06 Police Maintenance Facility	16 Expressway/Interstate System
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility	17 Public Way - Other
08 Other Police Property	18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
09 Police Communications System	19 Private Residence
10 Court Room	

I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

\* \* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

CPD-44.112A (1/84)

C.R. NO.

254420



Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).  
In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

The Reporting Agent attempted to prove or disprove the allegations by doing the following.

On 21 June 1999 at about 1400 hours, the undersigned was assigned to investigate the allegations into Civil Suit [REDACTED] that was filed in conjunction with Complaint Register 254420, by [REDACTED]. In her complaint [REDACTED] alleged that her client, now identified as [REDACTED], was victimized by several Department members in that on 25 November 1994, at [REDACTED] at an unknown time, the accused members, falsely arrested her client, maliciously prosecuted him and wrongfully imprisoned her client [REDACTED]. The arrest was related to a narcotic investigation at 36 [REDACTED] West Polk.

On 23 June 1999, the Reporting Agent contacted the complainant [REDACTED]. In short, [REDACTED] advised the undersigned that under no circumstances would she allow her client, [REDACTED], to be interviewed by the Internal Affairs Division. The conversation was terminated at that point. [REDACTED] did not provide the undersigned with any other information.

PLEASE SEE PAGE SIX OF COMPLAINT REGISTER 254420

SUMMARY

ATTACH-  
MENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS--  
SUPPORTING ALLEGATION  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

2

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS--  
SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S)  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

7, 8, 9, 10, 11

PHYSICAL EVIDENCE  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

2, 6

TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS  
SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:

11

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for ..... days (not to exceed 5 days).

#### FINDINGS:

The investigation shows that a search warrant was apparently executed at the location of [REDACTED] Polk on 25 November 1994, by the 015th District Tactical Team, with assistance by other individuals. There are apparently no documents available from this incident and there is insufficient evidence to prove or disprove that the members involved did anything improper. In addition, coupled with a lack of any known witnesses and a lack of cooperation by the complainant, Attorney [REDACTED], the undersigned recommends that this case be classified as NOT SUSTAINED.

PLEASE SEE PAGE EIGHT OF COMPLAINT REGISTER 254420

FINDINGS--RECOMMENDATIONS

DATE INITIATED  
(DATE COMPLAINT WAS  
RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)

21 June 1999

DATE COMPLETED  
(DATE OF THIS  
REPORT)

14 July 1999

ELAPSED TIME  
(TOTAL TIME,  
EXPRESSED IN DAYS)

21 DAYS

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.

PAGE TWO OF COMPLAINT REGISTER 254420

ADDITIONAL ACCUSED:

3. GLOVER, Kevin D Sergeant 021 1587  
9 September 1985 [REDACTED] 1959  
[REDACTED] Male Black 01
4. LEYENDECKER, Joseph J. Youth Officer 40207 071  
5 December 1988 [REDACTED] 1961 [REDACTED]
5. BUTLER, Valerie F. Police Officer 12065 284  
[REDACTED] 1991 [REDACTED] 1963 [REDACTED]  
Female Black

ALLEGATIONS:

1. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED] alleged that on 25 November 1994, at 36 [REDACTED] West Polk, at an unknown time, the accused Sergeant William JASKI, 1815, 024, entered the residence of her client, [REDACTED], pursuant to a warrant and was verbally abusive by telling [REDACTED] to shut the fuck up.
2. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED], alleged that on 25 November 1994, at 36 [REDACTED] West Polk, at an unknown time, the accused Sergeant William JASKI, 1815, 024, falsely arrested [REDACTED]
3. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED] alleged that on 25 November 1994, at an unknown time, the accused Sergeant William JASKI, 1815, 024, wrongfully imprisoned her client [REDACTED]
4. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED], alleged that on 25 November 1994, at 36 [REDACTED] West Polk, at an unknown time, the accused Sergeant William JASKI, 1815, 024, maliciously prosecuted her client [REDACTED]

ACCUSED # 2 PALLOHUSKY John Detective 20100

1. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED], alleged that on 25 November 1994, at 36 [REDACTED] West Polk, at an unknown time, the accused Detective John PALLOHUSKY, entered the residence of her client, [REDACTED], pursuant to a warrant and was verbally abusive by telling [REDACTED] to shut the fuck up.
2. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED] alleged that on 25 November 1994, at 36 [REDACTED] West Polk, at an unknown time, the accused Detective John PALLOHUSKY, 20100, 650, falsely arrested her client [REDACTED]

PAGE FIVE OF COMPLAINT REGISTER 254420

ADDITIONAL ACCUSED:

6. RAMOS, Alex 015 [REDACTED] Police Officer 5 December 1988  
[REDACTED] [REDACTED] Male Hispanic 29 Jun 92 [REDACTED] 1965
7. MOORE, M.L. 015 [REDACTED] Police Officer 7 December 1987  
[REDACTED] 1948 [REDACTED] Male Black [REDACTED]

ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS: ACCUSED #6 Alex RAMOS

1. The complainant Attorney [REDACTED], alleged that on 25 November 1994, at [REDACTED] at an unknown time, the accused former Police Officer Alex RAMOS, 17190, 015, entered the residence of her client, [REDACTED] pursuant to a warrant and was verbally abusive by telling [REDACTED] to shut the fuck up.
2. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED], alleged that on 25 November 1994, at [REDACTED] at an unknown time, the accused former Officer Alex RAMOS falsely arrested her client [REDACTED]
3. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED] alleged that on 25 November 1994, at [REDACTED] at an unknown time, the accused former Officer Alex RAMOS, wrongfully imprisoned her client [REDACTED]
4. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED], alleged that on 25 November 1994, at [REDACTED] at an unknown time, the accused former Officer Alex RAMOS, maliciously prosecuted her client [REDACTED].

ACCUSED #7 M.L. MOORE

1. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED], alleged that on 25 November 1994, at 36 [REDACTED] West Polk, at an unknown time, the accused former Officer M.L. MOORE, entered the residence of her client, [REDACTED] pursuant to a search warrant and was verbally abusive by telling [REDACTED] to shut the fuck up.
2. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED], alleged that on 25 November 1994, at [REDACTED] at an unknown time the accused former Officer M.L. MOORE falsely arrested [REDACTED].
3. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED], alleged that on 25 November 1994, at [REDACTED] at an unknown time, the accused former Officer M.L. MOORE wrongfully imprisoned [REDACTED].

ALLEGATIONS CONTINUED:

3. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED], alleged that on 25 November 1994, at an unknown time, the accused Detective John PALLOHUSKY, 20100, 650, wrongfully imprisoned her client [REDACTED]
4. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED], alleged that on 25 November 1994, at an unknown time, the accused Detective John PALLOHUSKY, 20100, 650, maliciously prosecuted her client [REDACTED]

ACCUSED #3 Kevin GLOVER Sergeant 1587

1. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED], alleged that on 25 November 1994, at an unknown time, the accused Sergeant Kevin D. GLOVER, 1587, entered the residence of her client, [REDACTED], pursuant to a warrant and was verbally abusive by telling [REDACTED] to shut the fuck up.
2. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED], alleged that on 25 November 1994, at an unknown time, the accused Sergeant Kevin D. GLOVER, 1587, 021, at [REDACTED] falsely arrested her client [REDACTED].
3. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED], alleged that on 25 November 1994, at an unknown time, the accused Sergeant Kevin D. GLOVER, 1587, 021, wrongfully imprisoned her client, [REDACTED]
4. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED], alleged that on 25 November 1994, at an unknown time, the accused Sergeant Kevin D. GLOVER, 1587, 021, at [REDACTED], the accused maliciously prosecuted her client [REDACTED]

ACCUSED #4 Joseph LEYENDECKER Detective 40207

1. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED], alleged that on 25 November 1994, at an unknown time, the accused Detective Joseph LEYENDECKER entered the residence of her client, [REDACTED], pursuant to a warrant and was verbally abusive by telling [REDACTED] to shut the fuck up.
2. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED], alleged that on 25 November 1994, at an unknown time, the accused Detective LEYENDECKER at [REDACTED], falsely arrested [REDACTED]

PAGE FOUR OF COMPLAINT REGISTER 254420

3. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED], alleged that on 25 November 1994, at an unknown time, the accused Detective Joseph LEYENDECKER, at [REDACTED] wrongfully imprisoned her client [REDACTED].
4. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED], alleged that on 25 November 1994, at an unknown time, the accused Detective Joseph LEYENDECKER, at 36 [REDACTED] West Polk, the accused maliciously prosecuted her client [REDACTED].

ACCUSED #5                      Valerie F. BUTLER      Police Officer      12065

1. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED] alleged that on 25 November 1994, at an unknown time, the accused Police Officer Valerie BUTLER, 12065, 284, at [REDACTED] entered the residence of her client [REDACTED] pursuant to a warrant and was verbally abusive by telling [REDACTED] to shut the fuck up.
2. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED], alleged that on 25 November 1994, at an unknown time, the accused Police Officer Valerie BUTLER, 12065, 284, at [REDACTED], falsely arrested her client [REDACTED].
3. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED], alleged that on 25 November 1994, at an unknown time, the accused Police Officer Valerie BUTLER, 12065, 284, at [REDACTED], wrongfully imprisoned her client [REDACTED].
4. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED], alleged that on 25 November 1994, at an unknown time, the accused Police Officer Valerie BUTLER, 12065, 284, at [REDACTED] maliciously prosecuted her client [REDACTED].

ALLEGATIONS CONTINUED:

4. The complainant, Attorney [REDACTED] alleged that on 25 November 1994, at [REDACTED], at an unknown time, the accused former Officer M.L. MOORE, maliciously prosecuted her client [REDACTED]

The victim/client of Attorney [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] could not be interviewed.

The investigation continued and the undersigned attempted to obtain a copy of the search warrant in question from [REDACTED]. The undersigned contacted Felony Review and was unable to obtain the actual search warrant. Felony Review searched their files and could not match the victim [REDACTED] with any of their files.

The investigation moved forward and the undersigned obtained miscellaneous documents pertaining to the ongoing investigation. These documents included the Criminal History on [REDACTED], A & A Sheets from the 015th District from the year of 1994, a computerized printout on the residence of [REDACTED] that was obtained from the Organized Crime Division and a computerized printout on former members, listed as accused, former Officers M.L. MOORE and Alex RAMOS that was obtained from the Personnel Division. The undersigned would like to add that the exact date of the A & A Sheets was 25 November 1994.

The investigation proceeded and because there was no other information available, the focus shifted to the accused members.

The accused, Sergeant William JASKI, 1815, 024, was contacted and submitted a report. In his report, JASKI denied the allegations and stated that he has never been verbally abusive and has never falsely arrested, wrongfully imprisoned or maliciously prosecuted anyone. In addition, JASKI added that almost 5 years has passed and that he would need to review some reports just to make certain that he was even present or working on that date.

The accused, Detective John J. PALLOHUSKY #21010, 650, was contacted and PALLOHUSKY submitted a written report. In his report, PALLOHUSKY denied the allegations and added that he has never verbally abused any victim, never falsely arrested anyone, and has never falsely imprisoned or maliciously prosecuted anyone. PALLOHUSKY added that he always performs his duties in accordance with Department policies.

The accused, Sergeant Kevin GLOVER 1587, 021, was contacted and submitted a written report. In his report, GLOVER stated that he has never worked in the 015th District nor the 011th District. GLOVER added that he recalled that on 25 Nov 94 he was assigned to the 008th District. In addition, GLOVER added that he believes that someone made a mistake by adding his name.

PAGE SEVEN OF COMPLAINT REGISTER 254420

The accused Youth Investigator Joseph J. LEYENDECKER, 40207, 071, was contacted and submitted a written report. In his report, LEYENDECKER stated that he recalled that he was assigned to the 015th District Tactical Team on the date, time and location in question. In addition, LEYENDECKER further related that while the search warrant was executed, his function was to remain outside and to secure the perimeter of the building. LEYENDECKER further reported that he had no contact with the arrestee [REDACTED] and denied being verbally abusive, or that he falsely arrested, wrongfully arrested, or maliciously prosecuted [REDACTED].

The accused, Police Officer Valerie BUTLER, 12065, 284, was contacted and submitted a written report. In her report, BUTLER admitted that she entered the premises on the date, time and location in question but denied that she was verbally abusive, stated that she did not falsely arrest [REDACTED] and added that she did not wrongfully imprison or maliciously prosecute Walter [REDACTED] the arrestee. BUTLER concluded that she entered the premises with a search warrant. BUTLER also stated that she did not have a copy of any of the documents that were associated with this incident.

The Reporting Agent would like to add that accused M.L. MOORE 13382, 015 and Alex RAMOS 17190, are no longer members of the Department and could not be contacted, as their whereabouts are not known. RAMOS was last assigned to District 015.

There is no other information known about this investigation.

The undersigned would also like to note that the address of 36 [REDACTED] West Polk is alleged to be the property of an unidentified sister of [REDACTED]. The Reporting Agent learned utilizing Department resources that the property is actually owned by Chicago Title And Trust TR #1101539. The information was obtained from the Organized Crime Division.



PAGE EIGHT OF COMPLAINT REGISTER 254420

ACCUSED #1 William J. JASKI. Sergeant, 1815, 024  
ALLEGATIONS 1-4 NOT SUSTAINED

ACCUSED #2 John PALLOHUSKY Detective, 20100, 650  
ALLEGATIONS 1-4 NOT SUSTAINED:

ACCUSED #3 Kevin GLOVER Sergeant, 1597, 021  
ALLEGATIONS 1-4 NOT SUSTAINED:

ACCUSED #4 Joseph J. LEYENDECKER Detective, 40207, Youth Officer  
ALLEGATIONS 1-4 NOT SUSTAINED:

ACCUSED #5 Valerie BUTLER Police Officer 12065, 284  
ALLEGATIONS 1-4 NOT SUSATINED:

ACCUSED #6 Alex RAMOS Former Police Officer 17190, 015  
ALLEGATIONS 1-4 NOT SUSTAINED:

ACCUSED #7 M.L. MOORE Former Police Officer 13382, 015  
ALLEGATIONS 1-4 NOT SUSTAINED:

RECOMMENDATIONS: NO DISCIPLINARY ACTION WARRANTED

CR 254420

#2

EVIDENCE:

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Complaint Against Department Member And Related Documents
2. Copy Of Civil Suit [REDACTED] United States District Court
3. Report Of Interview Of Complainant Attorney [REDACTED]
4. Report Of The Undersigned Entitled "Receipt Of Certified Receipt Returned From Complainant Attorney [REDACTED] And Related Documents
5. Report Of The Undersigned Entitled "Receipt Of Certified Receipt Returned To The Undersigned And Related Documents"
6. Copies Of Miscellaneous Documents Pertaining To The Investigation
7. Report Of Accused Sergeant William JASKI 1815, 024 And Related Documents
8. Report Of Accused Detective John J. PALLOHUSKY 20100, 650, And Related Documents
9. Report Of Accused Sergeant Kevin GLOVER, 1587, 021 And Related Documents
10. Report Of Accused Youth Officer Joseph LEYENDECKE 40207, 071 And Related Documents
11. Report Of Accused Police Officer Valerie BUTLER 12065, 284 And Related Documents

**SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-  
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT**

270306

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

16 May 01

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE  
ATTENTION ☒ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☐ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.			
Peter F. Dignan		Lt.	422			021			
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT			DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME		BEAT OF INCIDENT	LOCATION CODE*			
23 [REDACTED] Michigan			02 Apr 01		2112	01			
ACCUSED	NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.			
	1. CADDIGAN, David, E.		Lt.	262		021			
	2. GLOVER, Kevin,		Sgt.	1597		021			
	SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)	PHYS. COND. CODE†			
	1. M/W	[REDACTED] 54	03 Jan 77		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY				
	2. M/B	[REDACTED] 59	1587		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY				
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE				
1. DNA		DNA		DNA	DNA				
2. DNA		DNA		DNA	DNA				
COMPLAINANTS	NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†
	[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	F/B	[REDACTED] 1	01
VICTIMS	NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†
	See Above								
WITNESSES	NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†
	Robin NFI								

☒ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

- ALLEGATIONS**
- #1- It is alleged that an unknown police officer (off duty) committed a battery upon the complainant.
- #2 It is alleged that Lt D. Caddigan #262, Sgt. K. Glover #1587 and Sgt R. Broderdorf #1125 refused to make a police report.
- #3. It is alleged that the complainant was arrested without probable cause by Officers D. Woods # 7874 and C. Urbon #9545
- #4. It is alleged that the complainant was denied medical treatment.

**I.A.D. LOCATION CODES\***

01 Food Sales/Restaurant  
02 Tavern/Liquor Store  
03 Other Business Establishment  
04 Police Building  
05 Lockup Facility  
06 Police Maintenance Facility  
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility  
08 Other Police Property  
09 Police Communications System  
10 Court Room

11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility  
12 Park District Property  
13 Airport  
14 Public Property Other  
15 Other Private Premise  
16 Expressway/Interstate System  
17 Public Way - Other  
18 Waterway, Incl. Park District  
19 Private Residence

**I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†**

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal  
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence  
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized  
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence  
05 Injured, Hospitalized  
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence  
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid  
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence  
09 Deceased  
10 Deceased - Under Influence

\*\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

SUMMARY

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).  
In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

See attached continuation of summary report.

ATTACHMENTS	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS- SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS- SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
	2, 5-17	48 3, 22,23,24,25,32,33,37,41,46,47	0	48

FINDINGS--RECOMMENDATIONS

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for ..... days (not to exceed 5 days).

Findings-Allegation #1 (Officer Glenn Lanier #5891) Exonerated.

" -Allegation #2 (Lt Caddigan, Sgt. Glover, and Sgt. Broderdorf) Exonerated

" Allegation #3 (P.O. David Woodss and P.P.O. C. Urbon) Exonerated

" Allegation #4 Exonerated

DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)	4 Apr 01	DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)	19 Jun 01	ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME EXPRESSED IN DAYS)	81
Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.			422		

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 x 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER TO CONTINUE ANY ITEM.

## SUMMARY REPORT

CR# 270306

ACCUSED: BRODERDORF, Ray, Sgt. 1125, SS# [REDACTED], Emp# [REDACTED] 021  
M/W/ DOB [REDACTED] 52, Dt. Of Appt. 4 Jun 73, On Duty, Sworn

WOODS, David, A. P.O. Star #7874, SS# [REDACTED], Em [REDACTED], 01  
DOB [REDACTED] 61, Dt. Of Appt. 18 Jun 94, On duty, Sworn

URBON, Colleen, P.P.O. Star #9545, SS# [REDACTED], Emp# [REDACTED] 1  
DOB [REDACTED] 74, Date of Appt. 10 Oct 00, On duty, Sworn

LANIER, Glenn, Jr. P.O. Star #5891, SS# [REDACTED], Emp# [REDACTED]  
DOB [REDACTED] 50, Date of Appt. 27 Feb 78, Off Duty Status, Assigned to Unit 050.

Investigation: The reporting Lieutenant was assigned this investigation on 4 Apr 01.  
After numerous attempts I spoke only briefly to [REDACTED] on 18 Apr. 01 At that time [REDACTED] told me that she would rather not talk to me at length over the telephone and that she did not wish to have a face to face interview with the reporting. She stated that she would write a detailed account of the occurrence and mail it to me. After receiving no response from [REDACTED] the undersigned sent a registered letter to the address given by [REDACTED]. Apparently this was the wrong address and she received it on or about 1 May 01. It should be noted that no receipt from the U.S. Post Office was received by the reporting. I eventually received a response on 11 May 01 consisting of 12 pages. In her letter she addresses the allegations enumerated in attachment #1 and #1-A. She also gives the name of a witness on her behalf, [REDACTED]. After reviewing her letter Capt. F. McCarthy #55 presented Lt. Caddigan #262 with his charges and allegations.

In summary Lt. Caddigan explains his reason for not having a police report made regarding [REDACTED]'s complaint. Simply no crime had been perpetrated against her and it was she and no one else who was violating the law, and the officers present were exercising restraint by not placing her under arrest at that time. It was only after she received a refund of \$20.00 (twice what she paid for admission) and then went to the 001<sup>st</sup> District and claiming that she was a battery victim, and then returning to the Lounge at 2341 S. Michigan, that she was placed under arrest for making a False Police Report, and Disorderly Conduct. (G-187461 - CB# 14748491, Attachment # 24)(Charges: False Police Report & Disorderly Conduct) (Court Date 29 May 01, Br. 34-2) Lt. Caddigan also states that he had no knowledge of any request made by the complainant regarding medical attention and did not witness the complainant being injured .

The reporting presented Sgt. K. Glover #1587 on 19 May 01 with the charges and allegations made against him. (Attachments # 26,27 &28) Glover responds by stating that he was not even aware the complainant had been arrested until after the fact. Glover relates that no police report was made after his investigation disclosed no crime had been committed. Glover also relates that he had no knowledge that the arrestee had made a request for medical attention.

Sgt. Ray Broderdorf # 1125 was presented on 21 May 01 with charges and allegations made against him. Sgt. Broderdorf states that he was summoned to the scene by Bt. 2120 regarding crowd control at the Epitome Lounge, 2341 S. Michigan. Sgt. Broderdorf relates that he was present when a female complainant approached Sgt. Glover and demanded a police report be made for some reason. Sgt Glover briefly explained the circumstances and Sgt. Broderdorf agreed that no report should be made. Sgt. Broderdorf relates that he had no knowledge of the complainant requesting medical attention.

CR# 207306

## SUMMARY REPORT

CR# 270306

F.T.O. David Woods #7874 was presented with the charges and allegations made against him on 21 May 01. (Attachments #34,35 & 36) F.T.O. Woods #7874 relates that the arrest was made after probable cause had been established and that Bt. 2190, Lt. Caddigan had approved the initial finding of probable cause (Att. 24, Box 47)

F.T.O. David WOODS #7874 states that he never refused to make any report but acted as a back up to units on the scene. WOODS further relates that the complainant was never denied medical treatment and was in fact taken to Michael Reese Hospital and seen by a Triage nurse and subsequently left the hospital under her own power returned to the 021<sup>st</sup> district and I-Bonded. F.T.O. WOODS further states that his trainee, P.P.O. URBON, Colleen #9545 had minimal contact with the arrestee and acted on his directions. (Attachment #33)

On 21 May 01 the reporting interviewed Mr. Don LEWIS, a retired Chicago Police Officer, now working as a private entity in the security field. Mr. LEWIS relates that he was contracted by Radio Station WGCI for security and had hired Officer Glenn Lanier, current police officer but, off- duty on that day to assist him. Mr. LEWIS states that he became aware of the disturbance with [REDACTED] when the line to enter the club began to back up creating a hazardous condition. When he investigated he learned that [REDACTED] was demanding a seat of which there were none available. LEWIS explained to [REDACTED] that seating was on a first come first serve basis and that for the most part this was a standing concert. When [REDACTED] demanded her money back she was initially refused and continued on in a loud and boisterous manner disturbing numerous patrons. [REDACTED] tried to explain to her that there were no refunds. [REDACTED] continued to exacerbate the situation and at that time was escorted outside by Officer Lanier. [REDACTED] states that no one put their hands on her and that she left under her own power. [REDACTED] goes to say that once outside [REDACTED] continued in a loud and abusive manner and that the police were present at that time, Lieutenant and a Sergeant. [REDACTED] then began demanding a police report. Finally [REDACTED] told [REDACTED] he would give her money back if she agreed to leave without further disturbances. She agreed. [REDACTED] then gave [REDACTED] \$20.00 dollars out of his own pocket and asked her to leave. She then said she would not leave regardless. She was subsequently admonished about her behavior by the police and then left. She later returned causing another disturbance and was then placed under arrest. [REDACTED] says he did not observe any misbehavior on the part of any police officer. Nor did Officer Glenn Lanier injure or touch the complainant. [REDACTED] supplied the name of another possible witness, a [REDACTED] Promotional Director for W.G.C.I. The reporting will attempt to contact this person to determine whether she can supply additional evidence in this incident. (Att. 47)

On 26 May 01 the reporting interviewed [REDACTED] S F/B/ DOB [REDACTED] 61, Promotional Director of WGCI Radio Station, 332 S. Michigan, Suite 600, 60604, Tel. # [REDACTED] [REDACTED] relates that she was present when [REDACTED] began creating a disturbance at the concert. And that she related to [REDACTED] that there were no refunds for tickets. Ms. [REDACTED] was asked about the behavior of the police officers in their dealings with [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] related that the officers acted in professional manner and did not see any officer abuse [REDACTED] either verbally or physically. [REDACTED] relates that [REDACTED] created her own problem by not listening to the officers advice. (Att. 48)

[REDACTED] was also asked about Officer Lanier who was working his off duty hours as security for WGCI, she related that Officer LANIER acted like a gentleman as did [REDACTED]. She was also asked if she at any time observed either of these men abuse the complainant either physically or verbally. [REDACTED] related that they did not mistreat the complainant. She went on to say that [REDACTED] contacted her by letter and was asked by [REDACTED] if she would go to court with her and [REDACTED] told her "No" "That she was the cause of this problem".

Upon the undersigned's return from furlough (18 Jun 01) Officer Glenn Lanier, Jr.'s charges, allegations and statement were awaiting me. The statement was dated 29 May 01. (Att.# 43-46) In his statement Officer Lanier relates that he was off duty and working as a security agent for WGCI on 2 Apr 01. Lanier goes on to state he was working on the 2<sup>nd</sup> floor at the Epitome Lounge when he observed a disturbance involving the complainant and the production supervisor [REDACTED] regarding the \$10.00 admission fee. [REDACTED] asked the complainant several times to leave the premises because she was causing a disturbance and interfering with the event. [REDACTED] refused and Lanier intervened and escorted her to the 1<sup>st</sup> floor entrance and then returned to his post. The officer denies committing a battery on the complainant. (Att.#46)

On 18 Jun 01 the undersigned received a copy of an EEOC complaint initiated by the complainant. EEOC # 2001-0043. (Att.# 42)

CR# 207306

## SUMMARY REPORT

CR# 270306

In view of the aforestated facts the reporting investigating Lieutenant does not find the complainant credible.

It is clear that the accused did everything reasonable to assuage the complainant to avail.

**SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-  
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT**

286191

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

09 December 2002

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE  
ATTENTION ☒ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☐ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.
Russell O. Schaefer		Lt.	606			021
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT		DATE OF INCIDENT -TIME		BEAT OF INCIDENT	LOCATION CODE*	
8201 South Racine / Chicago		23 November 2002 / 1130		007	01	
NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.
1. GLOVER, Kevin		Sgt.	1587			021
2.						
SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)		PHYS. COND. CODE†
1. M/B	59	08 June 1981		<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		DNA
2.				<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE	
1.						
2.						
NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE PHYS. COND. CODE†
						F/B 72 01
NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE PHYS. COND. CODE†
NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE PHYS. COND. CODE†
						F/B UNK
						M/B UNK

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

The complainant alleges: During a dispute that occurred over a two dollar payout for an instant lottery ticket that was presented to her by Kevin Glover, she was threatened by being told that he would pistol whip her if she did not give him the two dollars. This occurred after Glover disputed the fact that Davis paid him the two dollars as requested. This incident occurred at the service counter at the Mo & Oink food store located at 8201 S. Racine Ave.

Both [REDACTED] are employees and working for the Mo & Oink food store chain at the time this altercation.

**I.A.D. LOCATION CODES\***

01 Food Sales/Restaurant	11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
02 Tavern/Liquor Store	12 Park District Property
03 Other Business Establishment	13 Airport
04 Police Building	14 Public Property Other
05 Lockup Facility	15 Other Private Premise
06 Police Maintenance Facility	16 Expressway/Interstate System
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility	17 Public Way - Other
08 Other Police Property	18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
09 Police Communications System	19 Private Residence
10 Court Room	

**I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†**

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.



Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s). In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

As stated in the attached reports, this dispute between the complainant [REDACTED] and the accused (Glover) began when Glover alleged that [REDACTED] had not paid him the two dollars that he won with an instant lottery ticket. He presented this ticket to [REDACTED] at the customer service desk at Mo & Oink where she was assigned to work that day. Glover is a part time employee of the store and was working that day in a security position. [REDACTED] alleges that during the dispute, Glover threatened to take her outside of the store and pistol whip her. [REDACTED] in her interview stated that she had one witness to this incident and another who had knowledge of it. Both persons were store employees, one of which is her supervisor and the other is the store manager.

R/Lt interviewed both witnesses who stated in their interviews that they were not present at the time that the alleged threat was made but became aware of the dispute only after it had occurred. Both witnesses spoke to [REDACTED] and Glover on that day about the incident. Both witnesses who were not able to contribute any information relative to this allegation, would lead the interviewer and the reader to believe that the problem in this dispute would not have been with Glover but with [REDACTED]. See attachments # 3 & 4

Glover, in his report and subsequent interview verifies that there was a dispute over the two dollar payout for his winning lottery ticket. Glover reports that he did his best to defuse the exchange as the complainant got "loud and ugly" in the store in front of the customers.

In his own defense, Glover provided this investigator with no witnesses, only his report and interview denying the allegation. In order to sustain her allegation, [REDACTED] provided this investigator with two witnesses who would support her in this matter. Neither witness was able to provide that support during their interview, both denying that they heard Glover's alleged threat.

Having conducted a complete and impartial investigation into this matter, I must conclude that there is no evidence available at this time to either prove or disprove the allegation as stated by the complainant. As a consequence, I recommend that this investigation be closed and classified as Not-Sustained against the accused, Sergeant Kevin Glover.

SUMMARY

ATTACHMENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS- SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS- SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
-0-	3 & 4	-0-	7

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for .... days (not to exceed 5 days).

CASE FINDING: NOT-SUSTAINED

RECOMMENDATION: CASE CLOSED WITH NO DISCIPLINARY ACTION

FINDINGS--RECOMMENDATIONS

RECEIVED BY  
FEB 1 2003

DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)	12 Dec 2002	DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)	[REDACTED]	ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME)	29
Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.					

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 x 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER TO CONTINUE ANY ITEM

Listing of Attachments for Complaint Register No. 286191

1. Complaint Against Department Member
2. Interview of Complainant [REDACTED]
3. Interview of witness: [REDACTED]
4. Interview of witness: [REDACTED]
5. Report of accused officer: KEVIN GLOVER and related CPD documents
6. Notification of additional identified witness: [REDACTED]
7. Notification of additional identified witness: [REDACTED]

**SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-**  
**COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:**  
**CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT**

295315

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

18 March 2005

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
 SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION ☐ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☒ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.		
Flora SUTTLE		P.A.	10566			121		
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT			DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME		BEAT OF INCIDENT	LOCATION CODE*		
890 S. Stony Island			26 July 2003/0105		412	04		
NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.		
1. Kevin GLOVER		Sgt.	1587			620		
2. Brian JOHNSON		Det.	20948			620		
ACCUSED	SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)			
	1. M/Blk	1959	9 September 1985		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY			
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN			
					PHYS. COND. CODE† 01			
ACCUSED	2. M/W	1970	5 August 1996		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY			
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN			
					PHYS. COND. CODE† 01			
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED			CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE		
1.								
2.								
COMPLAINANTS	NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†
						M/Blk	1971	01
						M/Blk	UNK	01
VICTIMS	NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†
						M/Blk	1971	01
						M/Blk	UNK	01
WITNESSES	NAME	ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†

☒ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS

See page two.

**I.A.D. LOCATION CODES\***

01 Food Sales/Restaurant	11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
02 Tavern/Liquor Store	12 Park District Property
03 Other Business Establishment	13 Airport
04 Police Building	14 Public Property Other
05 Lockup Facility	15 Other Private Premise
06 Police Maintenance Facility	16 Expressway/Interstate System
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility	17 Public Way - Other
08 Other Police Property	18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
09 Police Communications System	19 Private Residence
10 Court Room	

**I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†**

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

\*\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

SUMMARY

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s). In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

See pages two through five.

ATTACHMENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS- SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS- SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
1, 2, 10, & 11	2, 7, 8, 13 & 14	0	14

FINDINGS-RECOMMENDATIONS

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.  
Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for ..... days (not to exceed 5 days).

See page six.

DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)	22 Jan. 02	DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)	18 March 2005	ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME, EXPRESSED IN DAYS)	421
Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.					

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8½ x 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER TO CONTINUE ANY ITEM.

ADDITIONAL COMPLAINANT:

[REDACTED] M/Blk, [REDACTED] 1973,  
[REDACTED]

ALLEGATIONS:

1. The complainant, [REDACTED], alleged that on 26 July 2003 at 0105 hours, Sergeant Kevin GLOVER, star 1587, detained him in Area 2 Detective Division, in an interview room over four hours, without justification.
2. The complainant, [REDACTED], alleged that on 26 July 2003 at 0105 hours, Sergeant Kevin GLOVER, star 1587, detained him in Area 2 Detective Division, in an interview room over four hours, without justification.
3. The complainant, [REDACTED], alleged that on 26 July 2003 at 0530 hours, Sergeant Kevin GLOVER, star 1587, showed him photographs of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] that were taken while they were in police custody, and he refused to release the photographs to him when he requested.
4. The complainant, [REDACTED], alleged that on 26 July 2003 at 0105 hours, Detective Brian JOHNSON, star 20948, detained him in Area 2 Detective Division, in an interview room over four hours without justification.
5. The complainant, [REDACTED], alleged that on 26 July 2003 at 0105 hours, Detective Brian JOHNSON, star 20948, detained him in Area 2 Detective Division, in an interview room over four hours without justification.

INVESTIGATION:

The undersigned received this case for investigation on 26 January 2004. Upon receipt of this investigation the undersigned reviewed the letter written by the complainant, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], a law student, at University of Chicago, related in the letter that Detective JOHNSON and Sergeant GLOVER improperly detained his clients, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] at Area 2 Detective Division. According to [REDACTED] his clients were in an area where a shooting occurred; as a result of the shooting, his clients were detained by the accused for four hours. (Att. # 1 & 2)

[REDACTED] reported that [REDACTED] received a letter from the States Attorney's Office informing him that a murder case, People vs. [REDACTED] was proceeding because of the shooting. (Case number [REDACTED] (Att. # 2)

INVESTIGATION CONTINUED:

The undersigned called [REDACTED] and scheduled an appointment for him and his clients. [REDACTED] did not witness the incident; he filed the lawsuit on behalf of his clients. (Att. # 2)

The undersigned interviewed [REDACTED] who appeared at the Internal Affairs Division accompanied by his attorney, [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated that on 25 July 2003, at approximately 2300 hours, him and [REDACTED] were sitting in his car, which was parked in the lot of the Family Den Lounge, located at 89<sup>th</sup> and Stony Island Ave. [REDACTED] stated that he heard three gunshots, there was a pause then more shots were fired. (Att. # 4, & 7)

According to [REDACTED] about two minutes after he heard the shots he noticed a couple walking out of the alley embraced. [REDACTED] stated that he observed the male holding a gun in his hand in a non-threatening manner. The couple approached the car from the passenger's side and asked [REDACTED] to call "911," [REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] noticed that the male was bleeding from the buttock and made the call. The male stated that he had just been robbed and one of the guys is still lying there. The wounded male identified himself as an off-duty, Sergeant Detective. (Att.# 7)

[REDACTED] stated that several marked and unmarked police vehicles arrived on the scene. Officer Ramsey (first name) instructed [REDACTED] to move his vehicle toward the crime scene and stated that they would be free to go in a few minutes. [REDACTED] related that Detective JOHNSON asked him and [REDACTED] to wait a few minutes for the lead detective. (Att. # 7)

According to [REDACTED], Detective JOHNSON related that Sergeant GLOVER the lead detective requested that they come into Area Two Detective Division for about thirty minutes. [REDACTED] stated that they were transported to Area Two at 0105 hours, and separated. (Att. #7)

[REDACTED] related that [REDACTED] was taken to the desk and he was placed in an interview room. [REDACTED] stated that at approximately 0200 hours, Detective JOHNSON came into the interview room with [REDACTED] and took pictures of both of them with a digital camera. [REDACTED] stated that Detective JOHNSON also requested their social security numbers. (Att.# 7)

[REDACTED] related that him and [REDACTED] attempted to leave the police station at approximately 0230 hours, and Detective JOHNSON stopped them at the door. It should be noted that during this interview, [REDACTED] was reading from a paper that was provided by [REDACTED] (Att. # 7)

INVESTIGATION CONTINUED:

Detective Brian JOHNSON responded to the allegations by report in which he denied the allegations by stating he never detained anyone without justification. (Att. #14)

██████████ was also interviewed; his recollection of the incident was consistent with ██████████ except ██████████ stated that the wounded person who approached their vehicle identified himself as an off duty sheriff's sergeant. ██████████ stated that the male told him that he had been shot. (Att.# 8)

██████████ stated that Detective JOHNSON arrived, questioned him, and Sergeant GLOVER requested that they go into Area Two Detective Division to answer questions and they complied. (Att. # 8)

██████████ related that when him and ██████████ arrived in the area at approximately 0100 hours, they were detained until 0530 hours. It should be noted that during this interview, ██████████ was reading from a paper that was provided by the attorney, M ██████████ (Att. # 8)

██████████ participated in a photo array and positively identified Sergeant Kevin GLOVER, star 1587, Unit 620, as one of the accused. (Att. # 11)

██████████ participated in a photo array and he positively identified Sergeant GLOVER and Detective JOHNSON as the accused. (Att. # 10)

The undersigned interviewed witness, ██████████ he related that on the date in question he arrived at the 005<sup>th</sup> District Station and spoke to the watch commander. regarding the detention of the complainants. According to ██████████ the watch commander directed him to the person in charge, Sergeant GLOVER. (Att. #9)

██████████ stated that Sergeant GLOVER arrived and during their conversation, he showed ██████████ the photographs that had been taken of the complainants.

██████████ stated that he requested that Sergeant GLOVER release the photographs but he refused. ██████████ participated in a photo array and he positively identified Sergeant Kevin GLOVER as the person who refused to release the photographs to him. (Att. #9 & 12)

Sergeant Kevin GLOVER, star 1587, responded to the allegations by report in which he stated he was not able to find any information on who the complainants are or why they were in the area. Sergeant GLOVER stated that he does not remember having a conversation

INVESTIGATION CONTINUED:

with [REDACTED], and denies showing him a picture of the complainants. (Att. #13)

The investigation revealed that the victims/complainants, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were in an area where a shooting occurred; they heard the shots, assisted the victim, and called the police for the victim. Those actions identified them as witnesses to part of the incident.

The lead detective, Sergeant Kevin GLOVER asked [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] to accompany him into Area 2 Detective Division for additional questioning and they complied. Sergeant GLOVER and Detective JOHNSON interviewed both of the complainants concerning the shooting. When the interviews were concluded, [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED] left.

According to [REDACTED] letter, [REDACTED] "received a letter from the Office of the States Attorney informing him that a murder case was proceeding as a result of the shooting. The case is People vs. [REDACTED]" Clearly, the letter from the States Attorney's Office indicates that the complainant's may be considered as witnesses for the state.

In reference to [REDACTED] complaint relative to Sergeant GLOVER refusing to release pictures of the complainant, Sergeant GLOVER violated no Department policy or rules.

Based on the evidence found during this investigation, the allegations are classified as follows.

FINDINGS:

Accused #1: Sergeant Kevin GLOVER, star 1587, Unit 620

Allegation #1: The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that on 26 July 2003 at 0105 hours, Sergeant Kevin GLOVER, star 1587, detained him in Area 2 Detective Division, in an interview room over four hours, without justification. UNFOUNDED: Complainant participated in the interview as a witness.



FINDINGS CONTINUED:

Allegation #2: The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that on 26 July 2003 at 0105 hours, Sergeant Kevin GLOVER, star 1587, detained him in Area 2 Detective Division, in an interview room over four hours, without justification. UNFOUNDED: Complainant participated in the interview as a witness.

Accused #1: Sergeant Kevin GLOVER, star 1587, Unit 620

Allegation #3: The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that on 26 July 2003 at 0530 hours, Sergeant Kevin GLOVER, star 1587, showed him photographs of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] that were taken while they were in police custody, and he refused to release the photographs to him when he requested. UNFOUNDED: There was no misconduct by the accused.

Accused #2: Detective Brian JOHNSON, star 20948, Unit 620

Allegation #4: The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that on 26 July 2003 at 0105 hours, Detective Brian JOHNSON, star 20948, detained him in Area 2 Detective Division, in an interview room over four hours without justification. UNFOUNDED: Complainant participated in the interview as a witness.

Allegation #5: The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that on 26 July 2003 at 0105 hours, Detective Brian JOHNSON, star 20948, detained him in Area 2 Detective Division, in an interview room over four hours without justification. UNFOUNDED: Complainant participated in the interview as a witness.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

No disciplinary action warranted. Case to be filed.

EVIDENCE:  
CR Number 295315

Attachments:

1. Complaint Against Department Member (two pages) (22 January 2004)
2. Letter from Attorney [REDACTED] to OPS (three pages) (15 January 2004)
3. Report of Police Agent Flora SUTTLE, star 10566, Unit 121, Attempt Telephone Interview of victim/complainant, [REDACTED] (30 January 2004)
4. Report of Police Agent Flora SUTTLE, star 10566, Unit 121, Attempt Telephone Interview of complainant, [REDACTED] (30 January 2004)
5. Report of Police Agent Flora SUTTLE, star 10566, Unit 121, Attempt Telephone Interview of victim/complainant, [REDACTED] (30 January 2004)
6. Report of Police Agent Flora SUTTLE, star 10566, Unit 121, Telephone interview of Attorney [REDACTED] (30 January 2004)
7. Report of Police Agent Flora SUTTLE, star 10566, Unit 121, Personal Interview of victim/complainant, [REDACTED] (two pages) (17 February 2004)
8. Report of Police Agent Flora SUTTLE, star 10566, Unit 121, Personal Interview of victim/complainant, [REDACTED] (two pages) (17 February 2004)
9. Report of Police Agent Flora SUTTLE, star 10566, Unit 121, Personal Interview of witness, [REDACTED] (two pages) (17 February 2004)
10. Report of Police Agent Flora SUTTLE, star 10566, Unit 121, Photo array of victim/complainant, [REDACTED] (18 February 2004)
11. Report of Police Agent Flora SUTTLE, star 10566, Unit 121, Photo array of victim/complainant, [REDACTED] (18 February 2004)
12. Report of Police Agent Flora SUTTLE, star 10566, Unit 121, Photo array of victim/complainant, [REDACTED] (18 February 2004)
13. Report and related documents of Sergeant Kevin GLOVER, star 1587, Unit 620, (20 January 2005)
14. Report and related documents of Detective Brian JOHNSON, star 02948, Unit 620, (20 January 2005)

SUMMARY REPORT -  
SUBJECT: COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

311124

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

INSTRUCTIONS: SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION ☐ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☒ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM - INVESTIGATORS NAME DENISE DRAPER-SIBLEY RANK PA STAR NO. 10819 SOCIAL SEC. NO. --- EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED] UNIT ASSIGN. 121-S  
REFERENCE NOS. (LIST ALL RELATED C.R., C.B., I.R., INVENTORY NOS. ETC., PERTINENT TO THIS INVESTIGATION)

NONE

ADDRESS OF INCIDENT				DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME		BEAT OF INCIDENT	LOCATION CODE*	
7 EAST 111TH STREET				several dates in 2005&2006		531	04	
ACCUSED	NAME	RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.		
	1. Jesse TERRAZAS	Sgt	1539	---	[REDACTED]	620		
	2. Kevin GLOVER	Sgt	1587	---	[REDACTED]	620		
	3. Jeffery COLEMAN L	Sgt	1008	---	[REDACTED]	620		
	SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)		PHYS. COND. CODE*	
	1. m/h	[REDACTED]	65 26 Mar 90		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN 01	
	2. m/b	[REDACTED]	59 09 Sep 85		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN 01	
	3. m/b	[REDACTED]	66 01 Aug 94		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN 01	
	IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE		
	1. none							
2.								
3.								
COMPLAINANTS	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE*
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	pax 2023	f/b	[REDACTED] 61	01
VICTIMS	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE*
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	pax 0608	f/b	[REDACTED] 50	01
WITNESSES	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE*
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	pax 2023	f/b	[REDACTED] 64	01
	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE*
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	pax 2023	f/b	[REDACTED] 64	01

☒ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

\* SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR CODES, INSTRUCTIONS FOR STATING ALLEGATIONS, AND COMPLETING THE REMAINDER OF THE SUMMARY REPORT.  
\*\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

ALLEGATIONS

(REFER TO PAGE 1)

SUMMARY REPORT  
REFERENCE: CR# 311124

**ADDITIONAL COMPLAINANTS:**

3. [REDACTED] Unit 620, pax 2023, DOB [REDACTED] 61, doa 09 Mar 87, 01, F/W.

**ADDITIONAL WITNESSES:**

3. [REDACTED], Unit 620, pax 2023, DOB [REDACTED] 61, doa 09 Mar 87, 01, F/W.

I.  
II.

**ALLEGATIONS:**

**Accused #1: Sergeant Jesse TERRAZAS**

1. It is alleged by [REDACTED] that sometime during late September or early October 2005, while in an office within the Area Two Detective Division, Sergeant TERRAZAS acted in an inappropriate manner, when he approached [REDACTED] from behind and unsnapped her bra.
2. It is alleged by [REDACTED] that sometime during late October or early November 2005, while at [REDACTED] residence, Sergeant TERRAZAS acted in an inappropriate manner, when he took his pants down and stated to [REDACTED] "Come on let me show you just a little bit."
3. It is alleged by [REDACTED] that sometime during late October or early November 2005, Sergeant TERRAZAS acted in an inappropriate manner, when he pulled down his underwear and stated to [REDACTED] "Whatever, he could not do with his penis, he could make up with his mouth."
4. It is alleged by [REDACTED] that Sergeant TERRAZAS acted in an inappropriate manner when he asked her several times from the middle of November 2005, until January 2006, if he could come over and try to have sex with her.
5. It is alleged by [REDACTED] that sometime during late September or early October 2005, while in an office within the Area Two Detective Division, Sergeant TERRAZAS approached [REDACTED] from behind and attempted to unsnap her bra.

**Accused #2: Sergeant Kevin GLOVER**

It is alleged by Police Agent [REDACTED], that Sergeant GLOVER #1587, failed to obtain a complaint register number in a timely manner on 09 February 2006, after being informed by [REDACTED] that Sergeant Jesse TERRAZAS unsnapped her bra.

SUMMARY REPORT  
REFERENCE: CR# 311124

Accused #3:

**Sergeant Jeffery COLEMAN**

It is alleged by Police Agent I [REDACTED] that Sergeant COLEMAN #1008, failed to obtain a complaint register number in a timely manner on 09 February 2006, after being informed by [REDACTED] that Sergeant Jesse TERRAZAS unsnapped her bra.

**II.**

**EVIDENCE:**

1. Complaint against Department member for CR#311124 and related document.
2. Initiation report from Lieutenant Laurel BRESNAHAN, Commanding Officer, Area 2, Special Victims Unit.
3. Copy of Equal Employment Opportunity Complaint, EEO # 06-003.
4. Complainant statement from [REDACTED] Unit 620.
5. Report from complainant, [REDACTED], Unit 620
6. Witness statement from Sergeant Kevin GLOVER, Unit 620.
7. Witness statement from Sergeant Jeffery COLEMAN, Unit 620.
8. Witness statement from [REDACTED] Unit 620.
9. Witness statement from [REDACTED] Unit 620.
10. Witness statement from [REDACTED], Unit 610.
11. Copy of Sergeant Jesse TERRAZAS' Personnel Assignment Record.
12. Accused statement from Sergeant Kevin GLOVER, Unit 620 and related documents.
13. Accused statement from Sergeant Jeffery COLEMAN, Unit 620 and related documents.
14. Accused statement from Sergeant Jesse TERRAZAS, Unit 620 and related documents.
15. Complimentary History of Sergeant Kevin GLOVER.

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST  
REFERENCE: CR# 311124

II.

EVIDENCE: (continued)

16. Previous Disciplinary History of Sergeant GLOVER.
17. Complimentary History of Sergeant Jeffery COLEMAN.
18. Previous Disciplinary History of Sergeant Jeffery COLEMAN.
19. Complimentary History of Sergeant Jesse TERRAZAS.
20. Previous Disciplinary History of Sergeant Jesse TERRAZAS.
21. Recommendation report from Sergeant Jamie KANE, Unit 121.
22. Administrative Proceeding Rights/Notification of Charges/Allegations, Sergeant Jeffery COLEMAN and related documents.

III.

INVESTIGATION:

Upon receipt of this investigation, the undersigned reviewed the initiation report from Lieutenant Laurel BRESNAHAN, Commanding Officer, Area 2 Special Victims Unit. BRESNAHAN reported of being informed by Sergeant Jeffery COLEMAN and Sergeant Kevin GLOVER that they were approached by [REDACTED] informing them that Sergeant Jesse TERRAZAS had unsnapped her bra and directed numerous uncomfortable sexual remarks to her. BRESNAHAN further reported that [REDACTED] supplied the names of Detective [REDACTED] and Detective [REDACTED] as witnessing the "bra" incident. BRESNAHAN reported that upon receiving [REDACTED] complaint, she initiated this complaint register number and EEOC investigation. (Attachment#2)

On 23 February 2006, in a formal statement, [REDACTED] stated that sometime in late September or early October 2005, while at work, she was having a conversation with several people in the room. [REDACTED] stated that TERRAZAS was not part of that conversation, but at one point, he walked behind her and unsnapped her bra. [REDACTED] stated that TERRAZAS then walked towards Detectives [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] in an attempt to do the same thing to them, because she heard [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] tell TERRAZAS, that he better not try it.

[REDACTED] stated that she went to the washroom to fix her bra and when she returned, TERRAZAS walked behind her with his arms stretched out and attempted to reach for her back again. [REDACTED] stated that she caught TERRAZAS' arms and told him to get away from her and that he laughed and said that he had skills as he raised his hand and motioned with his thumb and forefinger. [REDACTED] stated that she did not tell a supervisor at that time about that incident.

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST  
REFERENCE: CR# 311124

III.

INVESTIGATION: (continued)

██████████ stated that sometime in late October 2005 or early November 2005, she had a conversation with TERRAZAS, when she told him about being upset over a domestic situation she was having (with someone else) and that she wanted to go out after work and have a drink. ██████████ stated that TERRAZAS agreed to have a drink with her and they drove their separate cars to a bar. After arriving at the bar, ██████████ stated she told TERRAZAS that she changed her mind and did not want to go to the bar, because she had her own bar at home. ██████████ stated that TERRAZAS followed her home to make sure that she was "ok" and upon arriving at her home, TERRAZAS asked to come inside and talk, to which ██████████ agreed. (Attachments#4 &5)

██████████ stated that while inside her home, TERRAZAS told her, he had always liked her and wanted to know what it would be like to have sex with her. ██████████ stated that TERRAZAS continued to tell her that he was very talented orally and after asking her if he could show her what he could do, he took his pants down and stated, "Come on let me show you just a little bit".

██████████ stated that when TERRAZAS pulled down his pants, she laughed at him and he stated that, whatever he could not do with his penis, he could make up with his mouth. RIVERS stated that she then told TERRAZAS to get dressed and go home. ██████████ stated that TERRAZAS told her that he was not trying to upset her and that he would leave. ██████████ related that there were no other persons present during that incident.

██████████ stated that from the middle of November 2005 – January 2006, TERRAZAS asked her several times if he could come over to her home and have sex with her. ██████████ indicated that there were no witnesses to any of those incidents.

██████████ stated she could not recall when she initially spoke to GLOVER and COLEMAN, but it was sometime after 02 February 2006, when she was approached by them and asked what was going on with her and TERRAZAS. ██████████ stated that since neither GLOVER nor COLEMAN were present during the incident with the bra, she informed them about TERRAZAS unsnapping her bra and about him asking her for sex which she turned down.

██████████ stated that after talking to GLOVER and COLEMAN, she was asked by GLOVER if she was going to make a complaint through the channels or would she be going to IAD. She told GLOVER, she would talk to Lieutenant BRESNAHAN and GLOVER agreed to go with her.

██████████ stated that COLEMAN also told her that he would go to BRESNAHAN and tell her about an incident which he witnessed, when TERRAZAS yelled at ██████████ about, "Saving her ass from a CR number. And that she owed him for that". However, ██████████ indicated that she did not go to BRESNAHAN and that at some point, COLEMAN talked to BRESNAHAN and initiated this complaint number. (Attachments#4&5)

SUMMARY REPORT  
REFERENCE: CR# 311124

II.

INVESTIGATION: (continued)

GLOVER in his witness statement related that on 09 February 2006, [REDACTED] informed him of TERRAZAS unsnapping her bra. GLOVER related that when [REDACTED] told him about the incident, she did not make a big deal about it and he was not exactly sure of what she was saying. GLOVER stated that he went home and slept on it and the next morning he discussed [REDACTED] conversation with COLEMAN, who had also been present. GLOVER related, after he and COLEMAN discussed

[REDACTED] conversation, they decided that they should cover themselves, so he (GLOVER) called BRESNAHAN, informing her of [REDACTED] complaints. GLOVER stated that BRESNAHAN later initiated this complaint register number. (Attachment#6)

As noted, GLOVER stated that he went home without obtaining a complaint register number, upon being informed by [REDACTED] of TERRAZAS' misconduct. Therefore, GLOVER'S witness statement was terminated and he was informed that he would be served as an accused with failure to obtain a complaint register number in a timely manner. (Attachment#6)

COLEMAN in his witness statement related that because of a rumor he and GLOVER heard of [REDACTED] and TERRAZAS quarrelling, on 09 February 2006, he and COLEMAN asked [REDACTED] what happened between her and TERRAZAS.

COLEMAN stated that [REDACTED] told him that TERRAZAS scolded her about a job assignment because he was upset that she would not date him. COLEMAN stated that [REDACTED] also stated that last year, TERRAZAS unsnapped her bra and that Detectives MATHIS and [REDACTED] witnessed that incident. COLEMAN stated that when [REDACTED] told him that she would handle that situation herself, he told her that she should not have to be threatened at work and that a CR Number would be initiated.

COLEMAN stated that he typed a report to BRESNAHAN and left a message on her voice mail relative to [REDACTED] allegation of misconduct by TERRAZAS. COLEMAN did not obtain a complaint register number, therefore, his witness statement was terminated and he was informed that he would be served as an accused with failure to obtain a complaint register number in a timely manner. (Attachment#7)

Subsequently, witness statements were obtained from [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. MATHIS and DAVIDSON stated that they had no personal knowledge or independent information relative to [REDACTED] complaints against TERRAZAS. However, [REDACTED] stated that she witnessed TERRAZAS unsnap [REDACTED] bra and that during that same incident, TERRAZAS attempted to unsnap her bra as well.



SUMMARY REPORT  
REFERENCE: CR# 311124

III.

INVESTIGATION: (continued)

Additionally, [REDACTED] stated that at a later date, which she could not recall, she told COLEMAN and GLOVER that TERRAZAS unsnapped [REDACTED] bra and tried unsuccessfully to unsnap her bra. (Attachments#8, 9 &10)

Upon obtaining and reviewing a copy of TERRAZAS' Personnel Assignment Record, it revealed that TERRAZAS worked in Area Two as a Detective until 11 November 2004, when he was promoted to sergeant and assigned to the 4<sup>th</sup> District. On 13 October 2005, TERRAZAS returned to Area Two. (Attachment#11)

GLOVER in giving his statement as an accused stated that on 09 February 2006, he and COLEMAN were informed by [REDACTED] that TERRAZAS unsnapped her bra, at which time he and COLEMAN left a report to BRESHANAN. GLOVER stated that on his next tour of duty, he and COLEMAN looked up the order on Sexual Harassment, Specific Responsibilities and when BRESHANAN came to work, they reported it to her and she obtained this complaint register number. (Attachment#12)

GLOVER stated that he did not obtain a complaint register number at the time that RIVERS informed him of her complaint, because he did not have enough facts or information relative to the incident. GLOVER further indicated that according to the "general order", a supervisor had to obtain the complaint register number and that it was his impression that since TERRAZAS was a sergeant like COLEMAN and himself, it was Lieutenant BRESHANAN, someone of higher rank, who had to obtain the complaint register number. Additionally, GLOVER stated that after BRESHANAN obtained this complaint register number, he was informed by [REDACTED], that during the same "bra" incident with [REDACTED], TERRAZAS also attempted to unsnap her bra. (Attachment#12)

COLEMAN in giving his statement as an accused essentially reiterated the same events as GLOVER. Additionally, COLEMAN denied witnessing TERRAZAS state to [REDACTED] anything about saving her ass from a CR number and that [REDACTED] owed him (TERRAZAS) for that. COLEMAN stated that because he was a concerned supervisor and wanted to know if there was anything that he could do to help her, on 09 February 2006, he approached [REDACTED] and asked her what was going on between her and TERRAZAS. COLEMAN stated that [REDACTED] told him that TERRAZAS unsnapped her bra and that she thought TERRAZAS scolded her because she would not date him.

Although COLEMAN told [REDACTED] that a CR number would be obtained, he did not initiate that number. COLEMAN stated that based on receiving that information, he wrote a report to BRESHANAN. COLEMAN stated that he misunderstood general order 93-03 and interpreted the order to mean that a person of higher rank had to initiate the complaint register number. COLEMAN further stated that he was not aware of General Order 93-3, which states, that upon a supervisor receiving a complaint of misconduct, he will initiate an investigation without looking to a higher authority. (Attachment#13)

SUMMARY REPORT  
REFERENCE: CR# 311124

III.

INVESTIGATION: (continued)

TERRAZAS in giving his statement as an accused, denied that he, 1) unsnapped [REDACTED] bra, stating that he was not in the Area Two Detective Division during late September or early October 2005, when [REDACTED] alleged that incident occurred, 2) took down his pants and stated to [REDACTED]

"Come on let me show you just a little bit", 3) pulled down his underwear and stated to [REDACTED] whatever, he could not do with his penis, he could do with his mouth, 4) asked [REDACTED] several times from the middle of November 2005 - January 2006; if he could come over and have sex with her, 5) attempted to unsnap [REDACTED] bra sometime during late September or early October 2005.(Attachment#14)

This investigation revealed that GLOVER and COLEMAN failed to initiate a complaint register number after being informed by [REDACTED] of TERRAZAS' unsnapping her bra and asking her several times to have sex with him. GLOVER and COLEMAN were also informed by [REDACTED] that she witnessed the "bra" incident and of TERRAZAS' unsuccessful attempt at unsnapping her bra.

Both GLOVER and COLEMAN acknowledged that they were informed by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] of TERRAZAS' acting in an inappropriate manner towards them, however they did not obtain a complaint register number, citing that they misunderstood the "General Order" and sought a higher authority by attempting to contact their supervisor, (BRESNAHAN) who subsequently obtained this complaint register number. However, GLOVER and COLEMAN'S lack of knowledge did not negate their responsibility as sergeants to initiate an investigation upon being informed of misconduct. It was their individual responsibility, to know the contents of General Order 93-03-02B, II, B, 2, as it pertains to specific "Initiation Responsibilities and Procedure", when misconduct is observed or received by a supervisor.

As such, in failing to initiate an investigation or obtain a complaint register number, GLOVER and COLEMAN violated the Department's rules and regulations.

In regards to TERRAZAS' allegations #1 & 5, although he denied unsnapping RIVERS' bra and stated that he was not assigned to the Area Two Detective Division during late September or early October 2005, Department records reflect otherwise. As noted, TERRAZAS' personnel assignment record reflected that he was working in Unit 620, during the time [REDACTED] indicated the "bra" incident occurred. This investigation also revealed that [REDACTED] witnessed TERRAZAS unsnap [REDACTED] bra and that he unsuccessfully attempted to unsnap [REDACTED] bra as well. As such, there is sufficient evidence to prove that TERRAZAS' behavior towards [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] demonstrated inappropriate conduct.

Regarding TERRAZAS' remaining allegations (2-4), there is insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the incidents occurred.

On 07 December 2006 Sergeant Coleman was served with Administrative Proceeding Rights/Notification of Charges/Allegations and submitted a To-From Subject Report stating he stands on his statement dated 13 September 2006.

SUMMARY REPORT  
REFERENCE: CR# 311124

IV.

FINDINGS:

Accused: #1  
ALLEGATION#1

**Sergeant Jesse TERRAZAS**  
Sustained – Violation of Rule 8

Disrespect to or maltreatment of any person, while on or off duty. In that sometime during late September or early October 2005, while in an office within the Area Two Detective Division, Sergeant TERRAZAS approached [REDACTED] from behind and unsnapped her bra.

ALLEGATIONS#2- 4

Not Sustained

Accused: #1  
ALLEGATION #5

**Sergeant Jesse TERRAZAS**

Sustained – Violation of Rule 8  
Disrespect to or maltreatment of any person, while on or off duty. In that sometime during late September or early October 2005, while in an office within the Area Two Detective Division, Sergeant TERRAZAS approached [REDACTED] and attempted to unsnap her bra.

Accused: #2  
ALLEGATION#1

**Sergeant Kevin GLOVER**

Sustained - Violation of Rule 6  
Disobedience of an order or directive, whether written or oral. In that on 08 February 2006, upon Sergeant Kevin GLOVER receiving a complaint from [REDACTED] of misconduct by Sergeant TERRAZAS, Sergeant GLOVER failed to initiate a complete and comprehensive investigation in accordance with General Order 93-03-02B, II, B, 2.

Count 2

Sustained - Violation of Rule 6  
Disobedience of an order or directive, whether written or oral. In that on 08 February 2006, upon Sergeant Kevin GLOVER receiving a complaint from [REDACTED] of misconduct by Sergeant TERRAZAS, Sergeant GLOVER failed to initiate a complete and comprehensive investigation in accordance with General Order 93-03-02B, II, B, 2.

SUMMARY REPORT  
REFERENCE: CR# 311124

IV.

**FINDINGS: (continued)**

**Accused: #3**

**Sergeant Jeffery COLEMAN**

**ALLEGATION#1**

Sustained - Violation of Rule 6  
Disobedience of an order or directive, whether written or oral. In that on 09 February 2006, upon Sergeant COLEMAN receiving a complaint from [REDACTED] of misconduct by Sergeant TERRAZAS, Sergeant COLEMAN failed to initiate a complete and comprehensive investigation in accordance with General Order 93-03-02B, II, B, 2.

**Accused: #3**

**Sergeant Jeffery COLEMAN**

**Count 2**

Sustained - Violation of Rule 6  
Disobedience of an order or directive, whether written or oral. In that on 09 February 2006, upon Sergeant COLEMAN receiving a complaint from [REDACTED] of misconduct by Sergeant TERRAZAS, Sergeant COLEMAN failed to initiate a complete and comprehensive investigation in accordance with General Order 93-03-02B, II, B, 2.

V.

**RECOMMENDATIONS:**

See supervising sergeant=s report attachment # 21.

VI.

DATE INITIATED: 09 February 2006

VII.

DATE COMPLETED: 17 October 2006

VIII.

ELAPSED TIME: 249 Days

[REDACTED] #10819  
P.A. DRAPER-SIBLEY 10819, Unit 121

SUMMARY REPORT  
REFERENCE: CR# 311124

Signature Page Only

IX.

APPROVALS:

[REDACTED]

#1194

Supervising Sergeant Jamie KANE  
Special Investigations Section  
Internal Affairs Division

[REDACTED]

#320

Lieutenant Susan E. CLARK  
Commanding Officer  
Special Investigation Section  
Internal Affairs Division

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-  
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

312519

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)  
16 May 2006

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE  
ATTENTION ☒ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☐ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM - INVESTIGATOR'S NAME KILLEN, Brian		RANK Inv	STAR NO. 299	SOCIAL SEC. NO. XXX-XX-XXXX	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 113
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT 121 W. 73 <sup>rd</sup> St.		DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME 06MAR04, 2050 hrs.		BEAT OF INCIDENT 734	LOCATION CODE 17	
ACCUSED	NAME 1. PEDRAZA, Jose		RANK PO	STAR NO. 11765	SOCIAL SEC. NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 007
	2. GAWRISCH, Kurt		PO	19858	[REDACTED]	007
	SEX/RACE 1. M/H	D.O.B. [REDACTED] 71	DATE OF APPOINTMENT 25OCT99		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	[X] SWORN [ ] CIVILIAN
	2. M/W	[REDACTED] 0	04OCT99		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	[X] SWORN [ ] CIVILIAN
	IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICATED 1.		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE
2.						
COMPLAINANT'S	NAME [REDACTED]		ADDRESS** [REDACTED]	CITY STATE [REDACTED]	TELEPHONE [REDACTED] 3	SEX/RACE Unk.
						D.O.B./AGE Unk.
VICTIMS	NAME [REDACTED]		ADDRESS** Unknown	CITY STATE [REDACTED]	TELEPHONE Unknown	SEX/RACE M/B
						D.O.B./AGE [REDACTED] 1
WITNESSES	NAME		ADDRESS**	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE
						D.O.B./AGE
						PHYS. COND. CODE 01

[ ] SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS	On 24 April 2006, at 1138 hours, Agent Christina Fabian, #17699, Unit 121 telephoned the Office of Professional Standards, via Pax, and registered this complaint with Intake Aide Diane Wolfe, #44661, on behalf of the complainant, [REDACTED]. It is alleged in [REDACTED] that on 06 March 2004, at approximately 2050 hours, at the location of 121 W. 73 <sup>rd</sup> St., the accused officers: 1) struck and beat the victim, [REDACTED] without provocation; and 2) other officers failed to intervene.

<b>I.A.D. LOCATION CODES*</b> 01 Food Sales/Restaurant 02 Tavern/Liquor Store 03 Other Business Establishment 04 Police Building 05 Lockup Facility 06 Police Maintenance Facility 07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility 08 Other Police Property 09 Police Communications System 10 Court Room 11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility 12 Park District Property 13 Airport 14 Public Property - Other 15 Other Private Premise 16 Expressway/Interstate System 17 Public Way - Other 18 Waterway, Incl. Park District 19 Private Residence	<b>I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES</b> 01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal 02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence 03 Injured, Not Hospitalized 04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence 05 Injured, Hospitalized 06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence 07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid 08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence 09 Deceased 10 Deceased - Under Influence
---	--

\*\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

C.R. NO. 312519

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegations(s).  
In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

After several attempts to have the complainant and/or the victim sign the SWORN COMPLAINT AFFIDAVIT(s) as required by the Illinois Uniform Peace Officers Disciplinary Act and advising them that failure to do so may result in the termination of this investigation, neither the complainant nor the victim has signed a Sworn Affidavit.

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-  
SUPPORTING ALLEGATION  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

2

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-  
SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S)  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

PHYSICAL EVIDENCE  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS  
SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:

33

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.  
Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for .... days (not to exceed 5 days).

#### FINDINGS:

Allegations #1-2

Unfounded - No Affidavit

DATE INITIATED (DATE COMPLAINT WAS  
RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION)

24 April 2006

DATE COMPLETED (DATE OF THIS REPORT)

16 May 2006

ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME, EXPRESSED IN DAYS)

22 days

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review  
for by completing the Investigator's Section.

INVESTIGATOR'S SIGNATURE

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 X 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER TO CONTINUE ANY ITEM

OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
C.R.#312519  
Page 3

**SUBJECT:** Accused (Continued)

3. Sgt. Dennis Kettering, #1827; Emp. # [REDACTED] Unit 022, M/W; DOB: [REDACTED] 61; DOA: 18NOV85; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01
4. Sgt. Julie Martin, #2192; Emp. # [REDACTED] Unit 022; F/W; DOB: [REDACTED] 53; DOA: 22DEC86; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01
5. PO Daniel Murphy, #19354; Emp. # [REDACTED] Unit 008; M/W; DOB: [REDACTED] 74; DOA: 27JUL98; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01
6. Det. Walter Chodor, #20285; Emp. # [REDACTED] Unit 640; M/W; DOB: [REDACTED] 64; DOA: 24APR91; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01
7. Det. Matthew E. Hearn, #20357; Emp. # [REDACTED] Unit 601; M/W; DOB: [REDACTED] 67; DOA: 26MAR90; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01
8. PO Gary Olson, #11778; Emp. # [REDACTED] Unit 009; M/W; DOB: [REDACTED] 71; DOA: 04AUG97; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01
9. PO Robert Kellinger, #9705; Emp. # [REDACTED] Unit 020; M/A; DOB: [REDACTED] 72; DOA: 29APR02; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01
10. PO Lawrence Kerr, #14279; Emp. # [REDACTED] Unit 022; M/W; DOB: [REDACTED] 78; DOA: 25MAR02; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01
11. PO Raymond Wilke, #5310; Emp. # [REDACTED] Unit 022; M/W; DOB: [REDACTED] 71; DOA: 05JUN95; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01
12. Det. Timothy Murphy, #21293; Emp. # [REDACTED] Unit 620; M/W; DOB: [REDACTED] 67; DOA: 03OCT94; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01
13. Det Patrick Hackett, #20158; Emp. # [REDACTED] Unit 620; M/W; DOB: [REDACTED] 60; DOA: 13OCT86; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01
14. PO Charles Kirby, #12335; Emp. # [REDACTED] Unit 212; M/W; DOB: [REDACTED] 72; DOA: 08JUN98; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01
15. ET Abelardo Rodriguez, #17413; Emp. # [REDACTED] Unit 177; M/H; DOB: [REDACTED] 51; DOA: 01JUL85; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01
16. Sgt. Kevin Glover, #1587; Emp. # [REDACTED] Unit 620; M/W; DOB: [REDACTED] 59; DOA: 09SEP85; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01
17. Det. Mark Hofer, #21118; Emp. # [REDACTED] Unit 620; M/W; DOB: [REDACTED] 47; DOA: 02MAR70; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01



**SUBJECT:** Accused (Continued)

18. Sgt. David Jarmusz, #1718; Emp. # [REDACTED]; Unit 620; M/W; DOB: [REDACTED] 52; DOA: 19MAR73; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01
19. Sgt. Carl Rikkenbach, #2134; Emp. # [REDACTED]; Unit 022; M/W; DOB: [REDACTED] 56; DOA: 14JUN82; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01
20. PO John O'Donnell, #4626; Emp. # [REDACTED]; Unit 132; M/W; DOB: [REDACTED] 69; DOA: 25NOV93; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01
21. Sgt. John Doherty, #903; Emp. # [REDACTED]; Unit 620; M/W; DOB: [REDACTED] 63; DOA: 07DEC97; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01
22. PO Terri Grandberry, #3512; Emp. # [REDACTED]; Unit 022; F/B; DOB: [REDACTED] 71; DOA: 10OCT00; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01
23. PO Sara Donovan, #7335; Emp. # [REDACTED]; Unit 015; F/W; DOB: [REDACTED] 79; DOA: 29Apr02; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01
24. PO Sal DiFranco, #7617; Emp. # [REDACTED]; Unit 015; M/W; DOB: [REDACTED] 75; DOA: 29APR02; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01
25. Lt. David Blanco, #794; Emp. # [REDACTED]; Unit 112; M/H; DOB: [REDACTED] 59; DOA: 16JUN86; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01
26. Sgt. Frank Battaglia, #2471; Emp. # [REDACTED]; Unit 008; M/W; DOB: [REDACTED] 47; DOA: 01NOV77; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01
27. Det. Paul Alfini, #20547; Emp. # [REDACTED]; Unit 620; M/W; DOB: [REDACTED] 63; DOA: 27AUG90; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01
28. Sgt. Donald Jones, #1749; Emp. # [REDACTED]; Unit 002; M/W; DOB: [REDACTED] 70; DOA: 23OCT95; On Duty; Sworn; Phys. Cond. Code: 01

# SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST

## CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

COMPLAINT LOG NO.  
1039777

TYPE  
INFO

DATE OF REPORT (Day-Mo.-Yr.)  
27 OCT 10

**INSTRUCTIONS:** To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either **EXONERATED**, **UNFOUNDED**, **NOT SUSTAINED**, or in **SUSTAINED** cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed **FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION**. SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED. SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: ☐ CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR,  
INDEPENDENT POLICE REVIEW AUTHORITY ☒ CHIEF,  
INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM - INVESTIGATOR'S NAME  
BRUNDAGE, John P. RANK LT STAR NO. 408 EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED] UNIT ASSIGN. 006 UNIT DETAILED DNA

REFERENCE NOS. (LIST ALL RELATED C.L., C. B., I.R., INVENTORY NOS., ETC., PERTINENT TO THIS INVESTIGATION)

ADDRESS OF INCIDENT  
86 [REDACTED] S. Ashland - Street DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME  
11 SEP 10 0219 BEAT OF INCIDENT  
614

NAME RANK STAR NO. EMPLOYEE NO. UNIT ASSIGN. UNIT DETAILED  
1. GLOVER, Kevin D. SGT 1587 [REDACTED] 006 DNA

2. 3.

3.

SEX/RACE D.O.B. DATE OF APPOINTMENT DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)  
1. M/1 [REDACTED] 1959 09 SEP 1985 ☒ ON DUTY ☐ OFF DUTY ☒ SWORN ☐ CIVILIAN

2. ☐ ON DUTY ☐ OFF DUTY ☐ SWORN ☐ CIVILIAN

3. ☐ ON DUTY ☐ OFF DUTY ☐ SWORN ☐ CIVILIAN

IF APPLIES, DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED CHARGES COURT BRANCH DISPOSITION & DATE

1. 2. 3.

NAME ADDRESS\*\* CITY STATE TELEPHONE SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE  
[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] F/1 UNK

NAME ADDRESS\*\* CITY STATE TELEPHONE SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE

NAME ADDRESS\*\* CITY STATE TELEPHONE SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE

NAME ADDRESS\*\* CITY STATE TELEPHONE SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE

NAME ADDRESS\*\* CITY STATE TELEPHONE SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE

NAME ADDRESS\*\* CITY STATE TELEPHONE SEX/RACE D.O.B./AGE

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

\*\*IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

**ALLEGATIONS**  
The Complainant alleges that on 11 SEP 2010, 0219 hrs., at 8600 S. Ashland Ave., the accused pulled from the gas station into traffic, with no emergency equipment activated and the Complainant almost struck his vehicle. The Complainant alleges she sounded her horn at the accused and he made a U-turn, pulled her over and requested her driver's license and registration to which she complied. The Complainant also alleges that she exited her vehicle and inquired why she had been stopped and the accused stated to her, "Because of your mouth. Now get back in the car." The Complainant also alleges that the accused was smoking a cigarette, and blowing smoke in her face. The Complainant further alleges she requested the star number and name of the accused and she was informed again to get back in the car and at this point she telephoned 911 for a Sergeant and the accused informed her he was the Supervisor. The Complainant further alleges the accused had another Officer come to the scene and issue the citation for cracked windshield.

REVIEWED REC 06 2010

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s). In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

Reporting Lieutenant received this investigation and sent the Complainant an e-mail notification and a certified letter with contact information.

Reporting Lieutenant successfully contacted the Complainant on 19 OCT 20 and interviewed the Complainant on 20 OCT 10. She stated that she filed this complaint via the internet, and stood by the allegations in her original complaint [Att. 1C-1E], with the additional allegation that the accused member was blowing cigarette smoke in her face. Att. 2. She indicated that she wished to proceed with her Complaint, and signed the Sworn Affidavit. Att. 3.

From the description of the accused officer, Reporting Lieutenant determined that the only Male Black supervisor working the 006th District on first watch was Sgt. Kevin GLOVER. In his response to the allegations, Sgt. GLOVER admits that he was the supervisor who stopped the Complainant. Att. 7.

Sgt. GLOVER was served with the allegations and other administrative forms. Att. 4, 5, 6. In his response, Sgt. GLOVER admits that he pulled the Complainant over, but stated it was for a cracked windshield that he observed as she drove by. Att. 7. The Complainant admits that her windshield was cracked. Att. 2. Sgt. GLOVER denies that he refused to provide his name, and that he responded "because of your mouth" when asked why the Sgt. pulled the Complainant over. He also denies smoking in front of the Complainant. Att. 7.

After review of the statements, Reporting Lieutenant is unable to prove or disprove the allegations by substantial evidence, and finds that the allegations in the Complaint should be NOT SUSTAINED.

SUMMARY

ATTACH-  
MENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-  
SUPPORTING ALLEGATION  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

1,2

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-  
SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S)  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

7

PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST  
ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS  
SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:

11

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation. Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for — days (not to exceed 5 days).

FINDINGS - RECOMMENDATIONS

FINDINGS - NOT SUSTAINED: Due to the conflicting evidence, R/Lt. in unable to prove or disprove the allegations in the Complaint by substantial evidence.

RECOMMENDATIONS: No disciplinary action.

DATE INITIATED (Date complaint was received for investigation)

25 SEP 10

DATE COMPLETED (Date of this report)

27 OCT 10

ELAPSED TIME (Total time expressed in days)

32

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 x

#408

**C.R. No. 1039777**  
**EVIDENCE LIST**

Face Sheet – C.R. Log No. 1039777 (Pages 1A-1E).....	1
To From Subject Report – Interview with Complainant, [REDACTED].....	2
Sworn Affidavit for Complaint Log Investigation .....	3
Notification of Charges/Allegations – Sgt. GLOVER, #1587 .....	4
Administrative Proceedings Rights – Sgt. GLOVER, #1587 .....	5
Waiver of Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel – Sgt. GLOVER, #1587.....	6
To From Subject Report – Response of Sgt. GLOVER, #1587 .....	7
Notification Re: Automated Complaint – Investigator Identified .....	8
Notification Re: Automated Complaint – Sworn Affidavit obtained.....	9
Notification Re: Automated Complaint – Accused, Sgt. GLOVER, #1587, identified .....	10
Request for Time Extension .....	11

**SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST**  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

COMPLAINT LOG NO.  
1 0 5 8 5 0 8

TYPE  
CR

DATE OF REPORT (Day-Mo.-Yr.)  
28 Jan 2013

**INSTRUCTIONS:** To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either **EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED**, or in **SUSTAINED** cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed **FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION**. SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED. SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: ☒ CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR,  
INDEPENDENT POLICE REVIEW AUTHORITY

☐ CHIEF,  
BUREAU OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS

FROM -INVESTIGATOR'S NAME  
Anthony J CEJA

RANK  
LT

STAR NO.  
0672

EMPLOYEE NO.  
[REDACTED]

UNIT ASSIGN.  
006

UNIT DETAILED  
D-N-A

REFERENCE NOS. (LIST ALL RELATED C.L., C. B., I.R., INVENTORY NOS., ETC., PERTINENT TO THIS INVESTIGATION)

ADDRESS OF INCIDENT  
78 S. Halsted

DATE OF INCIDENT -TIME  
19 Nov 2012 0845 hrs

BEAT OF INCIDENT  
621

ACCUSED	NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.	UNIT DETAILED	
	1. Kevin GLOVER		Sgt	1587	[REDACTED]	006	D-N-A	
	2.							
	3.							
	SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)			
	1. M/1	[REDACTED] 1959	09 Sep 1985		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN			
	2.				<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN			
	3.				<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN			
	IF APPLIES, DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE		
	1. D--N--A--							
2.								
3.								
COMPLAINANT	NAME		ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
	[REDACTED]		P.O., #12154, Emp [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Sworn, 29 Jul 02	312-745-3610	F/1	[REDACTED] 1969, 43 YOA
VICTIMS	NAME		ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
	Same as Complainant							
WITNESSES	NAME		ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
	[REDACTED]		P.O., # [REDACTED] Emp [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Sworn, 29 Nov 04	[REDACTED]	F/1	[REDACTED] 1975, 37 YOA
	[REDACTED]		P.O., # [REDACTED] Emp [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Sworn, 24 Sep 02	[REDACTED]	F/1	[REDACTED] 1977, 35 YOA

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

\*\*IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

ALLEGATIONS

The complainant alleges that on 19 November, 2013 at 0845 hrs, in the 006th District parking lot, the accused supervisor threatened and verbally abused the complainant by stating to complainant "I'll fuck around and make your kids orphans."

REVIEWED BY  
APR 18 2013

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).  
In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

R/Lt was assigned this investigation on 30 Nov 2012. The complaint register involves all department sworn members and a sworn affidavit is not required. On date and time in question, the complainant, [REDACTED] was driving through the 006th District parking lot when her squad car came upon another squad car blocking her path and complainant further relates that the accused supervisor, Sgt. K. Glover, Star 1587 was also blocking her path by standing in the isle in which she was traveling. Complainant relates in her To-From report that she asked the accused supervisor to let her drive by and the accused supervisor refused to do so. Complainant further relates that she was able to drive past the accused because the squad car in front of her was no longer blocking her path. Upon putting the vehicle (squad car) in drive, complainant did relate she forgot that she had her tires turned towards the accused supervisors direction because she anticipated the accused supervisor would move out of the way and enable her to drive around the squad car that was blocking her path. When the complainant stepped on the gas the squad car she was driving jumped and headed in the direction of the accused supervisor and P.O. T. Spearman, Star 17856 causing both the accused and P.O. Spearman to jump back. Complainant immediately put on the brakes and attempted to apologize to the accused supervisor and P.O. Spearman, Star 17856. The complainant related that it was at this time the accused supervisor stated to complainant " I'll fuck around and make your kids orphans." In her To-From report, the complainant relates that she took offense to the accused supervisor's statement and wanted this incident documented. (See attachments #4 & #5)

After giving the accused supervisor his Notification of Charges, Administrative Rights and Waiver of Counsel forms (see attachments #6 thru #8), the accused supervisor, Sgt K. Glover, Star 1587 admitted saying something to that extent but the accused supervisors denies that he ever swore at the complainant. Accused supervisor in his report related that he was asked to move out of the way of complainants vehicle, so that the complainant would be able to drive around the squad car that was blocking her path. The accused supervisor refused to move because he was conducting an investigation into damage to a department vehicle (outside #6340). Upon refusing to move out the way, the accused supervisor returned to his investigation into the damage to department vehicle and at that time heard and then observed the complainant's vehicle driving straight at the accused supervisor and P.O. Spearman, Star 17856 at which time the accused supervisor and P.O. Spearman, Star 17856 jumped back and out of the way in fear of being struck by complainant's vehicle. Accused supervisor further related that the complainant slammed on the brakes and the squad car came to a halt at which time complainant told accused supervisor that she didn't mean it at which time the accused supervisor told complainant "you going to fool around and get shot and make your family orphans." (continued)

SUMMARY

ATTACH-  
MENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-  
SUPPORTING ALLEGATION  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:  
5, 9, 11 & 14

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-  
SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S)  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:  
9, 11 & 14

PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST  
ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

0

TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS  
SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:

17

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation. Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for --- days (not to exceed 5 days).

Findings: See Continuation Sheet

Recommendations:

R/Lt recommends allegation that the accused supervisor threatened the complainant be not sustained because of the circumstances in which the statement that the accused supervisor made and the statement was made only after the complainant unintentionally drove a squad car straight at the accused supervisor which made the accused supervisor jump out of the way of the moving vehicle.

R/Lt recommends the allegation that the accused supervisor verbally abused the complainant be not sustained due to insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation at hand.

FINDINGS - RECOMMENDATIONS

DATE INITIATED (Date complaint was received for investigation)

30 Nov 2012

DATE COMPLETED (Date of this report)

07 Feb 2013

ELAPSED TIME (Total time expressed in days)

70 Days



Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by  
completing the Investigator's Section.

INVESTIGATOR'S SIGNATURE

672

CR# 1058508

Attachment List:

- Summary Digest Report (4 pages)
1. Face Sheet
  2. Initiation Report
  3. Summarization Report by R/Lt (3 pages)
  4. Complainant's To-From Report
  5. Complainant's To-From Report
  6. Notifications of Charges /Allegations (Sgt Glover #1587)
  7. Administrative Proceeding Rights (Sgt Glover #1587)
  8. Waiver of Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel (Sgt Glover #1587)
  9. To-From Report (Sgt. Glover #1587)
  10. To-From Report Requesting Witness Report (PO Spearman #17856)
  11. Witness Report (P.O. Spearman #17856)
  12. Witness Report (P.O. Woody #13625)
  13. To-From Report Requesting Witness Report (PO Daily #17987)
  14. Witness Report ( P.O. Daily #17987)
  15. Notification Half Sheet: Additional Witness Identified 
  16. Notification Half Sheet: Additional Witness Identified 
  17. Request for Time Extension

# SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST

## CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

COMPLAINT LOG NO.  
1 0 6 7 2 1 3

TYPE  
INFO

DATE OF REPORT (Day-Mo.-Yr.)  
27 February 2014

**INSTRUCTIONS:** To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either **EXONERATED**, **UNFOUNDED**, **NOT SUSTAINED**, or in **SUSTAINED** cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed **FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION**. SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED. SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: ☐ CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR,  
INDEPENDENT POLICE REVIEW AUTHORITY ☒ CHIEF,  
BUREAU OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS

FROM - INVESTIGATOR'S NAME CEJA, Anthony J	RANK LT	STAR NO. 672	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 006	UNIT DETAILED D-N-A-
---	------------	-----------------	----------------------------	---------------------	-------------------------

REFERENCE NOS. (LIST ALL RELATED C.L., C. B., I.R., INVENTORY NOS., ETC., PERTINENT TO THIS INVESTIGATION)

NONE

ADDRESS OF INCIDENT [REDACTED] W. 79th Street, Chicago IL 60620				DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME 27 January 2014 1420hrs		BEAT OF INCIDENT 623		
ACCUSED	NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.	UNIT DETAILED	
	1. GLOVER, Kevin D		SGT	1587	[REDACTED]	006	D-N-A-	
	2.							
	3.							
	SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)			
	1. M/1	[REDACTED] 1959	09 Sept 1985		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	
	2.				<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	
	3.				<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	
	IF APPLIES, DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED			CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE	
	1. D--N--A--							
2.								
3.								
COMPLAINANT	NAME		ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
	[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	F/4	[REDACTED] 1977 / 36 YOA
VICTIMS	NAME		ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
	SAME AS COMPLAINANT							
WITNESSES	NAME		ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
	NONE / UNK							

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

\*\*IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

ALLEGATIONS

The complainant alleges that accused police sergeant, Sgt. Kevin D Glover #1587 was rude and discourteous in that the accused police sergeant asked to use the restroom at Boost Mobile locate at 49 W. 79th St, and when complainant [REDACTED] denied the accused sergeant's request, the accused sergeant pushed his way into the restroom and used the restroom anyway. The complainant further alleges that the accused sergeant made a derogatory remark by stating to complainant "the next time something happens at your establishment (Boost Mobile), do not expect an immediate response from the police."



Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).  
In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

R/Lt investigation into Complaint Log #1067213 reveals that the R/Lt interviewed the complainant [REDACTED] in person on 23 Feb 2014 at 1221hrs at her place of employment, Boost Mobile, 49 W. 79th St, Chicago, IL 60620, where the alleged incident occurred on 27 Jan 2014 at 1420hrs. Complainant [REDACTED] at this time indicated to R/Lt that she no longer wished to pursue her complaint she filed against Sgt Kevin D. Glover #1587. Complainant [REDACTED] further related that she was not coerced or forced into dropping her complaint and complainant did not sign a sworn affidavit but did voluntarily sign a Letter of Declination/Voluntary Termination Form. (see attachments 3,4,5 & 7)

R/Lt's investigation further revealed that the accused police sergeant was working on the 27 Jan 2014 in the 006th District, assigned to Beat 620. R/Lt also checked to see if there were any incidents or calls for service for 49 W. 79th St where the alleged incident occurred on the date and time in question (27 Jan 2014 @1420hrs) but R/Lt was met with negative results via PCAD, CLEAR and AIRA. (see attachments 5 & 9) There were no other police officers involved in this alleged incident.

At this time R/Lt cannot continue his investigation without further cooperation from the complainant [REDACTED] and at this present time, complainant [REDACTED] does not wish to pursue her complaint she (complainant) filed against accused police sergeant, Sgt Kevin D. Glover #1587.

SUMMARY

ATTACH-  
MENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-  
SUPPORTING ALLEGATION  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:  
NONE

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-  
SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S)  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:  
NONE

PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST  
ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:  
NONE

TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS  
SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:  
09

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.  
Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for --- days (not to exceed 5 days).

R/Lt recommends that the allegation that Sgt. Kevin D. Glover #1587 was rude and discourteous by pushing his way into the complainant's restroom after complainant denied Sgt Glover #1587 the use the restroom at Boost Mobile be "UNFOUNDED" at this time due to the fact complainant does not wish to further cooperate with this complaint and investigation at hand.

R/Lt further recommends that the allegation that Sgt. Kevin D. Glover #1587 made a derogatory remark to complainant by stating to complainant "the next time something happens in your establishment, do not expect an immediate response from the police" be "UNFOUNDED" at this time due to the fact complainant does not wish to further cooperate with this complaint and investigation at hand.

FINDINGS - RECOMMENDATIONS

DATE INITIATED (Date complaint was received for investigation)  
19 February 2014

DATE COMPLETED (Date of this report)  
27 Feb 2014

ELAPSED TIME (Total time expressed in days)  
09 Days

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by  
completing the Investigator's Section.

INVESTIGATOR'S SIGN

672

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 x 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER

## Complaint Register Investigation Attachment List

ATTACHMENT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE(S)
	Summary Digest Report	2 pages
	Attachment List	1 page
1	Complaint Face Sheet	2 pages
2	Sworn Affidavit for CR Investigation – NOT SIGNED	1 page
3	Half Sheet (Assigned Investigator)	1 page
4	Voluntary Termination Form	1 page
5	To-From, Lt's Investigation Summary	
6	To-From, Attempt to Contact Complainant	1 page
7	To-From, Complainant Interview	1 page
8	Half Sheet - Identifies Accused Officer	1 page
9	006 <sup>th</sup> District, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Watch Assignment Sheet	1 page

# SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST

## CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

COMPLAINT LOG NO.  
1073942

TYPE  
CR

DATE OF REPORT (Day-Mo.-Yr.)  
30 JUNE 2015

INSTRUCTIONS: To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION. SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED. SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: ☐ CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR,  
INDEPENDENT POLICE REVIEW AUTHORITY ☒ CHIEF,  
BUREAU OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS

FROM - INVESTIGATOR'S NAME  
HANNA, Gloria

RANK  
LT

STAR NO.  
290

EMPLOYEE NO.  
[REDACTED]

UNIT ASSIGN.  
006

UNIT DETAILED  
DNA

REFERENCE NOS. (LIST ALL RELATED C.L., C. B., I.R., INVENTORY NOS., ETC., PERTINENT TO THIS INVESTIGATION)

ADDRESS OF INCIDENT  
75 S Aberdeen, Chicago IL 60620

DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME  
26 Feb 2015 1153

BEAT OF INCIDENT  
612

NAME	RANK	STAR NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.	UNIT DETAILED
1. JONES, Anthony	PO	5984	[REDACTED]	006	DNA
2. LINDSAY, Kristophe	PO	4952	[REDACTED]	006	DNA
3. GLOVER, Kevin	SGT	1587	[REDACTED]	RETIRED	DNA

SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT	DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)	
1. M/1	[REDACTED] 69	13 DEC 1999	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN
2. M/1	[REDACTED] 75	24 JAN 2000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN
3. M/1	UNK	UNK	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN

IF APPLIES, DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED	CHARGES	COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE
1.			
2.			
3.			

NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	F/1	[REDACTED] 1979/36

NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
Same as Complainant						

NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	M/1	[REDACTED] 1960/55
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	M/1	[REDACTED] 1987/28

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

\*\*IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

The complainant alleges that on 26 Feb 2015 at 1153 hours at 75 S South Aberdeen, Chicago IL, the accused failed to file a report on her behalf when a subject threatened to kill her.

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).  
In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

On 7 June 2015, R/Lt was assigned to conduct additional investigation into this complaint due to the fact that the original investigator Sgt Kevin Glover has retired. (Attachment 17) Based on a review of the investigation completed and submitted by now retired Sgt. Kevin Glover it appears that he should have been named as an accused. As a result, R/Lt has listed now retired Sgt Kevin Glover as an accused. Based on the fact that Sgt Kevin Glover is no longer employed by the Chicago Police Department, he was not served with the allegations. Although now retired, the results of his investigation remain the same. The investigation conducted by now retired Sgt Kevin Glover revealed that the basis for the complaint was false as the complainant was never threatened. The event history records do not reflect any information stating the complainant was threatened. (Attachments 4-6) As a result R/Lt agrees with the unfounded findings of now retired Sgt Kevin Glover. (Attachment 7)

SUMMARY

ATTACH-  
MENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-  
SUPPORTING ALLEGATION  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-  
SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S)  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST  
ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS  
SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:

3,4,5,7

18

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation. Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for --- days (not to exceed 5 days).

FINDINGS - RECOMMENDATIONS

FINDINGS:

Accused 1: EXONERATED - P.O. A. Jones #5984  
Accused 2: EXONERATED - P.O. K. Lindsay #4952  
Accused 3: EXONERATED - P.O. K. Glover #1587

RECOMMENDATION: NO DISCIPLINARY ACTION

DATE INITIATED (Date complaint was received for investigation)

12 MAR 2015

DATE COMPLETED (Date of this report)

30 JUNE 2015

ELAPSED TIME (Total time expressed in days)

108

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by  
completing the Investigator's Section.

IN

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 x 11" S

#### LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

1. Face Sheet (2 pages)
2. Sworn Affidavit
3. Notification Re: Automated Complaint (4 pages)
4. Event History 1505705033
5. Event History 1505706128 (2 pages)
6. Event History 1505705099 (2 pages)
7. Summary Report Digest and To-From Report from now retired Sergeant Kevin Glover (3 pages)
8. Notification of Charges/Allegations – PO Anthony Jones
9. Administrative Proceeding Rights - PO Anthony Jones
10. Waiver of Counsel – PO Anthony Jones
11. To-From report – PO Anthony Jones
12. Notification of Charges/Allegations – PO Kristophe Lindsay
13. Administrative Proceeding Rights - PO Kristophe Lindsay
14. Waiver of Counsel – PO Kristophe Lindsay
15. To-From report – PO Kristophe Lindsay
16. Attendance and Assignment Sheets – 26 Feb 2015 (2 pages)
17. Personnel Order No 2015-068
18. Request for time extension

# SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST

## CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

COMPLAINT LOG NO.  
1015926

TYPE  
Info

DATE OF REPORT (Day-Mo.-Yr.)  
16 JUL 2008

INSTRUCTIONS: To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either **EXONERATED**, **UNFOUNDED**, **NOT SUSTAINED**, or in **SUSTAINED** cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed **FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION**. SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED. SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: ☒ CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR,  
INDEPENDENT POLICE REVIEW AUTHORITY ☐ CHIEF,  
INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM - INVESTIGATOR'S NAME  
Kelvin Lett RANK  
INV STAR NO.  
228 EMPLOYEE NO.  
UNIT ASSIGN.  
113 UNIT DETAILED

REFERENCE NOS. (LIST ALL RELATED C.L., C. B., I.R., INVENTORY NOS., ETC., PERTINENT TO THIS INVESTIGATION)

ADDRESS OF INCIDENT  
72 S. Exchange DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME  
21 APR 2008 09:06 BEAT OF INCIDENT  
334

NAME	RANK	STAR NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.	UNIT DETAILED
1. Unknown					
2.					
3.					

SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT	DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)	SWORN	CIVILIAN
1.			<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2.			<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3.			<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

IF APPLIES, DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED	CHARGES	COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE
1.			
2.			
3.			

NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
		Chgo	IL.		F/B	71

NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE

NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

\*\*IF CPD MEMBER. LIST RANK, STAR, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

On 21 APR 2008, at 10:52 hours, the complainant, [REDACTED] telephoned the Independent Police Review Authority and registered this complaint with Civ [REDACTED] alleged that on 21 APR 08, at approximately 09:06 hours, at the location of 7200 S. Exchange, three unknown male uniformed officers: 1) falsely detained her, and 2) forcefully twisted her arms behind her back.

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).  
In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

Several attempts were made to have the complainant sign the Sworn Complaint Affidavit required by Illinois Uniform Peace Officers Disciplinary Act and advising him/her that failure to do so may result in the termination of this investigation. The complainant has failed to sign the Sworn Affidavit.

Should the complainant sign the Sworn Affidavit or additional information becomes available, this investigation can be re-opened. The R/I recommends that at this time the case be closed with a finding of "Unfounded - No Affidavit."

SUMMARY

ATTACH-  
MENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-  
SUPPORTING ALLEGATION  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:  
1

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-  
SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S)  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:  
0

PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST  
ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:  
0

TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS  
SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:  
11

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation. Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for — days (not to exceed 5 days).

FINDINGS - RECOMMENDATIONS

FINDINGS:

Accused: #1-#3

UNKNOWN

Allegations: #1-#2

UNFOUNDED



DATE INITIATED (Date complaint was received for investigation)

23 APR 2008

DATE COMPLETED (Date of this report)

17 JUL 2008

ELAPSED TIME (Total time expressed in days)

86 Days

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.

INVESTIGATOR'S SIGNATURE

# 228

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 x 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER TO CONTINUE ANY ITEM.

Bureau of Professional Standards  
Internal Affairs Division


06 Apr 09  
CL# 1024464

To: Commanding Officer  
General Investigation Section  
Internal Affairs Division


From: Police Agent David CALDWELL, Star 13646  
General Investigation Section  
Internal Affairs Division


Subject: Approval of Complaint Log Investigation 1024464

The attached Complaint Log Investigation has been completed and is submitted for your approval.

  
Police Agent David CALDWELL, Star 13646  
General Investigation Section  
Internal Affairs Division

Approved:

 1193  
Supervising Sergeant  
General Investigation Section  
Internal Affairs Division

 2493  
Commanding Officer  
General Investigation Section  
Internal Affairs Division



# SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST

## CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

COMPLAINT LOG NO  
1024464

TYPE  
CR

DATE OF REPORT (Day-Mo -Yr.)  
06 Apr 09

INSTRUCTIONS: To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION. SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED. SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED

TO: ☐ CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR,  
INDEPENDENT POLICE REVIEW AUTHORITY ☒ CHIEF  
INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM - INVESTIGATOR'S NAME DAVID S. CALDWELL	RANK P.A.	STAR NO. 13646	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 121	UNIT DETAILED
---	--------------	-------------------	----------------------------	---------------------	---------------

REFERENCE NOS (LIST ALL RELATED C.L., C. B., I.R., INVENTORY NOS., ETC., PERTINENT TO THIS INVESTIGATION)


ADDRESS OF INCIDENT 102 [REDACTED] S. Prospect Ave				DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME Unknown		BEAT OF INCIDENT 2213		
ACCUSED	NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.	UNIT DETAILED	
	1. Kevin GLOVER		Sgt	1587	[REDACTED]	620		
	2.							
	3.							
	SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)			
	1. M/B	[REDACTED] 59	09 Sep 85		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	
	2.				<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	
	3.				<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		<input type="checkbox"/> SWORN <input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN	
	IF APPLIES, DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED			CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE	
	1.							
2.								
3.								
COMPLAINANT	NAME		ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
	[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	M/B	41
VICTIMS	NAME		ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
WITNESSES	NAME		ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

\*\*IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX

NOTE: COMPLAINT LOG "TYPE" IS CLASSIFIED CR - SWORN AFFIDAVIT ON FILE.

ALLEGATIONS: The complainant, [REDACTED] alleged that Sergeant Kevin GLOVER, Star 1587, Unit 620, failed to conduct a proper investigation into Complaint Log #1019271, in that the accused made attempts to convince the complainant to stop the investigation and not sign a Sworn Affidavit.

SUMMARY	Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s). In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.			
	SEE ATTACHED PAGE			
ATTACHMENTS	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS: 3, 4, 7,	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS: 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE: 15
FINDINGS - RECOMMENDATIONS	Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation. Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for --- days (not to exceed 5 days).  Accused: Sergeant Kevin GLOVER, Star 1587, Unit 620 Allegation: Not Sustained Recommendation: No Disciplinary Action Warranted			
DATE INITIATED (Date complaint was received for investigation) 11 Mar 09		DATE COMPLETED (Date of this report) 06 Apr 09		ELAPSED TIME (Total time expressed in days) 26
Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.			INVESTIGATOR'S SIGNATURE  David CALDWELL	

Digitally signed by David CALDWELL  
 DN: cn=David CALDWELL, o=US  
 Date: 2009.04.06 16:52:24 -0500

A sworn Affidavit was signed by the complainant, [REDACTED] on 16 Mar 09. (Att #3)

The complainant, [REDACTED], alleged that Sergeant Kevin GLOVER, Star 1587, Unit 620, failed to conduct a proper investigation into Complaint Log # 1019271. [REDACTED] alleged the accused attempted to persuade him to decline to sign a sworn affidavit.

On 16 Mar 09, [REDACTED] appeared at Internal Affairs Division and provided a statement. [REDACTED] related on an unknown date and at an unknown time Sergeant Kevin GLOVER contacted him about C.L. #1019171 and scheduled an appointment at his residence. [REDACTED] related the accused did not present him with a Sworn Affidavit because he stated he did not have one with him. [REDACTED] related the accused did explain the purpose for the Sworn Affidavit, but did not provide one for the complainant to sign. [REDACTED] related the accused requested that he come to the Police Station on a later date to sign the Sworn Affidavit, but was not specific about the date. [REDACTED] stated the accused provided his name and telephone number which he called several times but was unable to contact the accused. [REDACTED] related he contacted the Internal Affairs Division and requested information regarding the status of his complaint and he was informed the complaint was closed. (Att #7)

The undersigned obtained a copy of Complaint Log# 1019271. The investigation stated Sergeant Kevin GLOVER contacted [REDACTED] on 10 Sep 08, and met with him on 11 Sep 08 at his residence. Sergeant GLOVER asked if [REDACTED] wished to pursue the investigation, and he stated 'yes.' The accused arrived on 11 Sep 08 and presented [REDACTED] with a sworn affidavit. [REDACTED] informed him he wanted to confer with his attorney before he signed the sworn affidavit. Sergeant GLOVER explained the purpose of the sworn affidavit to [REDACTED] who refused to sign the sworn affidavit at that time. (Att #10, 11)

Accused, Sergeant Kevin GLOVER, Star 1587, was served with Charges/Allegations. Sergeant GLOVER denied the allegation. Sergeant GLOVER stated he stands by his report that on 11 Sep 08 he presented [REDACTED] with a Sworn Affidavit and he refused to sign it until he after he consult his lawyer. Sergeant GLOVER stated he provided his name and unit telephone number to [REDACTED] to contact him. Sergeant GLOVER stated as of this date [REDACTED] has not contacted him. Sergeant GLOVER stated he conducted an investigation into C.L. #1019721 and [REDACTED] did not sign a Sworn Affidavit. Sergeant GLOVER stated he recommended C.L. #1019721 be classified as "Unfounded". (Att. #8, 9)

Summary Report Digest

C.R. #1024464

Page #3 of 3

In summary, [REDACTED] stated by Sergeant GLOVER did not provide a Sworn Affidavit for him to sign. [REDACTED] stated Sergeant GLOVER did not have a Sworn Affidavit with him when he came to his residence. [REDACTED] stated he called Sergeant GLOVER several times but his efforts met with negative results. Sergeant GLOVER states [REDACTED] did not sign the Sworn Affidavit on 11 Sep 08, and stated he wanted to confer with his lawyer first before signing the Sworn Affidavit. Sergeant GLOVER stated [REDACTED] did not cooperate with the investigation and did not contact him to pursue this investigation. The undersigned determined insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. Sergeant GLOVER did conduct an investigation even though [REDACTED] did not sign the Sworn Affidavit. Based on the results of the investigation, the undersigned recommends this complaint be classified as Not Sustained.

## Attachments

No.	Type	Related Person	No. of Pages	Narrative
1	FACE SHEET	N/A		
2	CONFLICT CERTIFICATION	N/A		
3	SWORN AFFIDAVIT FROM COMPLAINANT	N/A	1	Sworn Affidavit
4	INITIATION REPORT	N/A	1	
5	ATTEMPT TO CONTACT	N/A	3	Mailed Certified Letter 12 Mar 09
6	U.S.P.S. (USPS) TRACKING REPORT	N/A	2	
7	INTERVIEW-REPORTING PARTY	N/A	4	
8	ACCUSED NOTIFICATION OF ALLEGATIONS FOR GLOVER, KEVIN	ACCUSED - GLOVER, KEVIN		
9	STATEMENT OF POLICE OFFICER	N/A	4	Sgt. Kevin GLOVER, Star 1587
10	REPORT (OTHER)	N/A	2	Summary Report Digest #1019291
11	REPORT (OTHER)	N/A	1	Unsigned Sworn Affidavit CL#1019271 - Dated 11 Sep 08
12	GENERAL OFFENSE CASE REPORT	N/A	2	G.O.C.R. [REDACTED]
13	DETECTIVE SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT	N/A	6	Det. Supplementary Report [REDACTED]
14	ARREST REPORT	N/A	7	Arrest Report of [REDACTED]
15	ARREST HISTORY	N/A	2	Arrest History of [REDACTED]

## SUMMARY REPORT-

SUBJECT: COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

SUSTAINED

291372

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

01 October 2004

## INSTRUCTIONS:

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE  
ATTENTION ☒ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☐ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM - INVESTIGATOR'S NAME Dagny A. BLASKOVICH	RANK Inv.	STAR NO. 279	SOCIAL SEC. NO. XXX-XX-XXXX	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 113
---	--------------	-----------------	--------------------------------	----------------------------	---------------------

REFERENCE NOS. (LIST ALL RELATED C.R., C.B., I.R., INVENTORY NOS. ETC., PERTINENT TO THIS INVESTIGATION)

HJ-543374; HJ-542328; CB# 15543077

ADDRESS OF INCIDENT [REDACTED] E. 111 <sup>th</sup> Street – inside Area 2 Commander's office			DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME 05 August 03 – 2345 hrs		BEAT OF INCIDENT 531..	LOCATION CODE* 04
NAME 1. Bridget McLAUGHLIN			RANK PA	STAR NO. 15886	SOCIAL SEC. NO. XXX-XX-XXXX	UNIT ASSIGN. 121
2 Milton OWENS (Detective, #20498, Unit 620 at time of incident)			Sgt	994	XXX-XX-XXXX	006
3 Walter GREEN			Cmdr	261	XXX-XX-XXXX	002
SEX/RACE 1. F/H	D.O.B. [REDACTED] 68	DATE OF APPOINTMENT 16 Dec 91	DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT) [ ] ON DUTY [X] OFF DUTY		[X] SWORN [ ] CIVILIAN	PHYS. COND. CODE 01
2 M/B	[REDACTED] 59	13 Apr 87	[X] ON DUTY [ ] OFF DUTY		[X] SWORN [ ] CIVILIAN	01
3 M/B	[REDACTED] 53	01 Mar 82	[X] ON DUTY [ ] OFF DUTY		[X] SWORN [ ] CIVILIAN	01
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICATED 1.		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE	
2						
3						
NAME [REDACTED]		ADDRESS** [REDACTED] Unit 620		TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
C/A Lori LIGHTFOOT		OPS, Unit 113				
NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE
NAME		ADDRESS**		CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE

[X] SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

\*\*\* SEE REPORT \*\*\*

OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

CR# 291372

Page 2

**ADDITIONAL ACCUSED:**

4. Dennis WALSH	Sgt	1120	xxx-xx-xxxx		620
		11 Aug 86	On Duty	Sworn	01
5. Kevin GLOVER	Sgt	1587	xxx-xx-xxxx		620
		09 Sep 85	On Duty	Sworn	01

**ADDITIONAL COMPLAINANTS:**

3. Ronald MARTIN Sergeant, #1193, Unit 121G

**ALLEGATIONS:**

On Wednesday, 06 August 2003, at 0037 hours, Sergeant Kevin Glover, #1587, Unit 620, telephoned the Office of Professional Standards via Pax and registered a complaint on behalf of the complainant, Detective Milton Owens, #20498, Unit 620<sup>1</sup>, with Intake Aide Lisa Tousant, [REDACTED] Unit 113. It is alleged that on 05 August 2003, at approximately 2345 hours, at Area 2 Detective Division, located at [REDACTED] E. 111<sup>th</sup> Street, while off-duty, Police Agent Bridget McLaughlin, #15886, Unit 121:

- (1) pushed then Detective Owens several times with her hands;
- (2) obstructed a homicide investigation by attempting to physically remove her son, [REDACTED] without permission; and,
- (3) was arrested for Battery to a Police Officer and Obstruction of Justice.

On Wednesday, 06 August 2003, at 0945 hours, Police Agent Bridget McLaughlin, #15886, Unit 121, telephoned the Office of Professional Standards via bell and registered a complaint with Investigator Patrick Querfurth, #246, Unit 113. It is alleged that on 05 August 2003, at approximately 2345 hours, while at Area 2 Detective Division, located at [REDACTED] E. 111<sup>th</sup> Street, Detective Milton Owens, #20498, Unit 620, Commander Walter Green, #261, Unit 002, Sergeant Dennis Walsh, #1120, Unit 620, and Sergeant Kevin Glover, #1587, Unit 620:

- (1) allowed Police Agent Bridget McLaughlin, #15886, Unit 121, and her son, [REDACTED] to be unlawfully detained.

---

<sup>1</sup> Detective Owens was promoted to Sergeant during the investigation. Sergeant Owens, #994, was subsequently assigned to the 006<sup>th</sup> District Station.



**EVIDENCE/ATTACHMENTS:**

1. Complaint Against Department Member - CR# 291372
- 1A. Complaint Against Department Member - Additional Information CR# 291372
- 1B. Conflict Certification
2. Report by Sergeant Kevin Glover, #1587, Unit 620, re: CR# 291372
3. Report by Acting Assistant Deputy Superintendent James Jackson re: Arrest of a Chicago Police Officer
4. Report by Internal Affairs Division ("IAD") Sergeant Ronald Martin, #1193, Unit 121, re: IAD Synoptic Report
5. Notification of Duty Restrictions for Police Agent Bridget McLaughlin, #15886, Unit 121
6. Notification of Charges/Allegations for Agent McLaughlin
7. Criminal Rights for Agent McLaughlin
8. Waiver of Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel for Agent McLaughlin
9. Equipment Transaction Receipt
10. Copy of Agent McLaughlin's Department Identification and Star
11. Copy of Agent McLaughlin's Illinois Driver's License
12. Request for Issuance of Temporary Identification Card
13. General Offense Case Report for Aggravated Battery to a Police Officer [REDACTED]
14. General Offense Case Report for First Degree Murder/Homicide [REDACTED]
15. Arrest Report for Agent McLaughlin
- 16-C. Booking Photograph of Agent McLaughlin
17. Cash Bail Bond for the Circuit Court of Cook County
18. Misdemeanor Complaint against Agent McLaughlin (Battery)
19. Misdemeanor Complaint against Agent McLaughlin (Obstructing a Peace Officer)
20. Grand Jury Subpoena for Agent McLaughlin (06 August 2003)
21. Grand Jury Subpoena for Agent McLaughlin (07 August 2003)
22. Tactical Response Report for Detective Milton Owens, #20498, Unit 620
23. Officer's Battery Report for Detective Owens
24. Team Transfer of Investigation
25. Request for Time Extension
26. Request for Interview/Statement/Report for Commander James Jackson, #380, Unit 011
27. Date for Taking a Formal Statement
28. Attendance and Assignment Records
29. Waiver of Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel for Commander Jackson
30. Statement of Commander Jackson
31. Notification re: CR Investigation (Lieutenant Janice Roche, #335, Unit 021)
32. Notification re: CR Investigation (Sergeant Kevin Glover)
33. Notification re: CR Investigation (Commander Walter Green, #261, Unit 002)
34. Notification re: CR Investigation (Sergeant Dennis Walsh, #1120, Unit 620)
35. Request for Interview/Statement/Report for Accused Sergeant Glover
36. Date for Taking a Formal Statement
37. Request for Interview/Statement/Report for Accused Commander Green

**EVIDENCE/ATTACHMENTS (continued):**

38. Date for Taking a Formal Statement
39. Notification re: CR Investigation (removal of Lieutenant Roche as accused)
40. Notification of Charges/Allegations for Sergeant Glover
41. Administrative Proceedings Rights for Sergeant Glover
42. Statement of Sergeant Glover
43. Notification of Charges/Allegations for Commander Green
44. Administrative Proceedings Rights for Commander Green
45. Waiver of Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel for Commander Green
46. Request for Time Extension
47. Request for Interview/Statement/Report for Witness Lieutenant Roche
48. Date for Taking a Formal Statement
49. Request for Interview/Statement/Report for Accused Sergeant Walsh
50. Date for Taking a Formal Statement
51. Notification of Charges/Allegations for Commander Green
52. Administrative Proceedings Rights for Commander Green
53. Waiver for Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel for Commander Green
54. Statement of Commander Green
55. Notification of Charges/Allegations for Sergeant Walsh
56. Administrative Proceedings Rights for Sergeant Walsh
57. Statement of Sergeant Walsh
58. Request for Interview/Statement/Report for Lieutenant Roche
59. Date for Taking a Formal Statement
60. Waiver of Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel for Lieutenant Roche
61. Statement of Lieutenant Roche
62. Request for Time Extension
63. Request for Interview/Statement/Report for Accused Detective Milton Owens
64. Date for Taking a Formal Statement
65. Request for Interview/Statement/Report for Detective Owens
66. Date for Taking a Formal Statement
67. Request for Time Extension
68. Request for Interview/Statement/Report for Detective Owens
69. Date for Taking a Formal Statement
70. Request for Time Extension
71. Request for Interview/Statement/Report for Detective Owens
72. Date for Taking a Formal Statement
73. Request for Time Extension
74. Notification of Charges/Allegations for Detective Owens
75. Administrative Proceedings Rights for Detective Owens
76. Waiver of Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel for Detective Owens
77. Notification of Charges/Allegations for Detective Owens
78. Administrative Proceedings Rights for Detective Owens

**EVIDENCE/ATTACHMENTS (continued):**

79. Waiver of Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel for Detective Owens
80. Notification of Charges/Allegations for Detective Owens
81. Administrative Proceedings Rights for Detective Owens
82. Waiver of Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel for Detective Owens
83. Notification of Charges/Allegations for Detective Owens
84. Administrative Proceedings Rights for Detective Owens
85. Waiver of Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel for Detective Owens
86. Notification of Charges/Allegations for Detective Owens
87. Administrative Proceedings Rights for Detective Owens
88. Statement of Detective Owens
89. Request for Time Extension
90. Agent McLaughlin's court case information/status
91. Request for Interview/Statement/Report for Agent McLaughlin
92. Date for Taking a Formal Statement
93. Administrative Proceedings Rights / Notification of Charges/Allegations for Agent McLaughlin
94. Waiver of Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel for Agent McLaughlin
95. Report by Agent McLaughlin re: Scheduled statement for CR# 291372
96. Notice to Appear in Court for Agent McLaughlin
97. Motion to Reinstate Charge against Agent McLaughlin
98. Report by Chief Administrator Lori E. Lightfoot re: Restoration of Police Powers for Agent McLaughlin
99. Release of Duty Restrictions for Agent McLaughlin
100. Equipment Transaction Receipt for Agent McLaughlin
101. Report by OPS Investigator Dagny A. Blaskovich, #279, OPS, re: Additional Information re: McLaughlin case
102. Request for Time Extension
103. Request for Interview/Statement/Report for Agent McLaughlin
104. Date for Taking a Formal Statement
105. Administrative Proceedings Rights / Notification of Charges/Allegations for Agent McLaughlin
106. Waiver of Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel for Agent McLaughlin
107. Request for Interview/Statement/Report for Agent McLaughlin
108. Date for Taking a Formal Statement
109. Administrative Proceedings Rights / Notification of Charges/Allegations for Agent McLaughlin
110. Statement of Agent McLaughlin
111. Agent McLaughlin's court case information/status
112. Request for Time Extension
- 112A. Request for Time Extension
113. Investigator's Case Log

**INVESTIGATION:**

In his **Initiation Report** dated 06 August 2003, Sergeant Kevin Glover, #1587, Unit 620, related Police Agent Bridget McLaughlin was arrested for Battery to a Police Officer and Obstruction of Justice when she tried to take her son by force during the course of a homicide investigation [REDACTED] by Area Two Detective Division personnel. Agent McLaughlin pushed Detective Milton Owens several times in an attempt to remove her son after she was informed by Commander Green that her son needed to remain in the Area. Agent McLaughlin became very combative and had to be taken into custody. (Att. 2).

Department reports indicate that Agent McLaughlin was released (sic) of her police powers due to her arrest on 06 August 2003 by Internal Affairs Sergeant Ronald Martin. The investigation conducted by Sergeant Martin revealed that Agent McLaughlin attempted to forcibly remove her son, [REDACTED] from the interview room without permission while Detective Owens was conducting an interview. When Commander Green explained that [REDACTED] could not leave yet, Agent McLaughlin pushed Detective Owens several times with her hands as he was standing between her and her son. Detective Owens was not injured. Agent McLaughlin then became combative with Area Two personnel and was taken into custody and forcibly detained in an interview room to await the arrival of the Acting Assistant Deputy Superintendent. (Att. 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12).

The **Arrest report** for Agent McLaughlin indicates she did not resist when she was arrested and was charged with Obstruction of a Peace Officer and Battery after shoving the investigating detective with her hands. (Att. 15).

The **General Offense Case Report for Aggravated Battery of a Police Officer** [REDACTED] indicates Detective Owens was assigned the follow-up investigation of the murder of a department member. Agent McLaughlin's son was being questioned in front of her by Detective Owens, concerning [REDACTED] knowledge of the homicide. During the investigation, Agent McLaughlin became unruly and began to yell and shout at Detective Owens in an effort to impede the progression of the investigation. Agent McLaughlin was asked to lower her voice; however, she became belligerent and uncooperative, physically attacking Detective Owens by shoving him in the presence of Department supervisors. (Att. 13).

The **Tactical Response Report** completed by Detective Owens and Lieutenant Janice Roche indicated Detective Owens only utilized verbal commands and escort holds in response to Agent McLaughlin's actions of pulling away, struggling and attacking without a weapon. (Att. 22). Lieutenant Roche interviewed Agent McLaughlin, who related there was a "conflict" between her being treated as a private citizen and a police officer. Agent McLaughlin related she believed the investigating detectives wanted to interview her son alone and that she was ordered to leave the building without her son, despite the fact that her son was not under arrest. Agent McLaughlin admitted to becoming very emotional and loud and insisted on leaving with her son. Agent McLaughlin alleged she was then given a direct order to stay at the Area. At one point the

**INVESTIGATION (continued):**

conversation moved to just outside the commanding officer's office with her son inside the office while she was in the hallway with Detective Owens standing in the doorway. Agent McLaughlin related she told Detective Owens that she was leaving with her son and then reached under his arm, grabbing her son by the wrist in an attempt to leave with him. Detective Owens then said, "Don't touch me. Don't put your hand on me. You pushed me." Agent McLaughlin related she was then placed into custody.

Detective Owens related to Lieutenant Roche that he had been in an interview room with Agent McLaughlin and her son, who was being questioned about his information regarding the shooting death of an off-duty police sergeant. During the course of the investigation, it was revealed that Stephen McLaughlin had given deceptive statements as to his knowledge of the crime, as well as admitting to having thoughts of harming his mother and himself with his mother's weapon. Agent McLaughlin then became very angry and wanted to strike her son. Detective Owens instructed Agent McLaughlin not to strike her son, but to leave the room with him, which she did. After speaking with her son, Agent McLaughlin started yelling that she was taking her son home. When Detective Owens requested she lower her voice, Agent McLaughlin pushed Detective Owens with an open hand. Detective Owens related he cautioned her that any further physical contact on her part would result in her being placed under arrest. Agent McLaughlin screamed again that she was leaving. Detective Owens informed Agent McLaughlin that his sergeant would have to be notified first; Sergeant Walsh informed her that the commander would need to be consulted. All parties relocated to the Commander's office, where after some discussion, Agent McLaughlin pushed Detective Owens out of the doorway from behind and stated that she wanted to get her son and leave. Detective Owens then attempted to escort Agent McLaughlin to an interview room, but she refused to go. Detective Owens grabbed her arms when she struggled and escorted her into a room. Once in the room, Agent McLaughlin began to holler and bang on the door. After being warned, she was handcuffed to the wall.

Lieutenant Roche concluded that the member's actions were in compliance with Department procedures and directives. (Att. 22).

Detective Owens completed an **Officer's Battery Report**, indicating he was hit with hands and fists but sustained no apparent injury as a result. Detective Owens further indicated he was shoved in the back when Agent McLaughlin attempted to remove her son from the Area. When Detective Owens told her not to push him, Agent McLaughlin shoved him again. (Att. 23).

**Booking photographs** of Agent McLaughlin show no obvious sign of pain or distress. (Att. 16-C).

**Cook County Court records** indicate that the charges against Agent McLaughlin were stricken from the docket with leave to reinstate on 30 June 2004. (Att. 90, 111).

**INVESTIGATION (continued):**

In a statement with OPS on 25 September 2003, **Acting Assistant Deputy Superintendent Commander James Jackson** related on 06 August 2003, he responded to Area 2 Detective Division upon being notified of an officer's arrest. (Att. 30). Upon his arrival, Commander Jackson was notified that Agent McLaughlin had shoved Detective Owens. Commander Jackson spoke to Detective Owens who informed him that during the interviewing of Agent McLaughlin's son, she became upset with the conduct of the investigation and invoked her rights to not have her son interviewed by the police. Agent McLaughlin then became combative and shoved Detective Owens. When Detective Owens instructed her not to do it again, she shoved him in a provoking manner, resulting in her arrest by Detective Owens.

Agent McLaughlin informed Commander Jackson that she was upset with the direction of the questions being asked of her son by Detective Owens and so she had invoked her rights that she did not want her child being questioned further and that she wanted to leave. Agent McLaughlin related she reached past Detective Owens to grab her child from the interview room. Agent McLaughlin did not admit to having any physical contact with Detective Owens to Commander Jackson. Agent McLaughlin further failed to report to Commander Jackson that anyone had given her direct orders during the incident, whether they be to stay or to leave the Area. (Att. 30).

In a statement with OPS on 23 October 2003, **witness Lieutenant Janice Roche**, who was not a witness to the incident, related in essence the same account as she provided in the Tactical Response Report (Att. 22), which she completed. (Att. 61).

In a statement with OPS on 08 October 2003, **accused Commander Walter Green** related on 06 August 2003, he was in his office in the Area 2 Detective Division when he heard a loud female voice shouting profanities. (Att. 54). Sergeant Walsh and Detective Owens, along with Agent McLaughlin, entered Commander Green's office where Agent McLaughlin continued to shout that she wanted to leave the Area and that she was being denied that ability. Commander Green asked Sergeant Walsh what was happening and was informed that during the interview of [REDACTED] Agent McLaughlin became enraged when it was mentioned that [REDACTED] might be involved in the homicide of Sergeant Skroch, as well as planning to kill her. Commander Green requested Agent McLaughlin calm down, sit down and explain what the problem was; however, she refused and asked if he was giving a direct order for her to stay in the office. Commander Green advised Agent McLaughlin that he was not giving her such an order. Agent McLaughlin then asked if she was free to leave, and Commander Green answered in the affirmative.

Agent McLaughlin then grabbed her son, who had been sitting down, and started to leave, at which time Sergeant Walsh and Detective Owens both said, "Wait a minute." Commander Green then questioned Sergeant Walsh and Detective Owens regarding the status of the investigation and the arrest status of [REDACTED]. Commander Green requested Sergeant Walsh enter the inner office, which he did. Shortly thereafter, the sound of chairs moving in the outer part of the

**INVESTIGATION (continued):**

office could be heard and Detective Owens was heard telling Agent McLaughlin to stop pushing him and putting her hands on him. Upon exiting the inner office, Commander Green observed Agent McLaughlin pushing Detective Owens out of the doorway, yelling and screaming at him, while pulling on her son's arm. Upon Commander Green's instruction to stop, Agent McLaughlin calmed slightly; however, when Commander Green and Sergeant Walsh returned to the inner office, Agent McLaughlin was again heard yelling. Commander Green and Sergeant Walsh returned to the outer office and observed Agent McLaughlin pushing Detective Owens. Commander Green then gave the order to have Agent McLaughlin arrested. Agent McLaughlin was taken to an interview room, while her son, [REDACTED] was taken downstairs to his grandfather, who was waiting for them. Commander Green did not see Agent McLaughlin again that night. Commander Green denied detaining Agent McLaughlin and [REDACTED] unlawfully, explaining that at no time did he give or observe a direct order given to Agent McLaughlin. Furthermore, he explained she was free to leave, as soon as the status of her son was ascertained. (Att. 54).

In a statement with OPS on 15 October 2003, **accused Sergeant Dennis Walsh** related on 05 August 2003, he was in his office in the Area 2 Detective Division when Detective Owens stepped in and asked him to come and speak to Agent McLaughlin. (Att. 57). As Sergeant Walsh approached her, Agent McLaughlin was standing in the hallway and appeared upset. Sergeant Walsh explained he had heard her yelling prior to his exiting his office. Sergeant Walsh asked Agent McLaughlin what the problem was, and she began yelling that the interview was over and she did not want her son to be asked anything further. Agent McLaughlin then related she wanted to leave with her son. Sergeant Walsh requested Agent McLaughlin stay because her son was part of an on-going homicide investigation, which was not yet concluded. Agent McLaughlin continued to scream, stating that she wanted to leave and that they could not keep her there. Sergeant Walsh asked Agent McLaughlin to wait long enough so that he could speak to Detective Owens and Commander Green.

Sergeant Walsh and Detective Owens, along with Agent McLaughlin and her son, relocated to the Commander's office, all the while Agent McLaughlin was yelling and shouting, asking if Sergeant Walsh was giving her a "lawful" order. Sergeant Walsh entered Commander Green's inner office and shortly thereafter heard a "commotion," screaming and furniture moving, coming from the outer office. Sergeant Walsh moved back towards the outer office and observed Detective Owens standing in the doorway to the outer office and the hallway, with Agent McLaughlin standing in the hallway while her son was inside the office. Sergeant Walsh then observed Agent McLaughlin shove Detective Owens. Commander Green then told Detective Owens to place Agent McLaughlin under arrest. Sergeant Walsh denied giving Agent McLaughlin any direct orders or hearing anyone give her any orders. Sergeant Walsh denied the allegation against him. (Att. 57).

In a statement with OPS on 03 October 2003, **accused Sergeant Kevin Glover** related he was in the Commander's office with Commander Green when Sergeant Walsh, Detective Owens and Agent McLaughlin entered. (Att. 42). Sergeant Glover related someone informed

**INVESTIGATION (continued):**

Commander Green that Agent McLaughlin wanted to leave the Area with her son, and Commander Green related she could leave. Sergeant Glover observed Detective Owens standing between Agent McLaughlin and her son in the outer office when she moved towards Detective Owens and he heard Detective Owens state, "Don't put your hands on me." Agent McLaughlin then reached around Detective Owens a second time and made physical contact with Detective Owens in the manner of her putting her hand on him, near his shoulder. Sergeant Glover then left the room and did not see anything further. Sergeant Glover denied detaining Agent McLaughlin on 05/06 August 2003, and further denied having any part in the incident except when he was instructed by Commander Green to initiate a Complaint Register Number. (Att. 42).

In a statement with OPS on 19 February 2004, accused Sergeant Milton Owens related on 05 August 2003, he was assigned to investigate the shooting of off-duty Chicago Police Sergeant Francis Skroch. (Att. 88). During the investigation, Agent McLaughlin's son, [REDACTED] was mentioned in connection with the death of Sergeant Skroch, as well as in a plan to kill Agent McLaughlin. During the questioning of [REDACTED] Agent McLaughlin became emotionally distraught when she found out that her son was planning on killing her. Detective Owens then suggested a break and walked Agent McLaughlin and her son to a rear office, allowing her to speak to her son in private. A short time later, Detective Owens was able to hear Agent McLaughlin raising her voice through the closed door. When Agent McLaughlin exited the room, she was speaking on her cell phone and then started screaming at Detective Owens, asking if [REDACTED] was under arrest. Detective Owens asked Agent McLaughlin what was wrong and instructed her to calm down. Agent McLaughlin continued to scream and then she disconnected with whomever she had been conversing with on the phone. Agent McLaughlin continued to ask if her son was under arrest, and Detective Owens continued to tell her that her son was not.

Detective Owens and Agent McLaughlin, along with her son, walked towards the homicide office, where Agent McLaughlin engaged in a brief conversation with Sergeant Walsh, who asked her to go into the Commander's office so that they could confer with him. Once in the Commander's office, Agent McLaughlin continued to scream, asking if her son was under arrest. Commander Green requested he be allowed to confer with Sergeant Walsh to find out what was going on. Agent McLaughlin and her son then stepped out of the Commander's office into the Commander's secretary's office. Detective Owens related he approached [REDACTED] who was seated and crying, in an attempt to comfort him. Detective Owens then turned to speak to Agent McLaughlin, who was still screaming, and then he turned back towards [REDACTED] to tell him everything was going to be okay. When Detective Owens turned back towards [REDACTED] Agent McLaughlin pushed Detective Owens in the back, near the upper shoulder area. Detective Owens then turned to face Agent McLaughlin and asked her why she had pushed him. Detective Owens also told Agent McLaughlin not to touch him again. Agent McLaughlin, in response, then struck Detective Owens in the chest. Detective Owens told Agent McLaughlin she was under arrest and asked her if she had a weapon on her. When Detective Owens told Agent McLaughlin they were going to be going to an interview room, she resisted and started to flail her



**INVESTIGATION (continued):**

arms. Once in the interview room with the door closed, Agent McLaughlin continued to scream and bang on the door. Detective Owens re-entered the room and handcuffed Agent McLaughlin to the wall. Detective Owens denied speaking to [REDACTED] again and had no further contact with Agent McLaughlin that night. Detective Owens denied the allegation against him. (Att. 88).

In a statement with OPS on 21 July 2004, accused Police Agent Bridget McLaughlin related on 05 August 2003, she went to Area 2 because the detectives wanted to speak to her son, [REDACTED] concerning the shooting death of Sergeant Skroch. (Att. 110). During the questioning, Agent McLaughlin admitted to becoming upset because it appeared the detective was attempting to implicate her son in the conspiracy of murder. Detective Owens requested they all "take a break" during the interviewing, and Agent McLaughlin was directed to a back room in order to speak to her son in private.

After speaking to [REDACTED], Agent McLaughlin telephoned her father and requested he come and pick them up. As they left the room, Detective Owens was standing at the end of the hallway and attempted to convince Agent McLaughlin to continue with the questioning. Detective Owens stuck his finger in Agent McLaughlin's face and told her that either she lower her voice or he would arrest her. Agent McLaughlin, however, denied speaking even in a loud voice at this time. Agent McLaughlin continued to insist that no more questions would be asked of her son. Agent McLaughlin also asked if [REDACTED] was under arrest and was informed that he was not. When Agent McLaughlin stated that they were then free to leave, Detective Owens insisted they stay and he summoned Sergeant Walsh, who after Agent McLaughlin told him they wanted to leave, issued a direct order for her to stay. Agent McLaughlin, in response, told Sergeant Walsh that his issuance of the direct order was unlawful and in violation of her civil rights.

Sergeant Walsh and Detective Owens, along with Agent McLaughlin and her son, then went into Commander Green's office. [REDACTED] remained in the anteroom, while Agent McLaughlin spoke to Commander Green, who told her that it was very important to continue the questioning of [REDACTED]. Agent McLaughlin told Commander Green that they would be happy to return the next morning to continue the questioning, but that she wished to leave with her son. After some discussion, Commander Green made a gesture that Agent McLaughlin took to mean, "go ahead" and leave; however, Commander Green did not verbally tell her she was free to leave. Agent McLaughlin then left the Commander's inner office and started to leave with [REDACTED] while Detective Owens shouted at Commander Green. Agent McLaughlin, however, was unable to leave the office as Sergeant Walsh and two other detectives blocked the exit. Sergeant Walsh then gave Agent McLaughlin another direct order to leave the Area without her son. Agent McLaughlin, admittedly, became agitated and somehow walked out of the room. When she turned back around to look for [REDACTED] Agent McLaughlin saw that Detective Owens had inserted himself between her and [REDACTED]. Detective Owens had his arms outreached in the doorway when Agent McLaughlin attempted to reach for [REDACTED] under Sergeant Owens' right arm. Detective Owens shifted to the right, and Agent McLaughlin then reached under Sergeant Owens' left arm and grabbed hold of

**INVESTIGATION (continued):**

██████████ Agent McLaughlin denied making any physical contact with Detective Owens. During this whole time, however, Sergeant Owens was stating, "Don't touch me." After Agent McLaughlin grabbed hold of ██████████ Sergeant Walsh stated, "That's it. Lock her up." Detective Owens turned around and told Agent McLaughlin that she was under arrest and brought her back into the anteroom. Agent McLaughlin was asked if she had a weapon on her.

Agent McLaughlin began to cry hysterically, invoking ██████████ right to remain silent and requesting to speak to an attorney as she was escorted to an interview room in handcuffs. Once in the interview room, Agent McLaughlin related she was immediately handcuffed to a wall. Agent McLaughlin, however, denied struggling at any time with Detective Owens. Agent McLaughlin further related she later learned from her son that he was again questioned briefly by Detective Owens after she was taken into custody. Agent McLaughlin denied the allegations against her and further denied even making any unintentional physical contact with Detective Owens during this incident. Agent McLaughlin explained that her criminal case was dropped when Detective Owens failed to show for court on several occasions. (Att. 110).

**CONCLUSION:**

The Reporting Investigator recommends **Allegation #1** against **Police Agent Bridget McLaughlin, #15886, Unit 121**, that she pushed then Detective Milton Owens several times with her hands, be **Sustained**. While the accounts provided by all involved differ in certain instances, the constant is that each allege Agent McLaughlin pushed and/or shoved Detective Owens in some manner more than once. Agent McLaughlin's actions were unnecessary and out of line. While she was distraught over the questioning and implication of her son being involved in a plot to kill the recently deceased Sergeant Skroch and herself, Agent McLaughlin's actions were unwarranted. Based on the lack of evidence to support Agent McLaughlin's account, this allegation is clearly sustained.

The Reporting Investigator recommends **Allegation #2** against **Police Agent Bridget McLaughlin, #15886, Unit 121**, that she obstructed a homicide investigation by attempting to physically remove her son, [REDACTED] without permission, be **Unfounded**. Agent McLaughlin on several occasions attempted to remove her son from the Area because she wanted the questioning terminated if her son, [REDACTED] was not under arrest. Agent McLaughlin was allowed to leave the Area if her son was not under arrest, which he was not. While she was arrested for Obstruction based mainly on her behavior that resulted after she was asked to wait while the status of the investigation was determined, she was still free to leave and by her attempting to do so, she was not obstructing. Therefore, this allegation should be unfounded.

The Reporting Investigator recommends **Allegation #3** against **Police Agent Bridget McLaughlin, #15886, Unit 121**, that she was arrested for Battery to a Police Officer and Obstruction of Justice, be **Sustained**. The evidence clearly shows in the form of arrest and case reports, as well as court documents, that Agent McLaughlin was arrested and charged with Battery to a Police officer and Obstruction of Justice. While the charges were dismissed based on Detective Owens failure to appear in court, this allegation is still clearly sustained.

The Reporting Investigator further recommends **Police Agent Bridget McLaughlin, #15886, Unit 121**, be cited for a **Violation of Rule 2** in that on 05 August 2003, at approximately 2345 hours, while at Area 2 Detective Division, her overall conduct brought discredit upon the Department and resulted in her arrest. Agent McLaughlin was unruly, belligerent and out of control on 05/06 August 2003, which ultimately resulted in her arrest.

The Reporting Investigator finally recommends **Police Agent Bridget McLaughlin, #15886, Unit 121**, be cited for a **Violation of Rule 14**, in that during her statement with the Office of Professional Standards on 21 July 2004, she provided a false statement when she denied have any physical contact with then Detective Milton Owens. Furthermore, Agent McLaughlin's account is completely different from the accounts provided by the others involved and present. Agent McLaughlin places Sergeant Walsh outside the inner office while she's speaking to Commander Green. She further stated that when she attempts to leave the Commander's office, she is given the direct order to leave the Area without her son; however, based on all accounts, including

**CONCLUSION (continued):**

Commander Green's, Sergeant Walsh is in the inner office with them during this entire incident. Agent McLaughlin's account of the incident is overly dramatic at times and clearly false. In addition, Agent McLaughlin denies struggling with Detective Owens after she is informed she is going to be arrested. This idea is preposterous considering Agent McLaughlin's overall actions and conduct during this incident.

The Reporting Investigator recommends **Allegation #1** against **Detective Milton Owens, #20498, Unit 620**, that he allowed Police Agent Bridget McLaughlin, #15886, Unit 121, and her son, [REDACTED] to be unlawfully detained, be **Unfounded**. There is no evidence to support this allegation. Agent McLaughlin was asked to remain at the Area only until all of the parties were made fully aware of the facts of the case and investigation. Neither she nor her son were unlawfully detained, despite Agent McLaughlin's allegation. Agent McLaughlin provides a false account of the incident; therefore, her allegation lacks credibility and this allegation should be unfounded.

The Reporting Investigator recommends **Allegation #1** against **Commander Walter Green, #261, Unit 002**, that he allowed Police Agent Bridget McLaughlin, #15886, Unit 121, and her son, [REDACTED] to be unlawfully detained, be **Unfounded**. There is no evidence to support this allegation. Agent McLaughlin was asked to remain at the Area only until all of the parties were made fully aware of the facts of the case and investigation. Neither she nor her son were unlawfully detained, despite Agent McLaughlin's allegation. Agent McLaughlin provides a false account of the incident; therefore, her allegation lacks credibility and this allegation should be unfounded.

The Reporting Investigator recommends **Allegation #1** against **Sergeant Dennis Walsh, #1120, Unit 620**, that he allowed Police Agent Bridget McLaughlin, #15886, Unit 121, and her son, [REDACTED] to be unlawfully detained, be **Unfounded**. There is no evidence to support this allegation. Agent McLaughlin was asked to remain at the Area only until all of the parties were made fully aware of the facts of the case and investigation. Neither she nor her son were unlawfully detained, despite Agent McLaughlin's allegation. Agent McLaughlin provides a false account of the incident; therefore, her allegation lacks credibility and this allegation should be unfounded.

The Reporting Investigator recommends **Allegation #1** against **Sergeant Kevin Glover, #1587, Unit 620**, that he allowed Police Agent Bridget McLaughlin, #15886, Unit 121, and her son, [REDACTED] to be unlawfully detained, be **Unfounded**. There is no evidence to support this allegation. Agent McLaughlin was asked to remain at the Area only until all of the parties were made fully aware of the facts of the case and investigation. Neither she nor her son were unlawfully detained, despite Agent McLaughlin's allegation. Agent McLaughlin provides a false account of the incident; therefore, her allegation lacks credibility and this allegation should be unfounded.

**FINDINGS:**

**Accused #1**

**Police Agent Bridget McLaughlin, #15886, Unit 121**

**Allegation #1**

**Sustained** – Violation of Rule 8, “Disrespect to or maltreatment of any person, while on or off duty,” in that on 05 August 2003, at approximately 2345 hours, at Area 2 Detective Division, 727 East 111<sup>th</sup> Street, while off-duty, the accused, Police Agent Bridget McLaughlin, #15886, Unit 121, pushed Detective Milton Owens several times.

**Allegation #2**

**Unfounded**

**Allegation #3**

**Sustained** – Violation of Rule 2, “Any action or conduct which impedes the Department’s efforts to achieve its policy and goals or brings discredit upon the Department,” in that on 05 August 2003, at approximately 2345 hours, at Area 2 Detective Division, 727 East 111<sup>th</sup> Street, while off-duty, the accused, Police Agent Bridget McLaughlin, #15886, Unit 121, was arrested for Battery to a Police Officer and Obstruction of Justice.

**Other Violations:**

**Sustained** – Violation of Rule 2, “Any action or conduct which impedes the Department’s efforts to achieve its policy and goals or brings discredit upon the Department,” in that on 05 August 2003, at approximately 2345 hours, at Area 2 Detective Division, 727 East 111<sup>th</sup> Street, while off-duty, the accused, Police Agent Bridget McLaughlin, #15886, Unit 121, by her overall actions brought discredit upon the Department.

**Sustained** – Violation of Rule 14, “Making a false report, written or oral,” in that on 21 July 2004, at approximately 1550 hours, at the Office of Professional Standards, 10 West 35<sup>th</sup> Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor, in a statement with OPS Investigator Dagny Blaskovich, the accused, Police Agent Bridget McLaughlin, #15886, Unit 121, provided a false statement when she denied having any physical contact with Detective Milton Owens.

OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

CR# 291372  
Page 17

**FINDINGS (continued):**

**Accused #2**                      **002Detective Milton Owens, #20498, Unit 620**

**Allegation #1**                      **Unfounded**

OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

CR# 291372  
Page 18

**FINDINGS (continued):**

<b>Accused #3</b>	<b>Commander Walter Green, #261, Unit</b>
<b>Allegation #1</b>	<b>Unfounded</b>

OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

CR# 291372  
Page 19

**FINDINGS (continued):**

**Accused #4**

**Sergeant Dennis Walsh, #1120, Unit 620**

**Allegation #1**

**Unfounded**



OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

CR# 291372  
Page 20

**FINDINGS (continued):**

**Accused #5**                      **Sergeant Kevin Glover, #1587, Unit 620**

**Allegation #1**                      **Unfounded**

OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS

CR# 291372  
Page 21

Date Initiated: 06 August 2003  
Date Completed: 01 October 2004  
Suspense Time: 295 days  
Total Net Elapsed Time: 126 days

 275  
Investigator Dagny A. Blaskovich, #279, OPS

 #108  
Supervisor Elizabeth Carmody, #108, OPS

**SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-  
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT**

280466

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

1 Jun 2002

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.  
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE

ATTENTION ☒ ADMINISTRATOR IN CHARGE, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS  
☐ ASSISTANT DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT, INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

FROM-INVESTIGATOR'S NAME		RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.	
KELLY Jr., Sidney L.		LT.	351			021	
ADDRESS OF INCIDENT		DATE OF INCIDENT - TIME		BEAT OF INCIDENT	LOCATION CODE*		
24 South State Street, Apt# 901		28 March 2002 - 2300		2113	121		
ACCUSED	NAME	RANK	STAR NO.	SOCIAL SEC. NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.	
	1. GLOVER, Kevin	SGT.	1587			021	
	2. THOMPSON, Terrence	P.O.	16744			021	
	SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT		DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)		
	1. M/B		9 SEP 1985		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		
	2. M/W		7 JUL 1997		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY		
IF APPLICABLE - DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED		CHARGES		COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE		
1. DNA							
2. DNA							
COMPLAINANTS	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†
					M/B		01
VICTIMS	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†
	NONE						
WITNESSES	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE	PHYS. COND. CODE†
					F/B	UNK/22	01
					F/B	UNK/26	01

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

ALLEGATIONS

#1 - It is alleged that the officers took \$1,800.00 USC and failed to inventory or return the money to him.  
#2 - It is alleged that the arresting officers left his girlfriend's (also arrested) apartment door (place of arrest) unsecured and her personal belongings were stolen.  
#3 - It is alleged that a police officer took him to a room on the 2nd floor of the 21st District, placed something in his pocket, and he was told "Shut your fuckin' mouth about the income tax check."  
#4 - The complainant alleges that police officers conducted a "Warrantless Search" where he was arrested.  
#5 - It is alleged that he tried to make a male black wearing a jacket with a white shirt (he believed to be a supervisor) aware of the missing money, and the person took no action.

**I.A.D. LOCATION CODES\***

01 Food Sales/Restaurant	11 Public Transportation Veh./Facility
02 Tavern/Liquor Store	12 Park District Property
03 Other Business Establishment	13 Airport
04 Police Building	14 Public Property Other
05 Lockup Facility	15 Other Private Premise
06 Police Maintenance Facility	16 Expressway/Interstate System
07 CPD Automotive Pound Facility	17 Public Way - Other
08 Other Police Property	18 Waterway, Incl. Park District
09 Police Communications System	19 Private Residence
10 Court Room	

**I.A.D. PHYSICAL CONDITION CODES†**

01 No Visible Injury - Apparently Normal
02 No Visible Injury - Under Influence
03 Injured, Not Hospitalized
04 Injured, Not Hospitalized - Under Influence
05 Injured, Hospitalized
06 Injured, Hospitalized - Under Influence
07 Injured, Refused Medical Aid
08 Injured, Refused Medical Aid - Under Influence
09 Deceased
10 Deceased - Under Influence

\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, SOCIAL SECURITY, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS BOX, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

SUMMARY

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).  
In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

Based on the information in a newspaper article this investigation was initiated by Commander Stanley on 9 May 2002, at 1405 hours.

The allegations were initially brought to her attention after reading an article published as one of several "Letters to the Editor" in the 7 May 2002 issue of the Chicago Defender Newspaper (Att #13). In the article written by the complainant, it was alleged that "Chicago Police Officers forced entry and conducted a warrantless search. The officers stole \$1,800 from the scene. When I arrived at the police station they left the apartment door ajar and thieves stole everything of value from her apartment." "When the officer finished the paperwork he took me to another small room. I thought he was going to beat me up but he put \$100 in my right pocket and told me shut my mouth about the money."

R/Lieutenant was assigned to investigate the above allegations on 13 May 2002, 1200 hours by Commander Stanley, 021st District.

On 13 May 2002, I contacted the Cook County Jail and spoke with Officer C. Canada and made arrangements to interview the complainant on 15 May 2002, at 1100 hours. The interview of the complainant took place in the Cook County Sheriff's, Special Operations Response Team, Interview Room. Present during the interview was Sergeant Kathleen O'Malley #2045, 021st District.

The complainant was advised why we were there and asked to relay the circumstances that prompted him to write a letter to the Chicago Defender Newspaper. The R/Lieutenant read the newspaper article to the complainant verbatim. The complainant stated "the article was printed as I wrote it."

Refer to the Continuation Sheet of Summary Report Digest - Beginning with Page #1 and concluding with Page # 8.

ATTACHMENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-  
SUPPORTING ALLEGATION  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

13, 14, 15, 16

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS-  
SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S)  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

2A,3,4 and 17 thru 35

PHYSICAL EVIDENCE  
LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:

19,20,21,22,23,24,25

TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS  
SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:

36

FINDINGS--RECOMMENDATIONS

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation.

Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for ..... days (not to exceed 5 days).

Sergeant Kevin Glover #1587

Allegation: #5 - It is alleged that he tried to make a male black wearing a jacket with a white shirt (he believed to be a supervisor) aware of the missing money, and the person took no action.

FINDINGS: UNFOUNDED

RECOMMENDATION: NO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.

Police Officer terrence Thompson #16744

Allegations:

#1 - It is alleged that the officers took \$1,800.00 USC and failed to inventory or return the money to him.

#2 - It is alleged that the arresting officers left his girlfriend's (also arrested) apartment door (place of arrest) unsecured and her personal belongings were stolen.

#3 - It is alleged that a police officer took him to a room on the 2nd floor of the 21st District, placed something in his pocket, and he was told "Shut your fuckin mouth about the income tax check."

#4 - The complainant alleges that police officers conducted a "Warrantless Search" where he was arrested.

FINDINGS: ALL OF THE ABOVE ALLEGATIONS ARE UNFOUNDED.

RECOMMENDATION: NO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.

REVIEWED BY: *PK*

DATE: *8/26/02*

DATE INITIATED  
(DATE COMPLAINT WAS  
RECEIVED FOR INVESTIGATION) 13 May 2002

DATE COMPLETED  
(DATE OF THIS  
REPORT)

1 Jun 2002

ELAPSED TIME  
(TOTAL TIME  
EXPRESSED IN DAYS)

20

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review  
form by completing the Investigator's Section.

*351*

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8½ x 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER TO CONTINUE ANY ITEM.

*CR #280466*  
*ATT #2, PS #2*

**SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST  
CONTINUATION SHEET**

RE: Complaint Register Number 280466

In conversation with the complainant he stated he was originally inside his girlfriend's apartment sleep when he heard noise at the door. He jumped up, put on his pants and was met by the police and handcuffed. Moments later they (police officers) woke up his girlfriend ( [REDACTED] - also arrested), told her to put on some clothing since she only had on a bra and panties then they handcuffed her. Once the girlfriend was handcuffed, the police began to search the apartment. He went on to say that the officers found "Weed and Money." "It was \$1,800.00 in there, some of the money was in my bag with the weed." When asked what he meant by "in there", he replied "the back room." When asked how much money was in his bag, he replied "twelve hundred dollars (\$1,200.00). The other six hundred (\$600.00) was my girl's." "There was money in her jacket, but I don't know how much." "She had an income tax check, some of it she spent." The complainant stated "I offered to go to jail if they let my girlfriend go even though I was on parole." Then he advised me an officer stated "She can go if we get a gun." At this point they both (arrestees) replied they did not have a gun. The girlfriend asked to call her sister [REDACTED] - Witness #2), who arrived at the apartment a few minutes later. The complainant stated while they were waiting for [REDACTED] to arrive, a M/W, bald police officer (Accused #2) found the weed and \$1,200.00 (USC) in a back room in his bag. The complainant repeated that his girlfriend had money in her coat, but there was no weed in the coat. The complainant stated that when his girl's sister arrived the police asked her for a gun, and she indicated she did not have one. Shortly after the search of the apartment was concluded, both arrestees were taken downstairs and transported to the 21<sup>st</sup> Police District for processing. I asked the complainant where his girlfriend's sister was when they (arrestees) were taken down stairs. He replied "She was upstairs in the apartment."

In the 21<sup>st</sup> District Tactical Office (Large Room - South end of building) both arrestees were hand cuffed to a bench on the west wall (in front of the window). While there, the complainant stated that he asked the bald officer (accused #2) "What happened to my girl's income tax money." He went on to say "My girl said shut up (while striking me) they already found weed and she was scared." At this point the complainant stated that the bald officer (accused #2) said "Shut up before I beat your fuckin ass. So I shut up to keep from getting hit." The complainant stated "a little while later a black officer with a black jacket and a white shirt (accused #1) came in, he was bout 40-45 years old, big 250 pounds." "I raised my hand to try to talk to him, I said can I talk to you sir." "He said What, What you want, I said they took her income tax money." Jennings then told me "the short bald police officer (accused #2) said you just said you spent that money on the weed we found." "That is when I decided not to say anything else."

The complainant states that a short time later he was released from the rail (where he was handcuffed next to his girlfriend) and the bald police officer (accused #2) took him to a smaller room. He stated "While the officer held onto the handcuffs, he placed something in my right pocket and said shut your fuckin mouth about the income tax money." He states he was then taken downstairs to the lockup. When he entered the lockup, he was searched by one of the people inside (lockup keeper) who pulled some money (\$102.00) out of his right pocket. He stated "That was when I realized that must be what he put in my pocket." The lockup keeper put the money back in his pocket. He stayed in the 21<sup>st</sup> District Lockup until he was transported to court. He stated "when I was processing in for detention, all my property was inventoried including the hundred dollars (\$100.00). I have a receipt in my cell." The Reporting Investigator asked the complainant if he told anyone else in the building about the missing money, he replied "No." He could not give a reason why. I asked him why he didn't ask to speak with a supervisor about \$1,800.00 since that was a lot of money and some of it was his girlfriend's, he said "I just didn't." He was then asked to mail a copy of the receipt to the district for inclusion with my report. The copy arrived in an envelop on 22 May 2002 (Attachments #14,15,16). The receipt is for \$102.51.

During the interview, the complainant gave me an address and telephone number where I could contact his girlfriend, and her sister.

On 16 May 2002, I contacted [REDACTED] (Witness #2), sister of the female arrestee. In a telephone conversation with [REDACTED] I was advised that on the night of the incident her sister called her and said the police were going to take her to jail. She left her apartment to check on her sister. She indicated she was refused admission to the apartment when she arrived until the officers became aware she was the sister of the female arrestee. She said "I was finally admitted by the bald officer, Officer Terrence." [REDACTED] said her sister told her "I did not do anything, I was sleep." [REDACTED] stated she heard [REDACTED] (Nickname for the complainant) tell her sister "say that all the stuff in the house was yours, you would only go to jail for couple of hours and I could get you out." She went onto say that "Officer Terrence (accused #2) told her that the [REDACTED] was trying to get her sister to take the rap." [REDACTED] stated "[REDACTED] figured they would let him go and he would not have to take the blame for the stuff in the house." I asked [REDACTED] where she was when the police took her sister and the complainant from the apartment. She told me she waited with the people from the Chicago Housing Authority to fix her sister's door. Once the door and burglar gate were locked, she left. Upon her return the next day, people told her someone stole all of her sister's stuff. Upon inspecting the apartment, she noticed her sister's VCR, TV and other stuff was missing.

Following my conversation with [REDACTED] I spoke with [REDACTED] (arrestee - witness #1) about the incident. She advised me of the following: "I was in the bed sleep because I was sick. Earlier in the day I had a problem breathing so I took some medication. I first saw the police when they woke me up, and told me to put on some clothes. Once I had on clothes, I was handcuffed. The police told me I was under arrest because they found narcotics in my house." She stated that "when I told the police I knew nothing about any narcotics, they told me I could go if I gave them a gun." She states she told them she did not have a gun, and asked if she could call her sister. Shortly after talking to her sister on the phone, her sister arrived. I asked [REDACTED] about any money she had in the house. She replied "I had about \$30.00 in my jacket pocket and my baby had money in her bank." I asked how much of her income tax check did she have left. She replied "the \$30.00 I had in my pocket, I spent the rest of the money in February fixing up my apartment." She went on to say the check was for \$1,000.00 and her sister went with her to buy some things for the apartment. I advised her that [REDACTED] said there was \$1,800.00 taken from the apartment by the police and it was not returned or inventoried. It was at that point that she informed me "he was lying about the money." She made the following statement "He asked me to take the weight for the stuff. He said I'm gonna get you out. I asked him how did you get this stuff in my here. I had no idea the drugs were in the house. When I went to sleep nothing like drugs were in my house. I knew nothing until the police woke me up hours later." I asked what time she went to sleep and she replied "around 7PM." She stated she "knew [REDACTED] for a year, he was spending the night a lot, had a key, and there were no problems. Everything was fine. The first problem was when the police arrived." I asked her where [REDACTED] was while the police officers were writing their reports. She replied "Handcuffed to the rail next to me." When I asked her what happened when they took [REDACTED] handcuffs off the rail, she replied, "Officer Terrence took him down the stairs." It was during this part of the conversation that she advised me [REDACTED] (Complainant) had written her a letter instructing her what to say so they could beat the case. I asked if I could have a copy of the letter, she agreed. I went to [REDACTED] Street and met her in front of the building and was given a copy (Attachment# 14). (I was accompanied by Sergeant Kathleen O'Malley #2045, 021<sup>st</sup> District.) I asked her about her apartment door being secure when she left. I was told that her sister waited for a man named [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] (complainant's Aunt) who both worked for the Chicago Housing Authority to repair the door.

I immediately went to the 24<sup>th</sup> and State Street where I met with [REDACTED] [REDACTED], Manager, Harold L. Ickes Development. [REDACTED] was aware of the incident where the door [REDACTED] [REDACTED] was damaged by the police making a forced entry for narcotic activity. I asked if he knew a man named [REDACTED] and a [REDACTED]. He advised me that [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] worked for the Chicago Housing Authority. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were summoned to the office. In conversation with both men, I was advised that they were present when the police left with the two arrestees. [REDACTED] stated the arrestees sister waited for them to lock the apartment. [REDACTED] told me "I pulled to door shut, it caught. We pulled to burglar gate shut and locked it." [REDACTED] stated the apartment was broken into around 0200 hours, 29 March 2002. He was advised of the incident by a tenant asking him to secure the arrestee belongings.

Following the above interviews, meetings and collection of information, the accused members were served and provided reports of their allegations as follows:

**Sergeant Kevin Glover #1587**

**Allegation:** The complainant alleges that the accused refused to hear his complaint about the arresting officers taking his money. He alleges that the USC (\$1,800.00) was not inventoried when was arrested.

**Response:** The accused's report (Attachment #3) states "At no time did the suspect attempt to get the R/Sgt. attention to make a complainant about any money being taken."

**Response Support:** The Reporting Investigator asked the complainant if he told anyone else in the building about the missing money, he replied "No." He could not give a reason why. I asked him why he didn't ask to speak with a supervisor about \$1,800.00 since that was a lot of money and some of it was his girlfriend's, he said "I just didn't." The female arrestee stated "I had about \$30.00 in my jacket pocket and my baby had money in her bank." I asked how much of her income tax check did she have left. She replied "the \$30.00 I had in my pocket to buy my daughter a Easter Basket, I spent the rest of the money in February fixing up my apartment." She went on to say the check was for \$1,000.00 and her sister went with her to buy some things for the apartment. I advised her that [REDACTED] said there was \$1,800.00 taken from the apartment, and \$600.00 was hers and it was not returned or inventoried. It was at that point that she informed me "he was lying about the money."



**Police Officer Terrence Thompson #16744**

**Allegation #1 -** The complainant alleges that the accused failed to inventory \$1,800.00 USC that was recovered during his arrest.

**Response:** The accused's report (Attachment #4) states that "No time during the affect and processing of this arrest had opportunity to see or recover \$1800usc."

**Response Support:** The complainant stated that his money and the balance of his girlfriend's income tax check made up the \$1,800 that was taken and not inventoried. The complainant stated that twelve hundred dollars (\$1,200.00) was his. "The other six hundred (\$600.00) was my girl's." The girlfriend states that she spent all of the income tax check except \$30.00, which she was saving to buy her daughter a Easter Basket. The letter written to [REDACTED] from the complainant states (Attachment #14, page #3) *"Find your check stub or some proof that you got your income tax. Because you tell them they stole \$500 dollars from you, And they said it was drug money."*

**Allegation #2 -** The complainant alleges that the accused failed to secure the apartment door where he was arrested and the tenant's [REDACTED] (Also arrested) personal property was later stolen.

**Response:** The accused's report (Attachment #4) states "R/O's while on the scene radioed OEC to request immediate board up for said apt due to the fact that the apt. could not be secured. Moments later two CHA employees arrived at the apt. and advised that they would take care of the door."

**Response Support:** [REDACTED] informed this investigator that two employees of the Chicago Housing Authority arrived before she left the floor. Her sister waited for them to secure her door. The arrestee's sister, [REDACTED] stated that the door was locked by Chicago Housing Authority personnel, and the burglar gate was pulled shut and locked.

**Allegation #3 -** The complainant alleges that the accused took him to a room on the 2<sup>nd</sup> floor of the 21<sup>st</sup> Police District where he put something in his right pocket (Alleged to be \$100.00 USC), and was told "Shut your fuckin mouth about the income tax money."

**Response:** The accused's report (Attachment #4) states "At no time did R/O place any item in complainants pocket nor did R/O use any profanity directed towards complainant while processing complainant."

**Response Support:** I asked [REDACTED] where [REDACTED] was while the police officers were writing their reports. She replied "Handcuffed to the rail next to me." When I asked her what happened when they took [REDACTED] handcuffs off the rail, she replied, "Officer Terry took him down the stairs."

**Allegation #4 -** The complainant alleges the accused conducted a warrant less search of the location where he was arrested.

**Response:** The accused's report (Attachment #4) states "On 28 Mar 02 R/O was assigned along with P.O. D. Weber #12200 to beat 2162A. During tour of duty R/O's were able to obtain information from an informant that cannabis was being sold out of apt [REDACTED] at the address of [REDACTED] R/O's at this time relocated to said address to set up surveillance of the apt. Moments later R/O's observed a male subject and complainant engage in a hand to hand transaction where the male was subsequently arrested for possession of cannabis. R/O's attempted to field interview complainant but he slammed the door in an attempt to avoid R/O's. R/O's at this time knocked on apt. door and announced office to no avail. Sgt. Dedore #2545 who was positioned under the window of apt. [REDACTED] radioed to R/O's that a male black, later known to be the complainant, was throwing cannabis out of the window. Sgt. Dedore was able to recover said items. R/O's at this time made a forced entry into apt. and then observed complainant attempting to flush cannabis down the toilet."

Response Support: In addition to the arrest of the complainant and [REDACTED] [REDACTED] was arrested for possession of Cannabis that was given to him by the complainant prior to the officers attempting to gain access to the apartment ([REDACTED]).

The complainant's letter (Attachment #14, page #2) to [REDACTED] states "2 If both of us say you were sleep and I was woke then that means the police can say while you was sleep I served dude and that gave them the right to come in your crib." "3 If both of us say we were having sex then that means that you can testify that I didnt serve nobody. They did not have a search warrant so they have to drop the case."

In summary:

The complainant wrote a letter to the Chicago Defender making the allegations that were fully investigated. During the investigation, every allegation was addressed as given by the complainant. It is apparent by the letter he wrote to the female arrestee [REDACTED] instructing her on what to say, and when to say it, was to get the greatest impact towards discrediting the arresting officers. As he states in his letter (Attachment #14, page #1) to [REDACTED] [REDACTED] "I read what you said and I told the pd that I don't live there because if I say that I live there they are going to say I had a key and they chased me in there. If we say I don't live there and I don't have a key then they are lying about chasing me in there." ***This statement contradicts his statement to me that he and his girlfriend were sleep when the police broke into the apartment.***

His letter (Attachment #14, page #1) goes on to say: "I am working on everything we have to say in court so both of us can beat the case. We will if you say what I tell you to say. The hole reason we can beat this case is if we prove they broke in because someone told them they would find something. They have to have a search warrent to break in your house." "Don't let nobody tell you to say something else in trial because we will loose the case if you say something different than me. Don't get up there and say you were sleep. Maybe I have to explain this to you over the phone whenever I get to talk to you because it seems like you just don't get it. You have no background so if our storys are the same they have to believe us. The only way we can beat this is if both of us say we were having sex when the police started to break in." ***Asking her to say they were having sex is his way of eliminating the possibility that she was sleep, thus giving him the opportunity to sell narcotics to [REDACTED] (arrested following the transaction at the door) without her knowledge. This statement supports the officers position that the complainant was at the door selling cannabis.*** As he states later in his letter "***When we testify that we were having sex and I did not serve dude that's going to let us both off anyway, that's what I am trying to do. When I testify and say that the weed was mine that's going to stop you from loosing your crib.***"

He goes on to say "Find your check stub or some proof that you got your income tax. Because you tell them they stole \$500 dollars from you. And they said it was drug money. That statement they said I made doesn't mean anything because I did not sign it and they don't have it on tape because I did not say that. What I said was that they stole your income tax money. They said that, because they are scared that I am going to tell that they stole the money and they will get in trouble." *This portion of the letter is disputed by [REDACTED] When asked about any money she had in the house. She replied "I had about \$30.00 in my jacket pocket and my baby had money in her bank." I asked how much of her income tax check did she have left. She replied "the \$30.00 I had in my pocket, I spent the rest of the money in February fixing up my apartment." She went on to say the check was for \$1,000.00 and her sister went with her to buy some things for the apartment. I advised her that [REDACTED] said there was \$1,800.00 taken from the apartment, \$600.00 was hers by the police and it was not returned or inventoried. It was at that point that she informed me "he was lying about the money." The complainant told me during the initial interview that the officers found "Weed and Money." "It was \$1,800.00 in there, some of the money was in my bag with the weed." When asked what he meant by "in there, he replied "the back room." When asked how much money was in his bag, he replied "twelve hundred dollars (\$1,200.00). The other six hundred (\$600.00) was my girl's." "There was money in her jacket, but I don't know how much." "She had an income tax check, some of it she spent."*

Based on the facts documented above from the witnesses, employees of the Chicago Housing Authority, the accused members, and the letter written to [REDACTED] by the complainant, this investigation is concluded. It is obvious that the complainant, who is a career criminal (mostly drug related arrests) has manufactured the allegations to better his position in court. He has been arrested ten (10) times, six (6) were for felonies and four (4) for misdemeanor offenses. He currently has a total of five (5) convictions of his ten (10) total arrests. Using the Chicago Defender Newspaper as a conduit to get his message out was merely a way, he believed, to bring credibility to the issues. The police officers acted professionally at all times, and this is based on information from the female arrestee [REDACTED] her sister [REDACTED] and the agents for the Chicago Housing Authority. The complainant cannot not be allowed to sully the reputation of the officers that were acting on information that resulted in his capture simply to ease his burden during the court proceedings. The findings and my recommendations are listed in the appropriate section of the Summary Report Digest.